Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 838 Filed 09/03/2015 Page 1 of 79

```
1
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                           DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 2
         BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, MAGISTRATE JUDGE
                                ---000---
 3
 4
      UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 5
           Plaintiff,
                                    : No. In Equity No. C-125-RCJ
                                    : Subproceedings: C-125-B and
 6
                                    : C-125-C
                 -vs-
      WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,
 7
                                    : 3:73-CV-125-RCJ-WCG
                                    : 3:73-CV-127-RCJ-WGC
      et al.,
 8
                                    : 3:73-CV-128-RCJ-WGC
           Defendants.
 9
                                    : August 6, 2013
10
11
12
                     TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE
13
14
    APPEARANCES:
15
     FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
                          ANDREW "GUSS" GUARINO
                          Assistant United States Attorneys
16
                          Denver, Colorado
17
                          DAVID L. NEGRI (Telephonic)
                          Assistant United States Attorney
18
                          Boise, Idaho
19
     FOR THE WALKER WES WILLIAMS, JR.
20
     RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE: Attorney at Law
21
     (Telephonic)
                         Schurz, Nevada
22
23
     Transcribed by:
                          Margaret E. Griener, CCR #3, RDR
                          Official Reporter
24
25
     (Appearances continue on next page.)
```

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 838 Filed 09/03/2015 Page 2² of 79

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
2		
3	FOR WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT:	GORDON H. DePAOLI and DALE E. FERGUSON
4	TRAIGRITON DISTRICT.	Attorneys at Law Reno, Nevada
5		Relio, Nevada
6	FOR U.S. BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS:	KAREN A. PETERSON Attorney at Law
7		Carson City, Nevada
8		
9	FOR MINERAL COUNTY:	SIMEON HERSKOVITS and IRIS THORNTON (Telephonic)
10		Attorneys at Law El Prado, New Mexico
11		II IIado, New Mexico
12	FOR MONO COUNTY: (Telephonic)	STACY SIMON Attorney at Law
13	(retephonic)	Mammoth Lakes, California
14		
15	FOR NEVADA DEPARTMENT WILDLIFE:	BRYAN L. STOCKTON and MARTA A. ADAMS Deputies Attorney General
16	(Telephonic)	Carson City, Nevada
17		
18	FOR NATIONAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FOUNDATION:	CHRISTOPHER W. MIXSON Attorney at Law
19	WIEDELLE LOOKDILLON.	Reno, Nevada
20		
21	FOR CALIFORNIA STATE	ERIN K. L. MAHANEY and NHU Q. NGUYEN
22	AGENCIES: (Telephonic)	Deputies Attorney General Sacramento, California
23		MICHAEL NEVILLE
24		Deputy Attorney General San Francisco, California
25		

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 838 Filed 09/03/2015 Page 3³ of 79

1	(Appearances continue on a	next page.)
2	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
3		
4	FOR LYON COUNTY:	GEORGE N. BENESCH
5	(Telephonic)	Attorney at Law Reno, Nevada
6		
7	FOR CIRCLE BAR N. RANCH	THERESE URE
8	AND MICA FARMS:	Attorney at Law Reno, Nevada
9		
10	FOR SWAINSTON-WIGGINS FARMS:	HARRY W. SWAINSTON Attorney at Law
11	FARMS:	Carson City, Nevada
12		
13		JIM SHAW, Water Master
14		
15		LIA GRIFFIN, District Court Operations Manager
16		operations manager
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
RENO, NEVADA, TUESDAY, AUGUST 6, 2013, 1:38 P.M.
 1
 2
                                ---000---
 3
                               This is the date and time set for a
 4
                   THE CLERK:
 5
     status conference in case number 3:73-CV-125-RCJ-WGC,
     3:73-CV-127-RCJ-WGC, and 3:73-CV-128-RCJ-WGC, United States of
 6
 7
     America versus Walker River Irrigation District and others.
 8
                   Counsel, may I please ask that you state your
 9
     appearance and on whose behalf you represent starting with
10
     counsel present today.
11
                   MR. GUARINO: Your Honor, for the United States,
12
     my name is Guss Guarino. I represent the United States
13
     particularly with respect to the interests associated with the
14
     Walker River Tribe.
15
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: Good afternoon, your Honor.
16
     behalf of Mineral County, I'm Simeon Herskovits, counsel for
17
     the county. With me on the telephone, I believe, is Iris
18
     Thornton, also counsel for Mineral County.
19
                   THE COURT: Who's on the telephone with you?
20
     I'm sorry.
21
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: Iris Thornton --
22
                   THE COURT: Oh, okay.
23
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: -- is also --
24
                   MR. DePAOLI: Good afternoon, your Honor.
25
     Gordon DePaoli on behalf of the Walker River Irrigation
```

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 838 Filed 09/03/2015 Page 5⁵ of 79

1	District.		
2	MR. FERGUSON: Good afternoon, your Honor. Dale		
3	Ferguson on behalf of the Walker River Irrigation District.		
4	MR. SWAINSTON: Good afternoon, your Honor.		
5	Harry Swainston on behalf of Swainston-Wiggins Farms.		
6	MR. MIXSON: Good afternoon, your Honor. Chris		
7	Mixson of the Wolf Rifkin law firm on behalf of the National		
8	Fish and Wildlife Foundation.		
9	THE COURT: Thank you. Hold on one second.		
10	(Discussion held off the record.)		
11	THE COURT: Excuse me. Please go ahead.		
12	MS. URE: Good afternoon. This is Therese Ure		
13	with Schroeder law office on behalf of the Circle Bar N Ranch		
14	and Mica Farms.		
15	THE COURT: Ms. Peterson, I note that you're		
16	there in the audience with our water commissioner, Water		
17	Master, Mr. Shaw.		
18	MS. PETERSON: Thank you.		
19	MR. SHAW: Thank you, your Honor.		
20	THE COURT: And we have some telephonic		
21	appearances?		
22	MS. ADAMS: Your Honor, this is excuse me,		
23	this is Marta Adams for the Nevada Department of Wildlife.		
24	MR. STOCKTON: This is Bryan Stockton for Nevada		
25	Department of Wildlife.		

