#### Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 27 Filed 06/30/1995 Page 1 of 12

Scott B. McElroy 1 Greene, Meyer & McElroy, P.C. 1007 Pearl Street, Suite 220 Boulder, CO 80302 303/442-2021 3 Evan Beavers Beavers & Young 4 1616 Highway 395 P.O. Box 486 5 Minden, Nevada 89423 702/782-5110 6 Attorneys for the WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IN EQUITY NO. C-125-ECR 9 SUBFILE NO. C-125-C Plaintiff. 10 RESPONSE OF THE WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE. 11 WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE TO THE MOTION 12 Plaintiff-Intervenor, TO VACATE SCHEDULE FOR SERVING RESPONSES 13 TO MINERAL COUNTY VS. **MOTION TO INTERVENE:** 14 WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT. TO ESTABLISH DATE FOR a corporation, et al. COMPLETION OF SERVICE; 15 TO ESTABLISH SCHEDULE FOR RESPONSES TO Defendants. 16 MINERAL COUNTY 17 MOTION TO INTERVENE AFTER COMPLETION OF 18 **SERVICE** 19 The Walker River Paiute Tribe ("Tribe") offers the following 20 comments in response to the Walker River Irrigation District's 2:1

comments in response to the Walker River Irrigation District's Motion to Vacate Schedule for Serving Responses to Mineral County Motion to Intervene; to Establish Date for Completion of Service; to Establish Schedule for Responses to Mineral County Motion To Intervene After Completion of Service (June 22, 1995).

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. In its Points and Authorities, the Walker River
Irrigation District ("District") recites its understanding of the
procedures followed by Mineral County in attempting to comply

0

3

with the Court's Order Requiring Service of and Establishing Briefing Schedule Regarding the Motion to Intervene of Mineral County (Feb. 9, 1995) ("Service Order"). Although the District has attached a document entitled Proof of Service of Mailing as Exhibit A to its motion, its allegations are generally unsubstantiated. Nevertheless, counsel for the District professes considerable familiarity with the process that has been followed by the County.

- the District correctly points out, the Service Order directed the County to serve its "Intervention Documents on all claimants to the waters of the Walker River and its tributaries . . . ."

  Service Order ¶ 3. As set forth by the District, the County has not complied with the Court's earlier directive. Rather than serve the claimants with the pleadings it has filed in the case, the County merely gave notice of those pleadings and stated where the pleadings could be reviewed. In these circumstances, the existing parties, such as the Tribe, should not be required to respond to Mineral County's intervention papers on the presently scheduled date since service on the non-party claimants is not complete.
- 3. On the other hand, the District is disingenuous in arguing that "not one waiver of service had been filed." Points and Authorities at 8. Attached is a copy of a document with the heading Walker River Irrigation District requesting that water right holders not return the waiver of service (attached hereto as Attachment 1). The document states that "it is the judgment of counsel for the Irrigation District that it is not in [the

#### Case 3 73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 27 Filed 06/30/1995 Page 3 of 12

5

water right holder's] best interest to return the waiver of personal service." Also attached is a document entitled the Walker River Advocate, dated May 1995 and denominated as the "Official Walker River Water Users Association Publication" (attached hereto as Attachment 2), which quotes an attorney for the Walker River Basin Water Users Association to the effect that water users should not return the service of process. Id. at 3. The attorney for the Association is further quoted as recognizing that return of the service might cure any defects in the County's service. Id. These documents suggest that counsel for the District and the Water Users Association have actively sought to frustrate the efforts of the County to complete service.

- 4. The Tribe is concerned over the delay engendered by the actions of both sides of this controversy. By attempting to fashion some sort of abbreviated service, Mineral County has not complied with the Court's prior order and therefore delayed completion of service. By advising their constituents not to return the waiver of service, the District and the Water Users Association have eliminated any possibility that the County's abbreviated process might result in the saving of time and money.
- 5. The actions of the District and the Water Users
  Association also raise substantial questions about the extent to
  which the District and the other existing parties to the
  litigation are able to represent the interests of the non-Indian
  water users on the River and thus whether individual service must
  be accomplished on every water right holder on the stream before
  the important and far reaching issues raised by the County may be

#### Case 3 73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 27 Filed 06/30/1995 Page 4 of 12

presented to the Court. To be sure, it is important that those affected by the claims of the County have notice of those claims. But the need to involve all affected parties should not be an excuse to delay unnecessarily the consideration of the issues raised by the County.

