

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

GORDON H. DePAOLI  
DALE E. FERGUSON  
WOODBURN and WEDGE  
One East First Street  
Suite 1600  
P.O. Box 2311  
Reno, Nevada 89505  
Telephone: (702) 688-3000

Attorneys for  
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT

Dec 23 2 07 PM '94

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
FILED  
JAN 3 1995  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
BY [Signature] DEPUTY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )

WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, )  
 )  
Plaintiff-Intervenor, )

vs. )

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, )  
a corporation, et al., )  
Defendants. )

WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, )  
 )  
Counterclaimant, )

vs. )

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, )  
et al., )  
Counterdefendants. )

IN EQUITY NO. C-125  
SUBFILE NO. ~~C-125-B~~  
C-125-C

REPORT OF THE WALKER  
RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT  
CONCERNING MINERAL  
COUNTY'S MOTION TO  
INTERVENE

Pursuant to the November 17, 1994, Minute Order of this Court, and the Stipulation and Order Concerning Mineral County's Motion to Intervene and for Pretrial Conference Thereon (the "Stipulation") filed on November 25, 1994, the Walker River

9 [Signature]

1 Irrigation District (the "District") submits its Report Concerning  
2 Mineral County's Motion to Intervene.

3 **I. Introduction.**

4 On or about January 3, 1992, the District filed a First  
5 Amended Petition for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief and Request  
6 for Order to Show Cause; or in the Alternative to Change the Point  
7 of Diversion to Storage of Water from California to Nevada (the  
8 "Petition"). The Petition challenged the California Water  
9 Resources Control Board's (the "Board") authority to issue orders  
10 to the District which conflicted with the Walker River Decree.  
11 See, Oct. 27, 1992 Order (Doc. No. 15) at 2.

12 On or about March 17, 1992, the Walker River Paiute Tribe  
13 (the "Tribe") served its answer to the Petition. The Tribe's  
14 answer included a cross-claim against the Board and a counterclaim  
15 against all water users on the Walker River and its tributaries as  
16 set forth in the Final Decree (the "Counterclaim"). The Tribe  
17 seeks recognition of a right to store water in Weber Reservoir for  
18 use on the lands of the Walker River Indian Reservation and for a  
19 federal reserved water right to use water on lands added to the  
20 Reservation in 1936.

21 By minute order dated May 18, 1992, the Court ordered that  
22 the Tribe's claims would proceed separately from the petition  
23 filed by the District. Minutes of Court, May 18, 1992 (Doc. No.  
24 34) at 1. The Court further ordered that in filing documents the  
25 parties will designate them as follows:

26 C-125 is the administration of the Decree;

27  
28

1 C-125 A is the [District's] Amended Petition and Cross-  
2 Claim; and

3 C-125 B is the [Tribe's] counterclaim.

4 Id. at 4. The clerk was ordered to establish a new subfile, to be  
5 designated as C-125-B for all proceedings related to the Tribe's  
6 counterclaim. Id.

7 On August 3, 1992, the District filed a series of preliminary  
8 threshold motions related to the Tribe's counterclaim, including  
9 a motion to require the joinder of all claimants to the waters of  
10 Walker River as defendants. October 27, 1992 Order (Doc. No. 15)  
11 at 3. The State of Nevada filed similar motions. Id.

12 On October 27, 1992, the Court granted the District's and  
13 Nevada's motions to require joinder of parties. Id. at 7. The  
14 effect of that order was to require joinder and/or substitution of  
15 all persons or entities claiming a right to use water from the  
16 Walker River or its tributaries in Nevada and California, whether  
17 such claims were based upon the Walker River Decree or subsequent  
18 appropriations. Through a series of stipulations and orders the  
19 time for complying with the Court's order has been extended to  
20 March 25, 1994. See, November 17, 1994 Stipulation and Order  
21 (Doc. No. 36).

22 On or about October 25, 1994, Mineral County, Nevada filed a  
23 Notice of Motion and Motion for Intervention and supporting  
24 documents (the "Motion to Intervene") seeking to intervene in C-  
25 125-B. Mineral County's proposed petition in intervention seeks  
26 a major reallocation of the waters of the Walker River in an  
27 effort to preserve a minimum lake level at Walker Lake. The

28

1 proposed petition seeks to accomplish this reallocation through  
2 modification of the Walker River Decree and issuance of an order  
3 requiring Nevada to grant "a certificate to Mineral County for the  
4 benefit of Walker Lake in the amount of 103,000 acre/feet per  
5 year".

6 By letter dated November 15, 1994, counsel for Mineral County  
7 advised the Clerk of the Court that "the Motion to Intervene and  
8 all accompanying papers and documents should be filed in C-125 and  
9 should reflect that case number only." The precise meaning of  
10 that statement in relation to the filing provisions of the Court's  
11 May 18, 1992 Minute Order is not clear.

12 Pursuant to the November 15 and December 8, 1994,  
13 stipulations and orders a status conference was scheduled to  
14 address the issue of the persons to be given notice of and an  
15 opportunity to respond to the Motion to Intervene and any other  
16 matter which will aid in the disposition of the motion to  
17 intervene. No response to the motion to intervene is required  
18 until further order of the Court.

