# Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 1086 Filed 12/20/2022 Page 1 of 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )				
) IN EQUITY NO. C-125 Plaintiff, ) CASE NO. 3:73-CV-00128-MMD- CSD				
WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, ) Plaintiff-Intervenor, )				
vs. ) AND SCHEDULING ORDER				
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ) a corporation, et al.,				
Defendants.				
MINERAL COUNTY,				
) Plaintiff-Intervenor, ) vs. )				
) WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ) a corporation, et al., )				
Defendants.				
1. Pursuant to the Order of October 3, 2022, (ECF 1079), the Court granted Mineral				
County and Walker Lake Working Group (collectively "Plaintiffs") and the Principal				

28

County and Walker Lake Working Group (collectively "Plaintiffs") and the Principal Defendants (collectively "the Parties") until Tuesday, November 22, 2022, to agree upon and file a stipulated Scheduling Order and Discovery Plan, or to file separate proposals, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). After conferring a number of times and exchanging proposals, the Parties were unable to reach agreement prior to the November 22, 2022, deadline for submission of a stipulated Scheduling Order and Discovery Plan, and instead submitted separate proposals to the Court. (ECF 1081; 1082).

2. On December 7, 2022, the Court held a status conference to resolve the outstanding points of disagreement between the Parties.

## Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 1086 Filed 12/20/2022 Page 2 of 9

3. In accordance with the Court's Minute Order of December 7, 2022, (ECF 1084), and consistent with rulings made during the status conference as reflected in the Court's Minute Order, the Parties have prepared the following Scheduling Order and Discovery Plan.

#### Therefore, good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. <u>Parties to This Action.</u> For purposes of this Order, "Plaintiffs" are Mineral County and the Walker Lake Working Group, collectively referred to herein as "Plaintiffs." "Principal Defendants" are the Walker River Irrigation District (the "District"), the Nevada Department of Wildlife ("NDOW"), Lyon County and Centennial Livestock, Mono County, Desert Pearl Farms, Peri Family Ranch LLC, Peri & Peri, LLC and Frade Ranches, the Schroeder Group and California State Water Resources Control Board, California Department of Fish and Wildlife and California Department of Parks and Recreation (the "California State Agencies")(collectively, the "Principal Defendants"). Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants have also included in their discussions the United States, the Walker River Paiute Tribe, Annett Family Trust, and Westfork, who filed answers to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint.

2. <u>Initial Disclosures.</u> The initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) will be made by the Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants on or before April 7, 2023.

#### 3. <u>Discovery.</u>

a. Subjects of Discovery. Discovery will be on Plaintiffs' claim based upon the Public Trust as set forth in their Second Amended Complaint in Intervention (ECF 936), including, but not limited to: (1) whether the Public Trust Doctrine has been violated; (2) if the Public Trust Doctrine has been violated, by whom; (3) whether any violation must be remedied, and if so to what extent; (4) whether the relief Plaintiffs seek is viable or available; (5) whether and to what extent the relief Plaintiffs seek will be effective in redressing Mineral County's alleged injuries and curing any claimed violation of the Public Trust Doctrine with respect to Walker Lake; (6) answers to the Second Amended Complaint; and (7) affirmative defenses asserted in answers to the Second Amended Complaint.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

### Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 1086 Filed 12/20/2022 Page 3 of 9

 b.
 Period of Discovery.
 As described in more detail herein, discovery may

 commence on April 7, 2023, and shall close on April 4, 2025.

4. <u>Discovery Coordination</u>. Discovery will be coordinated through coordinating counsel. For Plaintiffs, coordinating counsel will be counsel for Mineral County and Walker Lake Working Group, Simeon Herskovits. For Principal Defendants, coordinating counsel will be counsel for the Walker River Irrigation District, Gordon DePaoli.

5. <u>Dispositive Motions and Motion Coordination</u>. Dispositive or partially dispositive motions must be filed no later than 60 days after the close of discovery. For such dispositive motions, responses to dispositive or partially dispositive motions will be due ninety (90) days after service of such motions, and replies in support of dispositive or partially dispositive motions will be due forty-five (45) days after service of the responses.

The Party groups (i.e., Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants) will coordinate within their respective groups the filing of motions, the grounds for motions, and briefs supporting motions, and will take reasonable measures to file joint motions and joint briefs. Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants potentially have common issues to brief. No page limit should be imposed on a brief to the extent that multiple common issues are incorporated into a single joint brief. When briefs are filed by individual parties rather than as a group, the Local Rules concerning page limitations shall apply.

6. <u>Limits on Discovery</u>. Discovery will be conducted in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable local rules of this Court, and this Order.