6. In these circumstances, there probably is no alternative to delaying the time for responses to the motion to intervene filed by the County. However, given the widespread knowledge about the County's claims and the ongoing efforts to organize in opposition to those claims, there is no need for an extended briefing schedule such as is suggested by the District. Instead, the parties should be required to respond to the County's intervention and preliminary injunction motions 21 days after completion of service.

Dated: June 29 199

Respectfully submitted,

Scott B. McElrov GREENE, MEYER & MCELROY, P.C. 1007 Pearl Street, Suite 220 Boulder, Colorado 80302 (303) 442-2021

Evan Beavers Beavers & Young 1616 Highway 395 P.O. Box 486 Minden, Nevada 89423

702/782-5110

Attorneys for the Walker River Painte Tribe

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

**2**5

26

#### Case 3 73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 27 Filed 06/30/1995 Page 5 of 12

0

5

6

2 North Central Avenue, Suite 500

Phoenix, AZ 85004

1	<u>CERTIFICA</u>	TE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that I h	ave sent a true and correct copy of
3	the foregoing Response of the	Walker River Paiute Tribe to the
4	Motion to Vacate Schedule for	Serving Responses to Mineral County
5	Motion to Intervene; to Establ	ish Date for Completion of Service;
6		onses to Mineral County Motion To
7		Service, via U.S. Mail or Overnight
Ť	<b>!</b>	
8		charges prepaid thereon, this M
9	·—	addressed to:
10	Shirley A. Smith Asst. U.S. Attorney	Robert L. Hunter, Superintendent Western Nevada Agency
11	100 W. Liberty, #600	Bureau of Indian Affairs
12	Reno, NV 89501	1677 Hot Springs Road Carson City, NV 89706
- 1	George Benesch Benesch & Fermoile	R. Michael Turnipseed, P.E.
13	P.O. Box 3197	Division of Water Resources
14	Reno, NV 89505	State of Nevada
	Lim Weighaumt Connect Manager	123 West Nye Lane
[5]	Jim Weishaupt, General Manager Walker River Irrigation District	Carson City, NV 89710
16	P.O. Box 820	David E. Moser
	Yerington, NV 89447	McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enerson
7	James T. Markle	Three Embarcadero Center
	State Water Resources Control Board	San Francisco, CA 94111
8	P.O. Box 100	John P. Lange
9	Sacramento, CA 95814	Environment & Natural Resources Division
	John Kramer	999 18th Street, Suite 945 Denver, CO 80202
<u> </u>  0	Department of Water Resources	Deriver, CO 80202
	1416 - 9th Street	Roger Johnson
1	Sacramento, CA 95814	Water Resources Control Board
2		State of California
ال ''	Ross E. deLipkau	P.O. Box 2000
3	Marshall, Hill, Cassas & deLipkau P.O. Box 2790	Sacramento, CA 95810
. []	Reno, NV 89505	Garry Stone
4		290 South Arlington Ave.
5	Richard R. Greenfield	Reno, NV 89501
- 11	Field Solicitor's Office	
611	Department of the Interior	Linda A. Bowman

201 W. Liberty St., 3rd Floor

P. O. Box 281

Reno, NV 89504

#### Case 3 73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 27 Filed 06/30/1995 Page 6 of 12

Susan Joseph-Taylor 1 Deputy Attorney General Division of Water Resources 198 Carson Street Carson City, NV 89710 3 Gordon Fl. DePaoli -- Overnight 4 Woodburn and Wedge P.O. Box 2311 5 Reno, NV 89501 6 Mary Hackenbracht Deputy Attorney General State of California 2101 Webster Street Oakland, CA 94612-3049 9 Roger Bezayiff Chief Deputy Water Commissioner 10 U.S. Board of Water Commissioners P.O. Box 853 11 Yerington, NV 89447 12 Jim Spoo -- Overnight Zeh, Spoo & Hearne 13 450 Marsh Avenue Reno, NV 89509 14 15 16 17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



# ADVOCATE

Volume II

174

Official Walker River Water Users Association Publication

May 1995

# MORE GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION

Decades ago, hard working pioneers with a vision for the future put their earnings and elbow grease together to build a dream. It began with the construction of several dams: Topaz, Bridgeport, Twin Lakes, Green Lakes, Virginia Lakes, Pocre Lake and other smaller opportune natural places to store the irratic and unpredictable runoff. The idea was to provide generations to come with water for agriculture and recreation, at the sole expense of those who would use the resources.