```
1
                   MR. NEVILLE: Good afternoon, your Honor.
 2
     is Michael Neville for the California state agencies in
 3
     San Francisco.
                   Also on the line is Erin Mahaney, counsel for
 4
 5
     one of those agencies, the State Water Resources Control
 6
     Board.
 7
                   MR. NEGRI: Your Honor, David Negri with the
 8
     Justice Department for the United States particularly with
 9
     regards to the U.S. Army, Marines, Bureau of Land Management,
     and Forest Service.
10
11
                   MR. BENESCH: George Benesch for Lyon County.
12
                   MS. SIMON: Stacy Simon for Mono County.
13
                   MS. NGUYEN: Good afternoon, your Honor.
14
     is Nhu Nguyen from the California Attorney General's office on
15
     behalf of the California state agencies from the Sacramento
16
     office.
17
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, this is Wes Williams,
18
     Jr., on behalf of the Walker River Paiute Tribe.
19
                   MS. THORNTON: Good afternoon, your Honor.
                                                                This
20
     is Iris Thornton on behalf of Mineral County.
21
                               It appears that everyone has stated
                   THE COURT:
22
     their appearances.
23
                   I would ask counsel to remain seated during
24
     their comments and presentations. Please state your name
25
     beforehand so the record is complete and accurate.
```

1 Before I proceed, I would like to introduce some 2 guests who are visiting the justice system from Spain and the 3 Basque country. 4 (The Court introduced visitors in the courtroom for the record.) 5 Counsel, although at the hearing two weeks ago I 6 mentioned that we wouldn't need an agenda, last week I got to 7 thinking that we do, and I did -- I think you've all received 8 the proposed agenda I distributed for this hearing, and then Walker River added an additional comment about an agenda item 9 for this hearing, and I think it all revolves around this 10 11 issue of what are we going to do about service. 12 Judge Jones does not appear to be enamored of 13 the e-service issue -- e-service order as to, I would say, one 14 component. 15 We have those who have elected to receive 16 e-service, and according to Ms. Lia Griffin, who is here, of 17 the 950 copies of the order that were sent, 142 elected to 18 receive service via e-mail, 75 stated they could not handle e-mail and wanted to receive traditional service through the 19 20

USPS, 113 were returned undeliverable, which is the same problem I noted at the last hearing, and 620 have not responded, and I think it's mainly the failure to respond group that we need to address.

21

22

23

24

25

Judge Jones suggested the parties consider some type of notification process via postcard which is somewhat

```
problematic for the Court. What we would have to do is I
 1
 2
     don't think physically compatible with postcard mailings here,
 3
     and I'm not quite sure how we would have to work that out,
     although I suppose with a capital overlay of money we don't
 4
     have, we could overcome that hurdle.
 5
 6
                   Anyway, we don't have minutes from that hearing
 7
     yet, we don't have a transcript, and I can't be specific as to
     what Judge Jones noted as due process concerns, but that's my
 8
     recollection.
 9
                   Does anybody want to take the lead on that
10
11
     issue? Maybe, since it's a 127 issue, Mr. Guarino, do you
12
     want to address it, or --
13
                   MR. GUARINO: Yes, I'll start, your Honor.
                                                                For
14
     the record, Guss Guarino for the United States.
15
                   My recollection -- I too have spoken with
16
     Ms. Griffin about where we're at on sort of -- with the
     universe of folks out there, and I agree with the Court's
17
18
     description that the focus should be on I think particularly
     those 620 who have not responded. I think that was the
19
20
     concern that Judge Jones expressed in our hearing last.
21
                   As I understand it, this notion of sending a
22
     postcard out is similar to what is going on in the Orr
23
     Ditch-Truckee River case. I am unfamiliar with that
24
     litigation --
```

THE COURT: Let me just give everyone the

25

citation for that. It's 3:73-CV-31, and the particular order 1 2 is Document 1198. 3 Thank you, your Honor. MR. GUARINO: I had talked to other counsel, particularly 4 Mr. Mixson and I had a brief conversation, I think, last time 5 after the hearing, and he described it briefly how it works in 6 7 the Orr Ditch-Truckee River litigation with these -- to these 8 postcards. I think that's what -- my recollection or 9 understanding is that's what Judge Jones was getting at when we were -- when we were here last. 10 11 I don't know if Mr. Mixson can describe for the 12 Court and the rest of the parties here what he described to me 13 about how that postcard system works, but I don't want to try 14 it because I haven't done it yet. THE COURT: Well, before we get to that, let me 15 16 add just one more comment. 17 And I think what we're focusing here on that 18 e-service order is paragraph 17 which stated that, "Any unrepresented party who fails to 19 complete and return the attached notice shall be 20 21 deemed to have consented to receive subsequent notice 22 and service of all filings in this matter by taking 23 responsibility to check the public website at," the web address, "and shall be deemed to have received 24

notice of all subsequent orders and other filings in

25

1 this matter." 2 And I think that's the provision that Judge Jones had some qualms about. 3 And I think it affects not only the Court and what 4 5 we have to send out and the scope of what we send out, but the 6 parties. Let's say when you do your briefing on these 7 threshold issues, that probably has to be served on everyone 8 so --MR. GUARINO: Or at least this idea of notice --10 THE COURT: Yeah, what are you going to do. 11 So I'm sorry, go ahead. 12 MR. MIXSON: Good afternoon, your Honor. 13 the record, it's Chris Mixson for the National Fish and 14 Wildlife Foundation. 15 And Mr. Guarino and I did discuss this briefly 16 at the end of the last status conference, and there's other 17 attorneys representing parties here who are also involved in 18 the Truckee River matters who may also be able to fill any 19 gaps I may leave. 20 But the way it essentially works is I think that we only provide notice for sort of the substantive filings. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. What's the substantive 23 filings? 24 MR. MIXSON: Well, that's obviously, you know, 25 in the eye of the beholder, but, I mean, obviously, briefs,

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 838 Filed 09/03/2015 Page 11 of 79

```
1
     any motions, orders, that kind of thing --
 2
                   THE COURT: Notice --
 3
                   MR. MIXSON: Changes of address, changes of
     attorney I don't think --
 4
 5
                   THE COURT: Notice of a hearing?
 6
                   MR. MIXSON: Notice of a hearing I would expect,
 7
     yeah.
 8
                   THE COURT: This notice -- or this hearing was
     noticed, and, Ms. Griffin, correct me if I'm wrong, but that
 9
10
     was mailed to everyone in this case, was it not?
11
                   MS. GRIFFIN: Yes, your Honor.
12
                   MR. MIXSON: So what happens is a party e-files
13
     a document, you know, through their attorney if they're a
14
     represented party, and then they prepare a postcard that then
15
     goes out to all the parties in the case.
16
                   And on the postcard is a notice of the name of
17
     the pleading or filing and directions on how to go to a
18
     website where that document can be viewed for free and
     downloaded for free and also the address of a local public
19
20
     library, I believe it's in Fernley, Nevada, for the Truckee
21
     River --
22
                   THE COURT:
                               That's in the Orr Ditch case, they
23
     use Fernley.
24
                                That's right, your Honor.
                   MR. MIXSON:
25
                   THE COURT: And there were several libraries
```

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 838 Filed 09/03/2015 Page 12 of 79

mentioned here, but no one really said if the libraries are 1 2 going to be capable of receiving these things and what do they 3 do with them. What if they say we don't want them and throw 4 them away? Does that satisfy due process? 5 6 MR. MIXSON: I don't know. I'm not prepared to 7 answer that right now. 8 MR. GUARINO: Your Honor, Guss Guarino for the 9 United States. On that point, you know, it is our position that 10 11 we are meeting due process by the steps we've already taken. 12 We are dealing with those folks who have been given notice and 13 served and actually have entered their appearance on this 14 action. 15 We are, I think, taking an exceptional step by 16 contemplating doing what -- what we're contemplating doing in 17 sending this extra notice out to individuals. 18 THE COURT: What notice do you send out? MR. GUARINO: Right now -- well, right now we've 19 sent out -- we've served the folks with our -- with our 20 package giving notice of this lawsuit. 21 22 THE COURT: Right. 23 MR. GUARINO: They have returned -- that's what 24 these folks are. They have returned either a waiver of 25 service, or they entered their appearance, either them --

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 838 Filed 09/03/2015 Page 13 of 79