7. <u>Written Discovery Requests</u>. Written discovery may be exchanged between April 7, 2023, and through the close of discovery, provided it is served so that a response is due before the close of discovery. Objections to any discovery request will be served with responses to written discovery. Written responses and responsive documents will only be withheld based on a claim of privilege or on a motion to the Court for a protective order made after compliance with the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

## Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 1086 Filed 12/20/2022 Page 4 of 9

1 Interrogatories. Plaintiffs (as a group) and Principal Defendants (as a a. 2 group) will coordinate interrogatories to avoid repetition and undue burden on a party in 3 responding to interrogatories. Each group is entitled to 75 coordinated interrogatories. To the 4 extent that individual Defendants have interrogatories unique to their interests that cannot be 5 coordinated with the group, they are entitled to serve them after service of the initial 6 coordinated interrogatories.

7 b. **Requests for Production of Documents and Things ("RFPs").** 8 Plaintiffs (as a group) and Principal Defendants (as a group) will coordinate RFPs to avoid 9 repetition and undue burden on a party in responding to RFPs. The Parties will prepare and 10 serve RFPs through coordinating counsel and will respond to them also through coordinating 11 counsel in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 34. The Parties will be responsible for producing 12 documents in their possession, custody, and control. To the extent that individual Defendants 13 have RFPs unique to their interests that cannot be coordinated with the group, they are entitled 14 to serve them after service of the initial coordinated RFPs.

Requests for Admissions ("RFAs"). Plaintiffs (as a group) and Principal c. Defendants (as a group) will coordinate RFAs to avoid repetition and undue burden on a party in responding to RFAs. The Parties will prepare and serve RFAs through coordinating counsel and will respond to them also through coordinating counsel in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 36. Each group is entitled to seventy-five (75) coordinated RFAs. To the extent that individual Defendants have RFAs unique to their interests that cannot be coordinated with the group, they are entitled to serve them after service of the initial coordinated RFAs.

22 8. **Responses to Written Discovery Requests.** In response to written discovery, a responding Party may respond to a request for documents by (i) providing a paper copy of the document, (ii) providing a searchable .pdf file through Adobe Acrobat software, or/and (iii) establishing a record repository for inspection and copying. Documents requiring specialized software other than Adobe Acrobat, such as spreadsheet files, may be produced in native format. Although documents might be electronically stored (such as email correspondence,

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

# Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 1086 Filed 12/20/2022 Page 5 of 9

reports, etc.), there is no need to otherwise pursue or produce information referred to as
"electronically stored information" that generally refers to backups, duplicates, and underlying
signature information (sometimes known as metadata) associated with an electronically stored
document. However, a party may request native files for documents that are difficult to
understand after they have been produced in the format specified herein or that contain
potentially relevant embedded information, and such requests will not be unreasonably denied.
Such a request shall be made according to the following protocol:

a. The requesting party shall make any such request as soon as reasonably
9 practical after receiving a document production.

b. The requesting party shall provide a list of bates numbers of the
documents that it is requesting to be produced in native file format.

c. Within fourteen (14) days of receiving this request, the producing party will either (a) produce the requested native files to the extent reasonably practicable, or (b) respond in writing, setting forth its position on the production of the requested documents.

d. If the Parties are unable to agree as to the production of the requested
documents in native format, the Parties may submit the matter to the Court.

The Parties do not need to produce copies of documents that were previously produced or copies of documents that are publicly available (such as published materials one might find on the Internet, news publications, a public repository, or a library). If a party wishes to rely on the public availability of a document, the responding Party will identify the specific location where the document is available to the public (e.g., specific Internet location, specific library, etc.). If a document has been previously produced, the response will identify the document previously produced, and when, and also refer to the location of it by bates number or otherwise.

9. <u>Expert Discovery</u>. Discovery from experts will be in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Provided, however, that all expert witnesses shall be required to submit a report consistent with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), even if the

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

# Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 1086 Filed 12/20/2022 Page 6 of 9

witness would not otherwise be required to provide such a report and would only be subject to
the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(C). The Parties do not need to produce copies of
documents that were previously produced or copies of documents that are publicly available
(such as published materials one might find on the Internet, news publications, a public
repository, a library - so long as the documents are identified and their specific location is
provided).

The expert report sequence will be staggered and is summarized in a table below. The Plaintiffs' opening expert reports will be due on October 6, 2023. Defendants' responsive expert reports to the Plaintiffs' opening expert reports will be due on March 8, 2024. Plaintiffs' rebuttal expert reports to Defendants' responsive expert reports will be due on June 7, 2024.

The Defendants' opening expert reports will be due on March 8, 2024. Plaintiffs' responsive expert reports to Defendants' opening reports will be due on May 10, 2024. Defendants' rebuttal expert reports to Plaintiffs' responsive expert reports will be due on June 7,

4 || 2024.

