Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 1087 Filed 03/08/2023 Page 1 of 6

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	RODERICK E. WALSTON Pro hac vice (Cal. Bar No. 32675) MILES B. H. KRIEGER Pro hac vice (Cal. Bar No. 309797) BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 2001 North Main Street, Suite 390 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 977-3304 Mobile: (925) 375-9511 roderick.walston@bbklaw.com miles.krieger@bbklaw.com	
8	Attorneys for Defendant CENTENNIAL LIVESTOCK	
9	IINITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
10		C OF NEVADA
11		
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	IN EQUITY NO. C-125
13	Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:73-CV-00128-MMD-CSD
14	WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,	
15 16	Plaintiff-Intervenor, v.	MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE PARTY AND PROPOSED ORDER
17 18	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.,	
19	Defendants.	
20	MINERAL COUNTY,	
21	Plaintiff-Intervenor,	
22	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,	
23	Defendants,	
24		
25		
26		
27		

BEST BEST & KRIEGER 82226.00003\41077470.1

MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE PARTY

Pursuant to Rule 25(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP), defendant Centennial Livestock hereby moves to substitute Wood Bridgeport, LLC, and Lacey Livestock, for Centennial Livestock as defendants in this action. Centennial Livestock has transferred its ownership interest in its land and water rights, including its water rights in the Walker River, to the aforementioned entities, as a result of which Centennial Livestock has ceased to own any land or water rights affected by the action. The grounds for this motion are set forth in the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and on all papers and pleadings on file in this proceeding.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

1. Rule 25(c) of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Under Rule 25(c) of the FRCP, if the interest of a party is transferred, the action may be continued by or against the original party unless the court, on motion, orders the transferee to be substituted in the action. "Rule 25(c) is not designed to create new relationships among parties to a suit but is designed to allow the action to continue unabated when an interest in the lawsuit changes hands." *In re Bernal*, 207 F.3d 595, 598 (9th Cir. 2000), quoting *Collateral Control Corp v. Deal*, 638 F.2d 1362, 1264 (5th Cir. 1981). According to a leading treatise:

The most significant feature of Rule 25(c) is that it does not require that anything be done after an interest has been transferred. The action may be continued by or against the original party, and the judgment will be binding on his successor in interest even though he is not named. An order of joinder is merely a discretionary

KRIEGER LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 1087 Filed 03/08/2023 Page 3 of 6

determination by the trial court that the transferee's presence would facilitate the conduct of the litigation.

7C Charles Alan Writ, Arthur R. Miller & Mary Kay Kane, *Federal Practice and Procedure* § 1958 (2d ed. 1986) (footnote omitted).

2. Factual Grounds for Motion

Centennial Livestock is a limited liability partnership located in and established under the laws of California. Centennial Livestock possesses water rights in the Walker River, which were recognized and adjudicated in the Walker River Decree. Centennial Livestock is one of the defendants in this action, and is also one of the Principal Defendants, who are the defendants that have actively participated in the litigation. Centennial Livestock has actively participated in this litigation, by, among other things, filing pleadings, motions and briefs, and joining in actions taken by the other Principal Defendants.

For succession planning and other actions unrelated to the pending action, Centennial Livestock recently transferred its ownership interests in its land and water rights, including its water rights in the Walker River, to its general partners. As a result, the land and water rights formerly owned by Centennial Livestock are now owned by two new entities—Wood Bridgeport, LLC, a California limited liability company, and Lacey Livestock, a California general partnership. As a result of the transfer, Wood Bridgeport, LLC, will own 52%, and Lacey Livestock 48%, of Centennial Livestock's land and water rights, including its water rights in the Walker River. As a result of the transfer, Centennial Livestock has ceased to own any land or water rights affected by the action.

AND PROPOSED ORDER

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 1087 Filed 03/08/2023 Page 4 of 6

I	
	This motion is to substitute the two new entities—Wood Bridgeport, LLC,
	and Lacey Livestock—for Centennial Livestock under Rule 25(c) of the FRCP.
	The substitution of the new entities for Centennial Livestock would facilitate the
	conduct of this litigation. Centennial Livestock no longer has an interest in the
	action, and there is no longer a basis for Centennial Livestock's being a defendant
	in this action. On the other hand, the two successor entities—Wood Bridgeport,
	LLC, and Lacey Livestock—now possess the water rights in the Walker River that
	were formerly held by Centennial Livestock, and thus they have the same interest
	in defending their water rights in the instant action that Centennial Livestock
	formerly had. Therefore, it is appropriate that Wood Bridgeport, LLC, and Lacey
	Livestock be substituted for Centennial Livestock as defendants in this action.
	The two new entities will be represented by Centennial Livestock's counsel
	Roderick E. Walston.
	CONCLUSION
	For the foregoing reasons, this Court should grant the motion to substitute
	Wood Bridgeport, LLC, and Lacey Livestock, for Centennial Livestock as
	defendants in this action.
	Dated: March 8, 2023
	By: /s/ Roderick E. Walston RODERICK E. WALSTON Counsel for defendant Centennial

Counsel for defendant Centennial Livestock

BEST BEST &

KRIEGER LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

WAINIT CREEK

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 1087 Filed 03/08/2023 Page 5 of 6

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	Wood Bridgeport, LLC, and Lacey Livestock shall be substituted for
3	defendant Centennial Livestock as defendants in this action.
4	
5	Judge, United States District Court
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

BEST BEST &
KRIEGER LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
WALNUT CREEK

28

82226.00003\41077470.1

3:73-CV-00128-MMD-CSD MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE PARTY AND PROPOSED ORDER

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 1087 Filed 03/08/2023 Page 6 of 6

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Contra Costa County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the withinentitled action. My business address is 2001 N. Main Street, Suite 390, Walnut Creek, California 94596.

I hereby certify that on March 8, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE PARTY AND PROPOSED ORDER with the Clerk of the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada via the CM/ECF system, which will send notifications of such filing via email to the parties of record.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on March 8, 2023, at Walnut Creek, California.

/s/ *Irene Islas* Irene Islas

82226.00003\41077470.1