Today the dreams of our forefathers (and your water rights) as well as potential damage to the upper and middle sections of the

Continued on Page 2...



The Walker River provides for many.

#### 

### Meet the Walker River Basin Water Users Association Board Members

The Walker River Basin Water Users Association was established to protect and/or defend the water rights of water users along the Walker Rivers system. The board members were appointed by the Walker River Irrigation District and the Bridgeport Valley Land Owners Association. They volunteer their time for the cause, spending approximately six to ten hours a month in bi-monthly meetings.

#### Continued of 75.795 0000128-MMD-CSD Document 27 Filed 06/30/1995 Page 8 of 12

Jeff Hunewill is a cattle rancher and farmer in Smith Valley and Bridgeport Valley, and a Guest

Ranch owner /operator in Bridgeport Valley. He represents Bridgeport Valley Land Owners Association and has been appointed Director of the East Walker River Division in Bridgeport Valley.



Pete Fenili is a cattle rancher and farmer in Smith Valley and Feed Lot owner/operator. He is a Walker River Insigation District Board of Directors Respresentative for the West Walker River Division in Smith Valley.



Ed Snyder is a farmer in Mason Valley and Feed Lot owner/operator. He is an appointed member of the Walker River Irrigation District respresenting the East Walker River in Mason Valley.

Tom Connoly is a cattle rancher and farmer in Mason Valley. He is an appointed member of the Walker River Irrigation Districet representing the Main Walker River in Mason Valley.





Richard Fulstone is a cattle rancher and farmer in Smith Valley, Mason Valley and Bridgport Valley, and Feed Lot owner/operator. He represents the Bridgeport Valley Land Owners Association

and has been appointed Director of the East Walker River Division in Bridgport Valley.

# Don't Forget!

The Annual Walker River Water Users Association Meeting

May 11, 1995 at 6:30 PM

Seating of Directors and Election of Officers

Continued from page one...

Walker River ecosystems are being threatened by Mineral Coutny and the Walker River Painte Tribe.

The agreement that governs your water rights is Federal Decree C-125. It was issued in 1936 by the Federal District Court for the District of Nevada, and it determines the water rights of most water right holders on the Walker River system.

Mineral County has already begun the attack, even though they are not even a party to the Decree. They have started by filing a motion to intervene and

will follow that by a motion for preliminary injunction. This means they will ask the Court to allow them to gain party status without the consent of the original parties (the upstream water users) based primarily on allegations that Walker Lake is entirely within Mineral County, that Walker Lake and its fisheries are mortally threatened by upstream diversions, and that Mineral County is the only entity that will protect Walker Lake. From there they will ask the court to order Walker River water users to reduce their diversions and to allow more

# Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 27 Filed 06/30/1995 Page 9 of 12

water to flow to Walker Lake. They want the Court to impose a permanent solution to Walker Lake's problems; a solution that will require a dramatic increase in the flow. of water from Walker River to Walker Lake,

Recently, you were served by mail with a Motion to Intervene along with supporting documents, a Motion for Preliminary Injunction with supporting documents, a Proposed Complaint-in-Intervention, and a Walver of Personal Service for the documents that were served. You have thirty (30) days from the date the documents were mailed to you to return the Waiver of Personal Service. DO NOT RETURN THE WAIVER OF PERSONAL SERVICE UNTIL YOU HEAR FROM THE WALKER RIVER WATER USERS ASSOCIATION!

Don Gilbert of De Cuir & Somach in Sacramento, California, attorneys for the Walker River Basin Water

Users Association explains. "It appears Williams that there is a serious defect in Mineral. County's mailing," claims Gilbert. "Returning the Waiver of Fersonal Service may cure the defect and adversely affect your rights. Therefore, do not return the Walver of Personal Service until we provide you with more information concerning this matter." If the Water Users Association has not already contacted you with spe-

cific suggestions regarding the handling of this matter, you can expect to hear from them soon.