```
they've returned delivery of service and/or they have either
 1
 2
     entered their own appearance as pro se parties, or they have
 3
     entered their appearance through counsel, and that's how we
     come down to this about 1100 folks or 950 folks that we're
 4
 5
     talking about here.
 6
                   I would argue we have met due process.
 7
                   THE COURT: Well, you've met due process to that
 8
     point.
 9
                   MR. GUARINO: Yes.
                   THE COURT: You've given them notice.
10
11
                   But if you file a motion for summary judgment in
12
     this case, you have to serve everyone, don't you?
13
                   MR. GUARINO: Yes.
14
                   THE COURT: So what are you going to do about
15
     those -- you have 20 attorneys going to get it by e-service.
16
     What are you going to do about the other 930?
17
                   MR. GUARINO: Well, we've provided them the
18
     opt-in or opt-out which is what Ms. Griffin had described.
19
                   THE COURT: You mean, the e-service order.
20
                   MR. GUARINO:
                                 Yes.
                   THE COURT: Which Judge Jones said is not going
21
22
     to fly.
23
                   MR. GUARINO: Yes, I -- I understand that.
24
     have a different opinion than Judge Jones, and Judge Jones is
25
     the judge, so we're going to comply with what the judge says.
```

THE COURT: Good advice. 1 2 MR. GUARINO: They teach you that in law school. 3 But we are being, I think, extremely safe with trying to contact folks giving them notice. 4 5 Giving them this postcard notice is, I think, an extra step that we can, of course, do. It is very 6 7 expensive -- it is more than insignificant in cost given that 8 we're talking about several hundred folks per pleading. I think we should consider limiting that to 9 those motions that only affect substantive rights. Briefs 10 11 obviously would be one of those things. 12 But I think if a party files something, a change 13 of address, a -- a request to be heard telephonically, then 14 the party can put some statement at the end of their pleading saying that this -- this motion, this request, this notice 15 16 doesn't affect the substantive rights of any other party and 17 therefore we have not provided any postcard notice as required 18 by the e-service order, that sort of thing, to try to keep this reasonable. 19 20 THE COURT: The government convinced me to 21 modify the CMO by saying why not just go with what the Federal 22 Rules of Civil Procedure provide. 23 MR. GUARINO: Yes. 24 THE COURT: And I bought into that and, I think, 25 rightly so. I still embrace this supplemental CMO.

1 But does what you just proposed about just 2 giving notice of substantive issues comply with the federal 3 rules? I think as long as the parties 4 MR. GUARINO: 5 have been provided the notice to their last known address. 6 I think -- I think the key here is, your Honor, 7 these are folks who have been provided notice, and under the 8 rules, there's -- once a party is served -- under Rule 5, once a party is served, the United States -- any party is allowed 9 10 to rely upon the last known address for service, and that's 11 what --12 THE COURT: Well, I'm not quarrelling with that. 13 MR. GUARINO: Right. 14 I'm just saying about these -- what THE COURT: 15 we do serve upon those for whom we do have a last known 16 address. MR. GUARINO: Yes. And so what we've done is 17 18 we've sent the order to the -- to people at their last known 19 address instructing them that this is what they have to do. 20 And I don't see why -- from the position of the government, it seems reasonable to instruct people to take 21 22 steps to be informed about this court, about this court's 23 actions. 24 And so I do think the CMO and the electronic 25 service order, to the extent that it's been drafted described

now, is compliant with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 1 2 I am here to try and work with the Court and all 3 parties as well to try and come up with a good solution to address the concerns that Judge Jones described. 4 5 THE COURT: Do you think it's only paragraph 17 6 we need to modify? 7 MR. GUARINO: At this time, yes, Judge, I agree 8 with the Court. 9 THE COURT: Does anybody else wish to make a comment of how we modify the e-service order to comply with 10 11 Judge Jones' direction? 12 MR. DePAOLI: Yes, your Honor. Gordon DePaoli 13 on behalf of Walker River Irrigation District. 14 Your Honor, I know or I agree that Judge Jones 15 was focused primarily or exclusively, I guess, on the folks 16 who did not respond at all. 17 But I want to note that in Orr Ditch Judge 18 George, in the provisions that he made for postcard notice 19 there, was focused on the folks who also -- well, in that case 20 there wasn't any specific provision for anyone who didn't 21 respond, and I don't know to what extent people did not 22 respond, but he was focused on the people who actually 23 responded and requested notice by mail. 24 And as I heard Judge Jones the other day, he was 25 indicating that those who have requested service by mail would

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 838 Filed 09/03/2015 Page 17 of 79

actually be served by mail with a complete copy of whatever is 1 2 filed, and I think you indicated that there's probably 45 of 3 those at least at this point in time. But the e-service order --4 5 THE COURT: Seventy-five. 6 MR. DePAOLI: Seventy-five? 7 But the e-service order also, in paragraph 4, 8 stated that the Court was going to determine later the nature and scope of what would be served on the mail-only parties. 9 10 And one of the things that Judge George was 11 concerned with was not burdening the other parties in the case 12 with so much service that they simply decided not to 13 participate. 14 And so he wanted to strike a balance between 15 getting notice to people who had requested notice by mail and 16 the ability for individuals to participate in the proceeding, 17 and that's how he, I think, decided to go with the postcard 18 notice that Mr. Mixson describes. THE COURT: Let me -- Mr. DePaoli, I think 19 you're entirely correct. At page 2 of Judge George's order he 20 21 says, 22 "To ensure notice to those participants who 23 are not represented by counsel and who have elected 24 to receive notice by mail and to limit costs 25 necessarily incurred."

1 So I think what Mr. DePaoli is noting is accurate as 2 to what Judge George did. 3 But the way I read his order, it doesn't -- he didn't seem to address at all those who have not made any 4 5 return effort or --6 MR. DePAOLI: And I do not know to what extent 7 there was that situation. There were very many -- there's a 8 very long list of folks who requested by mail in that -- in 9 that proceeding. Rule 5, it seems to me, does allow -- and Judge 10 11 Reed in the case management order originally spoke to or 12 provided for ways to deal with service at this point in time. 13 But Rule 5 does -- does indicate that the -- in 14 cases where there are multiple defendants, that the Court may 15 make other orders pursuant to Rule 5(c). 16 I have not researched that to know how that 17 might apply to a plaintiff and a plaintiff's obligation to 18 make service on other defendants, but I do believe that it 19 expressly allows for defendants to not to have to serve other 20 defendants with their pleadings, and I think that's what --21 one of the things that paragraph 4 of the e-service order was 22 intending to address when we got to this point. 23 I thought paragraph 4 was more THE COURT: 24 determining what we've discussed with Mr. Mixson about what is 25 and is not a substantive document.

1 MR. DePAOLI: Well, I --2 THE COURT: And I think down the road maybe I 3 was supposed to determine that this is substantive, serve it, this is not substantive, don't serve it. 4 5 MR. DePAOLI: At least -- and when I was working 6 with Susan Schneider on that, what I had in mind was not only 7 what, but I also had in mind the concept of a postcard type 8 notice as we have in the Orr Ditch -- in the Orr Ditch 9 proceeding. 10 THE COURT: How would you use the postcard 11 notice apparatus in this case and under what circumstances? 12 MR. DePAOLI: I think I would use it, the 13 postcard notice, if we're going to -- and I think we are going 14 to do postcard notice. I would use it for those who haven't 15 responded and for those who have requested service by mail. 16 I think that the -- the -- one of the things 17 that we need to do some further investigation on, however, is 18 the extent to which the libraries that we spoke about at the status conference on the 25th have the ability and the 19 20 willingness to be a repository for what gets filed here and 21 will keep it on file. 22 One of the things that came up in Orr Ditch was 23 the fact that from time to time public libraries just dispose 24 of things that have been sitting around for awhile. And so it 25 would have to be worked out.

The other thing about the Judge George order is that it specifies that the Fernley library is a place where someone can go and actually obtain, if they pay for it, a copy of what was filed as distinguished from just going there to look at it.

So I think if we're going to do the postcard notice along the lines that was discussed on July 25th, we need to identify a library or libraries where we are trying to set up a repository, and then make sure that they can and will cooperate with that.

And finally, I do think at some point we should consider the part of Rule 5(c) which would relieve defendants from serving other defendants.

THE COURT: What if you were to file a motion for summary judgment?

MR. DePAOLI: If I were to file a motion for summary judgment in the C-125-B case, for example, I would serve, obviously, the United States and the Tribe and provide a postcard notice to the other parties if that's what is continued to be required, but, if not, perhaps would not be required to serve other defendants who are not represented and would not be receiving it via the electronic system.

THE COURT: Mr. Guarino, would you concur in that approach? I mean, because it would alleviate the burden upon the government to make that type of service too.

1 MR. GUARINO: I think that's -- Guss Guarino for 2 the United States. 3 I think that's what Judge Jones was getting at. So I would agree with what Mr. DePaoli has described and --4 but I don't think there would be a distinction for what the 5 6 United States has to do as opposed to what other defendants 7 have to do to provide folks the information that the Court is 8 trying to get out to them. THE COURT: Well, if you do that too, then 9 10 you're going to have to have an updated service list that, 11 one, includes those who are represented by counsel, those who 12 have elected to receive e-service, and those who wanted hard 13 copies, and those who didn't bother to do anything, and 14 everyone have a complete master list of either those three or 15 four different categories that's current. 16 MR. GUARINO: I am not sure -- I don't have --17 my paralegal is on vacation for the last week. I spoke with 18 her before she left to get as much information as I could from her. She's the woman who handles it from my office. And I 19 20 know Ms. Griffin also has information from the court's 21 perspective. 22 I'm saying this, Judge, because I'm trying to 23 think about who's getting this information when a party sends 24 in the information saying I want mail service or I want 25 electronic-only service.