19 **II. Mineral County Must Give Notice of the Motion to Intervene**  
20 **to All Claimants to Waters of the Walker River and its**  
21 **Tributaries**

22 Mineral County seeks to intervene pursuant to Rule 24 of the  
23 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Under Rule 24, "[a] person  
24 desiring to intervene shall serve a motion to intervene upon the  
25 parties as provided in Rule 5." Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(c).  
26 Therefore, Rule 5 provides the relevant law in identifying the  
27 persons Mineral County must serve and thereby notify with regard

28

1 to its Motion to Intervene. Similarly, it provides the relevant  
2 law as to who should have an opportunity to respond to the Motion.

3 Under Rule 5, "[e]xcept as otherwise provided in these rules,  
4 every order required by its terms to be served, every pleading  
5 subsequent to the original complaint . . . shall be served upon  
6 each of the parties." Fed.R.Civ.P. 5(a) (emphasis added).  
7 Therefore, in order to comply with Rule 5 as required by Rule 24,  
8 Mineral County must serve all of the parties to this action.

9 Whether the Motion to Intervene is considered filed in C-125,  
10 the administration of the Walker River Decree, or C-125-B, the  
11 Tribe's Counterclaim, as a result of this Court's October 27, 1992  
12 Order, the "parties" include successors to the original defendants  
13 named in 1924 and 1926 and subsequent appropriators. The Court's  
14 October 27, 1992 Order has required that they be joined as  
15 parties. Rule 24 requires service of the Motion to Intervene on  
16 all of those parties.

17 Moreover, based upon applicable law and the rationale of the  
18 October 27, 1992 Order, if Mineral County is allowed to intervene  
19 and assert its claim for reallocation of the waters of the Walker  
20 River, it will be required to join those same persons, if they  
21 have not already been joined. Mineral County's proposed petition  
22 seeks to impact every water user on the Walker River system.

23 **III. FURTHER PROCEEDINGS CONCERNING THE MOTION TO INTERVENE**

24 The United States and the Tribe have until March 25, 1995  
25 within which to complete service of process on the persons ordered  
26 joined by this Court's October 27, 1992 Order. Once that service  
27 is complete and those persons have appeared, Mineral County should  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

serve its Motion to Intervene on them. Thereafter, a scheduling conference should be held to coordinate the time for responding to Mineral County's Motion to Intervene. Proceedings on the counterclaim of the Tribe and the United States should be stayed until the Court rules on Mineral County's Motion to Intervene.

DATED this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of December, 1994.

WOODBURN and WEDGE  
One East First Street, Suite 1600  
P.O. Box 2311  
Reno, Nevada 89505

By Gordon H. DePaoli  
GORDON H. DePAOLI  
Attorneys for  
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL**

I certify that I am an employee of Woodburn and Wedge, and that on this date, pursuant to FRCP 5(b), I deposited in the United States mail at Reno, Nevada, a true copy of the foregoing document, addressed to:

Shirley A. Smith  
Asst. U.S. Attorney  
100 W. Liberty St., #600  
Reno, Nevada 89509

Richard R. Greenfield  
Dept. of the Interior  
Two North Central Ave., #500  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

George Benesch  
Benesch & Fermoile  
P.O. Box 3197  
Reno, NV 89505

Western Nevada Agency  
Bureau of Indian Affairs  
1677 Hot Springs Road  
Carson City, NV 89706

Jim Weishaupt, General Manager  
WRID  
P.O. Box 820  
Yerington, NV 89447

R. Michael Turnipseed, P.E.  
Division of Water Resources  
State of Nevada  
123 West Nye Lane  
Carson City, NV 89710

James T. Markle  
State Water Resources  
Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Scott McElroy  
Greene, Meyer & McElroy  
1007 Pearl Street  
Boulder, CO 80302

John Kramer  
Dept. of Water Resources  
1416 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

David Moser, Esq.  
McCutchen, Doyle, Brown &  
Enerson  
Three Embarcadero Center  
San Francisco, CA 94111

Richard E. Olson, Jr.  
Claassen and Olson  
P.O. Box 2101  
Carson City, NV 89702

John P. Lange  
Land and Natural Resources  
Federal Bldg., Dr. 3607  
999 18th Street, Ste. 945  
Denver, CO 80202

Ross E. deLipkau  
P.O. Box 2790  
Reno, Nevada 89505

Roger Johnson  
Water Resources Control Board  
State of California  
P.O. Box 2000  
Sacramento, CA 95810

Garry Stone  
290 South Arlington  
Reno, NV 89510

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Linda Bowman  
Vargas & Bartlett  
P.O. Box 281  
Reno, Nevada 89504

Susan Joseph-Taylor  
Deputy Attorney General  
State of Nevada  
123 W. Nye Lane  
Carson City, Nevada 89710

Jim Spoo  
Zeh, Spoo & Hearne  
450 Marsh Avenue  
Reno, Nevada 89509

Mary Hackenbracht  
Deputy Attorney General  
State of California  
2101 Webster Street  
Oakland, CA 94612-3049

Roger Bezayiff  
Water Master  
U.S. Board of Water  
Commissioners  
P.O. Box 853  
Yerington, Nevada 89447

DATED this 23rd day of December, 1994.