The Court has already ordered the Water Users to serve an opposition brief to Mineral County's Motion to Intervene (and it's supporting documents) by July 11, 1995. Mineral County must file and serve a reply brief to that opposition by September 11, 1995. Then, at a future date, the Court will decide if and when we must file legal documents responding to Mineral County's Proposed Complaint-in-Intervention and Motion for Preliminary Injunction. All of these legal maneuvers are being closely observed by the attorneys at Do Cuir & Someth, as each one is a step closer to the loss of your valuable water entitlements. The attorneys will inform you if and  $\frac{1}{3}$  the rights of the users.

when such documents must be filed.

If Mineral County wins it's first battle and is permitted by the Court to become a party to the Decree, their second campaign will begin, and the Court will entertain their Motion for Preliminary Injunction. According to Don Gilbert, that motion seeks "emergency" flows from Walker River to Walker Lake in the following quantities: 260,000 afin 1995, 240,000 in 1996, and 127,000 af each year thereafter until a permanent solution is imposed. This motion is supported by declarations of experts who assert that the fishery is dying. In addition, Mineral County will argue that such environmental injury is irreparable and therefore warrants an emergency supply of water from Walker River.

As if this weren't enough to drive a farmer or rancher stark raving mad, we must keep in mind the needs of the other users, including the Walker Fliver Painte Tribe.

While all this has been going on, the Court has granted the Tribe's request to push back the deadline for filing and serving its legal documents from March 25, 1995 to September 22, 1995, So prepare yourself and watch your mail to be served by the Tribe on or around that date. Again, when the time comes. the Walker River Basin Water Users Association will provide suggestions for han-



Here, water flows rapidly through the Walker River.

dling the Tribe's motion.

Decades ago the water rights were established and today the Water Users have felt the crunch of less water. From 1990 to 1992, farm income was down 17 percent due to the drought. If the onslaught of pilfering water from the Walker River to new interests is successful, it could mean the demise of rural life as we know it. The Walker River Basin Water Users Association needs the strength of all upstream water users, from Smith Valley and Mason Valley, to Bridgeport Valley and Antelope Valley. Please call the Association at (702) 463-3523 to join. If you wish to become involved on an individual basis contact Don Gilbert, the attorney working on

# Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 27 Filed 06/30/1995 Page 10 of 12 OUR COUNSELS BACKGROUNGS

DONALD B. GILBERT

Mr. Gilbert joined De Cuir & Somach as an associate; attorney in August, 1992. A graduate of Hastings College of the Law, Mr. Gilbert was admitted to the State Bar of California in 1990 and formerly practiced at Bronson, Bronson & McKinner, San Francisco, in the areas of commercial litigation and real estate litigation.



Since Joining De Cuir & Somach, Mr. Gilben's practice areas include water law, public law, the California Environmen-

## United We Stand:

Strength comes in numbers. We've all heard the expression. The Walker River Basin Water Users Association is no exception. The Association is working to protect the water rights of its members who are water users on the Walker River System. We intend to defend, to a solution, the legal right of our members to exercise their respective water rights in full. This includes pursuing legal defenses against Mineral County's and the Walker River Paints Tribe's motions.

Moreover, the Water Users Association is working on a comprehensive plan to resolve the issues concerning Walker River and Walker Lake. These issues include (I) the protection of existing water rights, (2) the recreational needs of Walker Lake, (3) the Tribe's claims to additional water, and (4) the allocation of water between Nevada and California. The Water Users Association believes that the final resolution to the issues is a plan that will protect the rights of the individual, allow them to make voluntary decision on those rights, and to provide an intergrated water management mechanism whereby the water right holderand other stakeholders along the Walker River System can continue to provide the necessary food and fiber as well as satisfy the other interest as our nation continues to grow.