```
1
                   I believe -- does it go to us or the courts?
 2
                   MS. GRIFFIN: That goes to the service clerk, I
 3
     think the order said, which is me.
                   MR. GUARINO: So that's being -- so that
 4
 5
     information largely is starting there.
 6
                   We're obviously working with the service clerk
 7
     to make sure that our lists are -- that we have a complete
 8
     list ourselves. But I'm not sure if it's the United States'
     responsibility to keep that list accurate and updated because
10
     the information is going to be going to the courts.
11
                   THE COURT: You know, I went back to Judge
12
     Reed's order. Somehow it clicked in my mind that maybe some
13
     of that issue is addressed in that order, you know, the one he
14
     did affirming Judge Leavitt's decision on service?
15
                   MR. GUARINO: Yes.
16
                   THE COURT: That's Document 1711. You know, you
17
     all might want to pull that out again because I think -- and
18
     it's a 27-page order, and it just dawned on me shortly before
     this hearing that that may have some bearing on it. I just
19
     didn't go through it. So you all might be wanting to take a
20
21
     look at that.
22
                   MR. GUARINO: Yes, your Honor. As a matter of
23
     fact -- Guss Guarino for the United States.
24
                   I have looked at that in anticipation and in
25
    preparation for this hearing, and, you know, I was noting that
```

the Court in that circumstance was describing that once folks -- once -- the United States in that circumstance could not be expected to keep track with the transfers of ownership of the rights.

It's not particularly on -- it's not directly on point to what we're discussing today, but it is getting to this point of trying -- once a person has been served or given notice of this Court, where does the obligation fall. Does it fall upon that person to stay up with these proceedings to the extent they want to be involved in these proceedings, or is it the other way around.

And I think -- I understand that Judge Jones has expressed a view that is more similar to what's going on in the Orr Ditch litigation, but to the extent the order was addressing that in 2012, I think that's what it was -- that's what he was focused upon.

But to the extent that a list can be generated and kept up-to-date, I do think the United States certainly would work with the courts to make sure that that information is up-to-date so that that list is available from the court to all parties so that there's no confusion about that.

THE COURT: There was also something in Judge Reed's order that probably would have been helpful if we mentioned it at that hearing.

At page 10 he discusses the issue of in rem

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 838 Filed 09/03/2015 Page 24 of 79