	Expert Report Sequence				
	Plaintiffs' Opening	October 6, 2023			
<b>'</b>	Defendants'	March 8, 2024	Defendants'	March 8, 2024	
,	Response		Opening		
			Plaintiffs' Response	May 10, 2024	
3	Plaintiffs' Rebuttal	June 7, 2024	Defendants'	June 7, 2024	
			Rebuttal		

Expert depositions will take place between July 8, 2024, and the close of discovery. However, if reasonably necessary for purposes of preparing responsive expert reports, with the consent of relevant parties, deposition of such expert witness may commence immediately after the expert witness's opening expert report has been disclosed. If the relevant parties do not consent, the party seeking to take a deposition prior to responsive or rebuttal expert reports may seek leave of Court to conduct such a deposition.

### Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 1086 Filed 12/20/2022 Page 7 of 9

 1
 10.
 Privileged Materials Located in the Offices of Counsel. If a party is claiming

 2
 privilege as to a requested document, it should be placed on a privilege log, regardless of where

 3
 it is located.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### 11. Privileged Communications (Attorney-Client Communications and

Attorney Work Product) and Privilege Log. Unless expressly stated otherwise, no discovery request should be construed to request communications exclusively between a party (including representatives, employees and agents) and its counsel, and work product created by counsel. Unless such communications are expressly requested or otherwise contain discoverable information (*e.g.*, Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4)(C)), such materials will not be produced or placed on a privilege log. The Parties will follow Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5)(A), to provide a log of privileged or work product materials subject to any exception which might be applicable.

12 12. <u>Depositions</u>. Depositions will be taken in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 30 and
31. The Parties will have the right to depose any identified expert or lay witness. Expert
depositions will be taken in accordance with the schedule set forth in paragraph 9 above.
All lay witnesses must be identified no later than June 7, 2024. For any lay witnesses on which
Plaintiffs will rely, for any lay witnesses on which Principal Defendants will rely, and for any
remaining non-expert persons as contemplated under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a), such depositions
may be taken before the close of discovery.

Subject to specific agreement(s) otherwise, depositions will occur in the Reno/Sparks, Nevada, metropolitan area, be taken in a single day, and last for a maximum of 7 hours of testimony. Notices of deposition and subpoenas duces tecum directed to a deponent may be served on coordinating counsel by email 30 days before a scheduled deposition. The Parties shall endeavor to coordinate the scheduling of depositions on dates that maximize efficiency and minimize the need for repetitive travel to the extent practicable. Costs of lay/expert witness deponents (which include but are not limited to witness travel, expense, and time spent preparing for and attending the deposition) will be borne by the Party on whose behalf the lay/expert witness will be called. All other costs associated with depositions (such as rented

# Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 1086 Filed 12/20/2022 Page 8 of 9

office space, court reporter costs, etc.) shall be borne by the Party taking such deposition. For all 2 oral depositions, the Parties request the right of review pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e).

3 13. Fed. R. Evid. 502(b). The Parties invoke Rule 502(b) of the Federal Rules of 4 Evidence and agree that in the event of an inadvertent disclosure of privileged/protected 5 material, such privilege or protection is not waived or forfeited by inadvertent disclosure. If a 6 party determines that it has produced a document upon which it wishes to make a claim of 7 privilege, the producing party shall, within 14 days of making such determination, give all 8 counsel of record notice of the claim of privilege. Any party that complies with this paragraph 9 will be deemed to have taken reasonable steps to rectify disclosures of privileged or protected 10 information or materials. If a party identifies a document that appears on its face or in light of facts known to the party to be subject to another party's claim of privilege, the party identifying 12 the potential claim of privilege is under a good-faith obligation to notify the party holding the 13 potential claim of privilege. Recovery, management, and disputes associated with disclosed 14 privileged material will be governed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5)(B).

15 14. **Extensions or Modifications of Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order.** LR 26-3 governs modifications or extensions of this discovery plan and scheduling order. Any 17 stipulation or motion to extend a deadline set forth in this Order must be filed with the Court no later than 3 days prior to the deadline sought to be extended.

15. Parties May Seek Relief From Court. Nothing in this Order shall prevent any party from seeking permission by stipulation and/or order of the Court for relief from any provision of this Order.

16. Answering Defendants Not Actively Participating. The United States, Walker River Paiute Tribe, Annett Family Trust, and Westfork do not anticipate actively engaging in the discovery process described in the paragraphs above, and coordinating counsel for the Principal Defendants does not have to coordinate with them in the discovery process. With respect to motions, including dispositive motions, those Defendants will comply with the schedule detailed above, but they and the Principal Defendants are not required to coordinate

1

11

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

# Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 1086 Filed 12/20/2022 Page 9 of 9

with respect to motions. Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants agree that with respect to any
written discovery served, those Defendants are entitled to copies of responses to such discovery,
and that they are entitled to participate in any scheduled depositions. To the extent that those
Defendants later determine that they in fact need to more fully participate in the discovery
process, upon motion and good cause shown, the Court will consider any such request and grant
any appropriate relief.

6	any appropriate relief.
7	ORDER
8	
9	Dated: December 20, 2022. IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	Craig S. Denney
11	United States Magistrate Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Page <b>9</b> of <b>9</b>