By taking a unified position we, the water users, will maximize our influence on the legal process and minimize any negative impact on our individual water rights. If you are not yet a member of the Walker River Basin Water Users Association, please join us by contacting the Association at (702) 463-3523, or the amorney, Don Gilbert of De Cuir & Somach can be reached at (916) 446-7979.

tal Quality Act (CEQA), National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), bazardous waste law, and general counsel work.

#### STUART L. SOMACHI

Mr. Somach is an Attorney-Shareholder with the Sacramento law firm of De Cuir & Somach. He is a former

antorney with the United States
Department of the Interior. He
also served as an Assistant
United States Attorney and
Senior Trial Attorney, United
States Department of Justice.
Mr. Somach entered private
practice in 1984, and now
specializes in water rights and
water quality law, natural
resource and environmental
law, as well as litigation in
federal and state court. He has



taught water rights courses and is an Adjunct Professor of Law at the University of the Pacific McGeorge School of Law.

Mr. Someth has authored numerous law review articles in the area of water rights, and is the former Chairman of the American Bar Association's Committee on Water Resources Law.

Walker River Water Users Association
P.O. Box 478
Yerington, NV 89447

FIRST CLASS U.S. POSTAGE PAID RENO, NV PERMIT #867

#### WALKER RIVER BASIN WATER USERS ASSOCIATION ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP MEETING

WRID Board Room 410 N. Main Street Yerington, Nevada 89406

#### MAY 11, 1995 6:30 PM

Notice: Time of meeting has been set to begin at 6:30 PM and to adjourn no later than 8:30 PM.

- 1. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum
- Any member of the water user group may address and ask questions of the Board relating to any matter within the Board's jurisdiction. Member comment need not be related to any item on the agenda. Action will not be taken on any matter raised by a member until the matter is specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action will be taken.
- 3. Welcome and presentation on the Water Users Association by Chairman.
- 4. Approval of Minutes of last General Meeting and Financial Report
- 5. Legal Report Introduction of Legal Counsel and Discussion of issues on the Walker River System
- 6. Old Business
- 7. New Business
- 8. Seating of Directors and Election of Officers
- 9. Adjourn

# WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT

P.O. Box 820 Yerington, NV 89447

(702) 463-3523

May 2, 1995

NOTICE TO ALL WATER RIGHT HOLDERS

CONCERNING MOTION TO INTERVENE OF MINERAL COUNTY IN
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PLAINTIEF, WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,
PLAINTIEF-INTERVENOR, VS. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ET
AL., DEFENDANTS, IN EQUITY NO. C-125-ECR, SUBFILE C-125-C

This Notice Regarding Waiver of Personal Service follows the previous Notice of

You have received a mailing from attorneys for Mineral County concerning a Motion to Intervenc, Proposed Complaint-in-Intervention and Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Mineral County, Nevada, claiming a right to a minimum level of water for Walker Lake which may affect water rights in the Walker River which you own. The materials include a request that you sign and return a Waiver of Personal Service of the documents involving the Motion to Intervence.

IT IS REQUESTED THAT YOU NOT RETURN THE WAIVER OF PERSONAL SERVICE. Counsel for the Walker River Irrigation District believes that there is a serious defect in Mineral County's mailing. Returning the Waiver is likely to cure that defect and adversely affect your rights.

At this time, it is the judgment of counsel for the Irrigation District that it is not in your best interest to return the waiver of personal service. However, if the judgment of the Irrigation District's counsel is later found to be incorrect by the Court, you might be required to bear the cost which Mineral County incurs in personally delivering documents to you. Therefore you may wish to consult with your personal attorney on this matter. If you do, please ask that alterney to contact the Irrigation District's or Water Users Association's and Dale Perguson and their phone number is (702) 688-3000. The Water Users Association's antorneys are Stuart Somach and Don Gilbert and their phone number is (916)

Until you are personally delivered all documents which the Court's February 9, 1995 order requires you receive or until you return a waiver of personal service, you are not required to respond to any of the documents mailed to you by Mineral County's Antorneys. There is a July 11, 1995 deadline for persons who have returned a waiver of personal service to respond to Mineral County's Motion to Intervene. However, in light of the defects in Mineral County's mailing, the Irrigation District may seek to have that date changed until such time as service is completed as required by the Court's order of February 9, 1995. The District and/or the Water Users Association will continue to keep you informed about the status of this matter.