```
versus in personam jurisdiction, and I bring it up at this
 1
 2
     time because Judge Reed noted that due process requirements
 3
     apply regardless of whether a case is characterized as in rem
 4
     or in personam.
 5
                   He also noted that because in personam
 6
     jurisdiction is secured by the power of the court over the
 7
     res, the degree of notice and service of process required for
 8
     a judgment is less than in an in personam action.
                   So if this case is characterized appropriately
 9
10
     as in rem as Judge Reed seems to note, which may answer one of
11
     Judge Jones's questions, then the -- it gets to Mr. DePaoli's
12
     point that perhaps the higher degree of notice and service is
13
     not required in this matter.
14
                   That brought a resounding chorus of response.
15
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: Your Honor, Simeon Herskovits
16
     for Mineral County.
17
                   I do believe that that is a sensible reading of
18
     that part of Judge Reed's April 2012 order. In terms of what
19
     kind of --
20
                   THE COURT: But that only applied to the 127
21
     case and not yours.
22
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: It was actually in all of the
23
     cases.
24
                   THE COURT: Oh, was it?
                   MR. HERSKOVITS:
25
                                    Yes.
```

1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 MR. HERSKOVITS: And that order, in addressing 3 the question of jurisdiction and the nature of the jurisdiction, to the extent it provides some guidance or sheds 4 5 some light on this question of what level of service or notice is required, I think the Court is correct in suggesting that 6 7 that might be a reason for following Mr. DePaoli's suggestion 8 and utilizing postcard notice for both defendants who have requested service by mail and defendants who have not 9 10 responded at all. 11 MR. DePAOLI: Your Honor --12 THE COURT: I kind of -- go ahead, Mr. DePaoli. 13 MR. DePAOLI: Your Honor, Gordon DePaoli. 14 I was going to indicate, I was not -- what I was 15 suggesting really wasn't dependent I don't think on whether 16 the proceeding is in rem or in personam. 17 And actually I think at some point maybe we're 18 going to have to brief that question because I have -- I know that courts often regard water proceedings as in the nature of 19 20 in rem, but I'm not convinced that this proceeding today or 21 the prior proceedings were in rem in the traditional sense. 22 But I don't think that what we do here -- for 23 the folks who have elected service by mail and those who 24 haven't responded at all, I don't think that has to turn one

way or the other on that question.

25

I do think that the rules allow for this kind of 1 2 special notice in a proceeding like this one and that we could 3 proceed, whether it's in personam or in rem, in that fashion. THE COURT: You don't think Judge Reed's order 4 5 is the law of the case that this is in rem or quasi-in rem? 6 MR. DePAOLI: My recollection -- and I don't 7 have the order -- my recollection is that I thought he 8 indicated it was in the nature of in rem or quasi-in rem. 9 THE COURT: And maybe I'm reading it too expansively too, because he seemed to talk about in 10 11 generalities and didn't reach the specific conclusion of the 12 jurisdictional nature of this case. 13 But what I do think is instructive is the notion 14 that generally these cases are considered in rem or quasi-in 15 rem and that as a result the degree of notice is less than in 16 an in personam action which I think provides the grounds 17 perhaps for the postcard notification procedure. 18 I kind of detect a consensus here among at least 19 the attorneys who have spoken that you think there's a 20 framework that could be reached for modifying the e-service 21 order that would be consistent with your interpretation of 22 what the law is and what Judge Jones directed be employed 23 here. 24 Am I reading that correctly, Mr. Herskovits, 25 Mr. Guarino?

1 And actually this is really more of a 127 2 question right now because we haven't gotten to the 128 3 e-service order yet, and whatever I think happens here is probably going to be a pattern for yours, Mr. Herskovits. 4 5 But, Mr. DePaoli -- anyone else? Mr. Swainston, 6 you wanted to say something there? 7 MR. SWAINSTON: Yes, your Honor. I have not 8 responded. Harry Swainston. Our problem is not so much with respect to the 9 I'm on the e-filing mailing list, and I will get all 10 11 the notices that are sent through that process. 12 Where I'm having a problem is that if I have to 13 serve all the defendants, and there's thousands of them, I 14 just won't be able to do it. I cannot burden a very small farming interest with that kind of cost. 15 16 And so the other prong that goes along with due 17 process, which is the opportunity to be heard, necessarily 18 comes into play. Notice doesn't mean too much if you don't 19 have an opportunity to be heard. 20 So I was encouraged somewhat by your Honor's 21 comment that the rules of civil procedure will be relaxed. 22 hope -- I hope they'll be relaxed enough so that even though I 23 have not filed a brief on a particular substantive motion, 24 that I would still be allowed to be heard at oral argument, 25 for instance. And I know that stretches the rules a bit, but

1 in order to have an opportunity to be heard, the opportunity 2 that's afforded has to be reasonable. 3 THE COURT: Well, we have given notice of the events that are pending in the near future is what I asked 4 5 Ms. Griffin earlier, that we did serve everyone with the 6 notice of this hearing and the notice of the upcoming oral 7 arguments. 8 A couple of months ago, on June 4th, we served the notice of pendency of the Walker River motion to intervene 9 10 and told anyone if they wanted to respond, they could do so, 11 but they have until August -- excuse me, July 31st. 12 gave Mineral County or anyone else until August 16 to file 13 reply memorandum. 14 And I think there's only one person who 15 responded, and that was a one-pager that just said don't take 16 my water. 17 Anyway, that was a notice that the court gave, 18 and we mailed that out to -- I think Ms. Griffin said there's some 2,000 parties in the 128 case? Is that your 19 20 understanding, Mr. Herskovits? 21

MR. HERSKOVITS: Actually, your Honor, I will be meeting with Ms. Griffin tomorrow morning to talk about and go over the list of defendants for whom mail has been returned as undeliverable.

22

23

24

25

I believe the number of defendants who have been

```
served and have entered any kind of appearance in the 128 or
 1
 2
     125-C case is much smaller actually than that.
 3
                   THE COURT: Oh, is it?
 4
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: Yes.
 5
                   THE COURT: Well, how many have been served, I
 6
    mean, versus those who have responded?
 7
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: Over a thousand.
 8
                   THE COURT: All right. So they're both about a
     thousand in each case?
 9
10
                   MR. GUARINO: Your Honor --
11
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: No, it's --
12
                   MR. GUARINO: Your Honor --
13
                   THE COURT: In 127 I think we mailed out 970.
14
                   MR. GUARINO: Yes, sir, and my last report is
15
     that we have 3,849 defendants in the action itself, 1,190 have
16
     appeared according to the records that my paralegal has
17
    provided me.
18
                               So, Ms. Griffin, to whom was the
                   THE COURT:
     e-service order sent in 127?
19
20
                   MS. GRIFFIN: Your Honor, the mailing list was
     generated by the government. We looked through it together,
21
22
    but it was generated by the government, and it was as of
23
    December 2012, December something 2012, so there would have
24
    been more respondents coming in after that time.
25
                   THE COURT: But the ones that the e-service
```

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 838 Filed 09/03/2015 Page 30 of 79

```
order went out to were those who made some type of affirmative
 1
 2
     effort to respond to being served.
 3
                   MS. GRIFFIN: Yes.
 4
                   THE COURT: But it doesn't include the other
 5
     2,000 who ignored it.
 6
                   MR. GUARINO: Completely, yes.
 7
                   THE COURT: So you do have 3,000 defendants in
 8
     the 127 case.
 9
                   MR. GUARINO:
                                 Thirty-eight -- closer to -- 3800.
                   THE COURT: Thirty eight hundred.
10
11
                   MR. GUARINO: Yes.
12
                   THE COURT: Well, I think that calls into play
13
     Mr. Swainston's concerns even more so and maybe as to what
14
     we're doing here as to who's getting notification of what's
15
     going on in this case.
                   MR. GUARINO: Yes, sir. But those -- those --
16
17
     but the one thousand -- approximately 1,100 are -- that we
18
     were, I believe, focused upon at the beginning of today's
19
     hearing are those who have responded to say we're entering our
20
     appearance and we're participating.
21
                   The difference between the two numbers are the
22
     many folks who don't want to be bothered -- I think it's a
23
     very reasonable interpretation to think that the people who
24
     have now been served by either mail or by personal service and
25
     have done nothing in this case at all want nothing to do with
```

1 this case. 2 THE COURT: But the analysis might be different 3 for those -- how we treat those people in the 127 versus 128. Because in 127 the government on behalf of the 4 Tribe is seeking additional allocation of unreserved surface 5 6 water rights and perhaps groundwater, and the concept is it's 7 not taking from anyone else but it's reinforcing what should 8 have gone to them originally. 9 Is that -- maybe not a very good paraphrase. 10 MR. GUARINO: I understand what the Court's 11 describing, yeah. 12 THE COURT: But -- and Mr. Herskovits, in the 13 128 case, it's a different concept where Mineral County wants 14 a readjudication of the existing water rights in the Walker 15 River Basin or the Walker Basin. Am I mischaracterizing that? 16 MR. HERSKOVITS: I don't know if we would phrase 17 it that way, your Honor. 18 I think that we -- we filed the motion to 19 intervene and are seeking to intervene in order to assert a 20 right or a duty, it could be framed either way, under the Public Trust Doctrine to provide certain minimum level of flow 21 22 into the lake, into Walker Lake, to maintain its integrity or 23 health as a lake. 24 THE COURT: Under the Public Trust Doctrine. 25 That's correct, your Honor, MR. HERSKOVITS:

1 that was the basis for our --

THE COURT: But in order to implement the Public Trust Doctrine, that would necessarily require readjudication of water rights from those who have prior rights in order to be able to support the public trust requirement.

MR. HERSKOVITS: I don't know, your Honor, if that is quite the most accurate way to characterize what the Court would be required or what we've requested the Court to do.

I think if, for the sake of argument, we assume that Mineral County were to prevail and that a water right or a duty to provide minimum inflows to the lake were established, it would have a priority date that would antedate any of the specific appropriative water rights that have been issued under whatever system by the state since the state was admitted to the union. It would be more like a constraint or -- but it would affect all of the water rights in the system, if that's essentially what we're talking about.

But I don't know that the specific water right that each individual water right owner or holder has would have to be modified in any way whatsoever. It would remain the same.

If you had a right to 20 acre feet of water with a certain year priority, you would still have that right, but it would be affected by the overall systemic constraint or

```
1
     requirement that a certain amount be required to at least on
 2
     average flow to the lake.
 3
                   THE COURT: Well, it probably impacts what
     Mr. Shaw may send down the pipeline to various water right
 4
     holders.
 5
 6
                   Other than the tribes, what's the oldest -- is
 7
     it '63?
 8
                   UNKNOWN SPEAKER:
                                     Sixty.
                               1860? So if the -- Mr. Shaw, could
 9
                   THE COURT:
     you come to the podium a second and introduce yourself.
10
11
                   Thank you. Go ahead and introduce yourself.
12
                   MR. SHAW: Jim Shaw, Walker River Water Master.
13
     Thank you, your Honor.
14
                   THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Shaw, if the -- and I'm
15
     only asking these questions from the standpoint of the degree
16
     of service that's going to be required as Judge Reed noted in
17
     that order.
18
                   If per chance Walker or Mineral County were
     granted a right that predated the 1860, and if you had to fund
19
20
     or supply the water for an 1859 Mineral County public trust
21
     right, would that have any impact on the water rights that had
22
     a later vesting right or vesting year?
23
                   MR. SHAW: It definitely would because the water
24
     that's in the system -- let me give you a little bit of an
25
     example on this.
```

1 March 1 is when irrigation water starts 2 regardless of what the demand is. If there is no demand on 3 the system regardless of what the year is, and say I have a hundred CFS in both rivers, I can at that time set a priority 4 5 of full decree so that anybody within the system, whether it's a 1917 or 1911 right or an 1860 right, depending again on when 6 7 the Tribe's start date is with that 1859 right, I can declare 8 a full decree so that we can serve everybody at that point in 9 time. 10 If you were to implement an earlier decree 11 right, Public Trust Doctrine or not, that would give Mineral 12 County the right of, say, prior to 1859 at X water, they would 13 be entitled to all of the water or whatever waters were 14 available at that time. 15 So would it impact -- it would impact everybody 16 upstream of the reservoir with an 1859 right because you would 17 not have that water available whether it be through storage 18 rights or whatever. 19 THE COURT: Upstream of the lake. 20 Upstream of Walker Lake, yes, sir. MR. SHAW: 21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, thank you, Mr. Shaw. I 22 appreciate your comments. 23 I don't know -- you know, obviously I'm not the 24 one making the decision. You still have to address the issue 25 of Mineral County's request to intervene, and then down the

1 road someplace somebody is going to have to address the Public 2 Trust Doctrine. 3 But I was just looking at it from the standpoint of the due process requirements for service which may be 4 5 different in the Mineral County case, and we probably don't 6 have to address that today, Mr. Herskovits. 7 But getting back to Mr. Guarino, Mr. DePaoli and 8 Mr. Swainston and other people's concerns here, I think you all tend to agree that some lower -- I don't say quantum, but 9 some lower standard of service might be appropriate to save 10 11 everybody money including in court. The last notice we sent 12 out cost over \$450, and that adds up and adds up. 13 My thought is, is that perhaps you all can come 14 together with a proposal to modify the e-service order, perhaps accompanied by a joint memorandum if you all can 15 16 agree, and so far it sounds like you can, to submit it to 17 Judge Jones as to how the e-service order might be modified. 18 Does anyone wish to comment on that proposal? 19 Mr. DePaoli? 20 MR. DePAOLI: Gordon DePaoli, your Honor. I think we should do that. I think we should 21 22 try to do that. 23 THE COURT: All right. When -- do you think 24 maybe -- I think before it goes to Judge Jones, we ought to 25 have another status conference in this case to just see how

1 that reads and to see if everyone is on the same wavelength. 2 Do we have another status conference set before 3 that to November? And I know Judge Jones has a hearing on the intervention thing in September. 4 MR. GUARINO: Your Honor, Guss Guarino for the 5 6 United States. 7 Another thing that we probably should take a 8 look at is the transcript itself from the hearing of the 25th, and my understanding is, is that it might not -- it might not 9 be until towards the end of the month at the earliest. 10 11 I'm not sure how that plays in other than to 12 push is out a bit longer. I mean, we could -- we could 13 potentially -- we could start working on it, obviously, but it 14 sure would be nice to take a look at that transcript. 15 THE COURT: Yes, I agree. That's one of the 16 problems with this hearing today, that we don't have that 17 transcript. 18 Well, how much time do you think you would need? Do you want to wait until after the -- you're going to have 19 20 that transcript to have another hearing date? I would kind of 21 like to go ahead with the hearing date because it's sort of 22 like trial dates settling cases. 23 MR. GUARINO: Yeah -- maybe that -- let's set a 24 date, your Honor, and we can -- the parties will work 25 together, and I think we can use our at least collective

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 838 Filed 09/03/2015 Page 37 of 79

```
memory at this point to try and put something together that
 1
 2
     gets us -- gets us there, and if we don't have the transcript
 3
     by the time the status hearing comes up, we'll adjust it
 4
     accordingly.
                   Early September?
 5
 6
                   THE COURT: What are we looking at that week --
 7
     the 2nd is Labor Day, and I think I have criminal calendar
 8
     that week too, don't I?
 9
                   THE CLERK:
                               Yes.
10
                   THE COURT: Yes, great.
11
                   MR. GUARINO: This might be a refreshing break.
12
                   THE COURT: And I'm going to be at an
13
     e-discovery conference in Philadelphia from the 9th through
14
     the 12th. I would love to come back from Philadelphia to a
15
     full day of hearing on this case.
16
                   MR. GUARINO: We could go to Philadelphia -- no,
17
     we couldn't. No, we couldn't.
18
                   THE COURT: What are we looking at in -- what
     about the 6th, September 6th? We have a naturalization
19
20
     ceremony in the afternoon that I have to do, but say if we set
21
     this at nine or ten o'clock -- say, ten o'clock on the 6th?
22
                   MR. GUARINO: That works for the United States.
23
                   THE COURT: Can you perhaps maybe -- how would
24
     you recommend going about submitting some type of proposed
25
     amendment to the e-service order?
```

```
MR. GUARINO: We -- I think the parties could
 1
 2
     work to try and have something together by the end of the
 3
     month?
                   MR. DePAOLI: Yes. Yes, that should work.
 4
 5
                   THE COURT: All right. Maybe do a notice of
 6
     proposed amendments to the e-service order or something?
 7
                   MR. GUARINO: By the -- say, Friday,
 8
     August 30th, to give the Court a chance to look at it?
                   THE COURT: Could you make it by close of
 9
     business on Thursday, the 29th?
10
11
                   MR. GUARINO: We could do that -- I could do
12
     that. Yes. Yes, your Honor.
13
                   THE COURT: Thank you.
14
                   I -- the only thing I can think of is just
15
     asking counsel to try and come up with something that you all
16
     can agree on that you can make your collective arguments to
17
     Judge Jones on how that should be modified.
18
                   I think Mr. Swainston raises some really
     significant cost issues that the smaller participants --
19
20
     actually everybody in this case.
21
                   Now, you still have your oral arguments on the
22
     Mineral County motion for intervention, but that's not until
23
     September 23, and notice of that was served.
24
                   I guess I'm perplexed about the idea here too
25
     about those who have been served with those service packages
```

1 of the government and haven't done anything. 2 I guess there's no obligation as to somebody who 3 has not taken any affirmative response. Maybe you want to 4 address that in your e-service amendments or proposed 5 amendments, so I think -- so Judge Jones has a better handle 6 on that. 7 MR. GUARINO: On precisely who the universe of 8 players is. 9 THE COURT: Exactly, and it would help me too. MR. GUARINO: That's fine. Okay. 10 THE COURT: All right. Now, I heard 11 12 Mr. Herskovits note that he's meeting with Ms. Griffin 13 tomorrow, and, Mr. Guarino, did you meet with her today? 14 MR. GUARINO: I spoke with Ms. Griffin yesterday 15 on the phone before this hearing. 16 I mentioned at the last hearing I'm THE COURT: 17 concerned about the number of returns, and I forgot how Judge Reed really ruled on that issue, if he did, in his order of 18 19 last year. 20 MR. GUARINO: He didn't address that issue, your 21 Honor. 22 And I did, after the hearing on the 25th, speak 23 with my paralegal specifically about the returns, and, again, these were the list of folks who were served either by mail or 24 25 by personal service who have entered their appearance telling

the court yes, here I am, but we have provided the information to their last known address, and it's coming back -- it's coming back undeliverable for whatever reason, they've moved and not notified the Court.

We do get -- we do get updates from the Walker
River Irrigation District for folks who have moved and changed
addresses, and when that happens, we do update the -- our
list.

The Court's number that you described at the beginning of the hearing was 113 undeliverables. That's approximately ten percent of the folks who were served and indicated they wanted to participate but are no longer -- we can't track them.

To the extent we can correct it, we will, but we have provided them notice, and they have indicated they wanted to participate, and they haven't provided us with any updated address, and they're -- I think we've met our obligation to contact them and keep in touch with them, and we'll continue to update our records based upon any information we receive.

THE COURT: You know, Ms. Griffin gave me a series of letters that she received about people who state they no longer own the property or have died or something to that effect, and I don't know if you have addressed that with Mr. Guarino, Ms. Griffin. She's shaking her head no. So at the end of the hearing I'll make sure this goes back to her so

```
1
     you have this information.
 2
                   MR. GUARINO: Certainly.
 3
                   THE COURT: We have received several people
     who -- requesting either personal service or something else,
 4
 5
     and I'm going to be liberal in granting those. I'm not going
     to force someone on this case. So that's something I can
 6
 7
     address with Ms. Griffin later.
 8
                   Let's return to our agenda such as it is.
 9
                   I think I need a few more documents on the bench
10
     here.
11
                   Mr. DePaoli, I think your suggestion as to
12
     discussion topics in paragraph 1 referring to A and C have
13
     been sufficiently addressed at this time.
14
                   MR. DePAOLI: Yes, your Honor.
15
                   THE COURT: And I think that covers topics A and
16
     C in the agenda notice I sent out.
17
                   Topic D is implementation of briefing on the
18
     threshold issues. I'm inclined to hold off on any briefing
     schedule on threshold issues until we get this question of
19
20
     service clarified. Any comment?
21
                   MR. DePAOLI: Your Honor, Gordon DePaoli.
                   I agree with that, your Honor, and in addition,
22
23
     and I'm not sure exactly how this was left on the 25th, but it
24
     seems to me we need to get in place the necessary amendments
25
     to the case management order as well because there was
```

```
1
     definitely a change in a direction of sorts there, and I'm
 2
     sure we all have some ideas as to where we thought that would
 3
     go.
                   But I think -- I don't think we can address
 4
 5
     the -- the motions and schedule motions or any of that until
 6
     we know how the case management order would be changed.
 7
                   THE COURT: Well, again, we don't have the
 8
     benefit of the transcript, but my recollection is at the end
     of the hearing Judge Jones, for lack of a better term,
 9
     ratified both the CMO and supplemental CMO and said they're
10
11
     going to remain in force and effect.
12
                   He had some discussions about applying the CMO
13
     to 128.
              I think at that hearing I expressed some reservations
14
     as to how you're going to do that because I see them as two
15
     different animals, they're two different cases.
16
                   I am not inclined to go modifying the CMO at
17
     this time, or the supplemental CMO, as I'm unclear as to what
18
     direction Judge Jones wants to proceed, and I think I'm going
19
     to defer to Judge Jones to let him enter any order either
     modifying the CMO or entering a new CMO so that it would apply
20
21
     to the 128 case. In other words, I'm punting on that.
22
                   Go ahead, Mr. Herskovits. You had a question or
23
     comment?
24
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: Yes, your Honor.
25
    Herskovits for Mineral County.
```

1 You have perhaps already just now addressed an 2 issue that I wanted to raise with the Court which was, from 3 Judge Jones' -- Chief Judge Jones' comments at the last hearing it seemed to me that he intended or envisioned perhaps 4 a more limited case management order that extended at least 5 6 this bifurcation of threshold issues concept, and only that 7 perhaps, to the 128 subproceeding, sort of importing it from 8 the 127 subproceeding. 9 His reasoning seemed to be that he wanted to 10 sort of create that division in different types of threshold 11 issues with the jurisdiction and res judicata issues maybe 12 being addressed first, and he wanted that to occur since he 13 saw them as applying to 128, which I don't have a response to 14 right now. But assuming they apply to 128 in a somewhat 15 similar or analogous way, he wanted that to be briefed and 16 addressed and ruled on and then potentially appealed at the 17 same time. 18 So I was going to inquire of the Court today --THE COURT: But how do you do that if you're 19 20 briefing it as a threshold issue? What do you do with a 21 threshold issue? 22 MR. GUARINO: Your Honor, Guss Guarino --23 THE COURT: It's like a law review article. 24 MR. GUARINO: No, but I think -- I heard Chief 25 Judge Jones describe that it would be still raised in the form

1 of a motion. The issues would be raised in the form of a 2 motion, not just briefed as a general matter. 3 THE COURT: Well, getting back to the 128 case, wouldn't you have to first rule that Mineral County may 4 5 intervene, and then the motion would be filed to say it's barred by claim preclusion, res judicata or whatever. 6 7 Now, Mr. DePaoli, as I remember reading your --8 the WRID opposition sometime back, or response, it wasn't on res judicata, it was more of an argument that what Walker 9 River -- or Mineral County is trying to do here is to set 10 11 aside the decree and it should be advocated in that fashion. 12 MR. DePAOLI: Gordon DePaoli, your Honor. 13 Yes, I think at some point we're going to have 14 to actually see what it is Mineral County is claiming here 15 because, if Mineral County is claiming a water right, then 16 maybe res judicata and claim preclusion will apply. 17 I just -- we kind of go back and forth. And so 18 I think you're exactly right, we have to see if they're allowed to intervene, and then we'll have to see what they're 19 asserting before we can decide it -- how to address it. 20 21 I -- and maybe we have to wait to see what the 22 transcript says. Clearly we have to wait to see what the 23 transcript says. 24 I, at least, understood Judge Jones to be 25 suggesting that the initial waive of motions be limited to

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 838 Filed 09/03/2015 Page 45 of 79

```
1
     jurisdictional issues, and that he wanted the jurisdictional
 2
     issues, to the extent they applied, to pertain to the tribal
 3
     claims, the federal claims and to Mineral County's claims
 4
     before moving to any kind of motion that's in the supplemental
 5
     case management order that might be under Rule 12(b)(6) or for
 6
     judgment on the pleadings.
 7
                   And so that at least is how I understood much of
 8
     what he said --
 9
                   THE COURT: Well, a res judicata motion or
10
     motion on res judicata grounds would not be jurisdictional.
11
                   MR. DePAOLI: It would not, your Honor.
12
                   THE COURT: So what you have to do first is have
13
     Mr. Herskovits' motion heard, ruled on, and then file your
14
     motions.
15
                   But tell me, what kind of motion do you
16
     contemplate or would there be on jurisdiction grounds?
17
     mean --
18
                   MR. DePAOLI:
                                 It -- with respect to 125-B?
     Well, I think that --
19
20
                   MR. GUARINO: C.
                   MR. DePAOLI: -- Judge Jones was looking at
21
22
     Judge Reed's case management order, and the list that began on
23
     page 10, I believe, as to the tribal -- as to the tribal
24
     claims, I believe there is a jurisdictional issue as to
25
     whether a Court which has rendered a judgment and which
```

```
continues to simply supervise that judgment has the power
 1
 2
     under supplemental jurisdiction or whatever to adjudicate new
 3
     claims to water rights. I believe that has to occur in a
     separately filed new action.
 4
                   And I think that ultimately the C 125-B case
 5
     will have to be treated as if it is a new case and not a case
 6
 7
     that is simply an extension of the case that ended in 1940.
 8
                   THE COURT: Well, isn't that what you've argued
     in your response to their motion for intervention?
 9
10
                   MR. DePAOLI: To Mineral County -- no, as to
11
     Mineral County's motion to intervene, I think that is an
12
     entirely different issue.
                   I -- I understood, based on what Mineral County
13
14
     has filed, is that what they are seeking is to modify the
15
     existing decree which is potentially something that could be
16
     heard in C-125 or might have to be heard as an independent
17
     action for relief from a judgment.
18
                   THE COURT: Oh, good, we're going to have 129?
                   MR. DePAOLI: I don't think they'll change much
19
20
     but they may get a new number.
21
                   THE COURT:
                               Okay. Maybe one of our agenda items
22
     when the government prepares its agenda ought to be a
23
     discussion of what -- how we're going to handle the threshold
24
     issues versus preliminary briefing issues on jurisdiction.
25
                   I still have a difficult time understanding how
```

1 that would be addressed other than in the form of a 12-B 2 motion of some kind. 3 But we still have to go back and make sure we're all satisfied with the e-service order and how service is 4 5 going to proceed in this matter, because if Walker River 6 Irrigation District goes files some motion on the grounds that 7 there is no jurisdiction here, or if, say, Mineral County were 8 to file some position point that there is jurisdiction, it 9 seems like everyone ought to have notice of that in some 10 fashion, either the postcard, e-service or whatever. 11 So I still think one of the preliminary things 12 we have to do is resolve this e-service issue and service 13 issue, and then we can start setting briefing schedules. 14 Now, I know Judge Jones is concerned about 15 piecemeal appeals to the Ninth Circuit. If he grants your 16 motion, that's moot, you're not going to get to the Ninth 17 Circuit at this stage. If he denies your motion, presumably 18 you have immediate right of appeal. 19 MR. HERSKOVITS: Yes, your Honor. That's our 20 understanding. 21 THE COURT: I guess you just have to cross that 22 proverbial bridge when you come to it. MR. GUARINO: Your Honor, Guss Guarino for the 23 24 United States. 25 So, I agree with the Court, we need to address

```
1
     this e-service issue, and we should resolve that first.
 2
                   And then with respect to the threshold issues, I
 3
     quess the way I see it is when Judge Jones was discussing
     addressing the jurisdictional elements of the case, that he
 4
 5
     wanted to bring 125-C and 125-B together, I contemplated that
 6
     it would be sort of, as it were, worked out in the wash, that
 7
     if there were a jurisdictional challenge that applied to
 8
     Mineral County that also applied to the United States, any one
     of the claims that we're bringing, then it would be raised.
 9
10
                   THE COURT: Would you necessarily agree with
11
     that, Mr. DePaoli?
12
                   MR. GUARINO: And I guess my final thought is,
13
     and if it wasn't, if there wasn't a specific jurisdictional
14
     challenge along the same lines, then there would be nothing
15
     for them to raise, and so --
16
                   THE COURT: Well, they can't assert a
17
     jurisdictional challenge to Mineral County because Mineral
18
     County is not in this case yet.
19
                   MR. GUARINO: Assuming that they would be in the
20
     case, I'm sorry.
21
                   THE COURT: Okay. But do you think the same
22
     jurisdictional issues apply to the USA-Tribe's cases, the
     federal claims and the Mineral County claims?
23
24
                   MR. DePAOLI: I didn't. If Mineral County is
25
     going to be asserting a new water right, then perhaps, yes.
```

1 If Mineral County is seeking to modify the decree, perhaps no. 2 But --3 THE COURT: What's the difference between what you just said? 4 MR. DePAOLI: Well, I think that I have always 5 6 understood that what Mineral County is seeking to do is to 7 impose a public trust obligation on existing water rights which to me means modifying existing water rights. 8 If Mineral County is going to claim that there 9 10 is some common law claim to a first-on-the-system water right 11 for Walker Lake, then it seems to me that they're making a new 12 claim under some legal theory that I'm unfamiliar with. 13 But that -- if that's what they're doing, then I 14 don't think the Court that rendered the decree in 1940 has the 15 jurisdiction to decide the claims for new water rights. 16 think that has to be part of a separate action. 17 THE COURT: But you're saying the Court would 18 have jurisdiction to modify the decree to give new water 19 rights. 20 MR. DePAOLI: Not and give new water rights, no. 21 THE COURT: Isn't the net result, whether you 22 call it A or B, the same thing on additional water rights 23 going to Walker Lake that didn't exist before, or allegedly 24 didn't exist before, because, I think, under the Public Trust 25 Doctrine their argument is it's always been there.

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 838 Filed 09/03/2015 Page 50 of 79

```
1
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: Yes, your Honor.
 2
     correct.
 3
                   THE COURT: It just hasn't been properly
 4
     recognized.
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: Yes, your Honor, that's
 5
 6
     correct.
 7
                   And I would -- if I could just add that I'm not
 8
     entirely sure where Mr. DePaoli is focused when he says that
 9
     he thinks there's now been a shift in Mineral County's
10
                There really has not.
     position.
11
                   In our filings on intervention, I think we made
12
     it clear that we are seeking a recognition of this public
13
     trust duty or obligation to provide these minimum flows to the
14
     lake.
15
                   But I think, your Honor, you have a very good
16
     practical point, in that if we're talking about certain
17
     required flows or amounts of water being allowed to get to
18
     Walker Lake as opposed to the current situation, it has the
19
     same practical impact as a first-in-time water right to the
20
     Walker Lake would have on a practical level.
21
                               Well, if, as Mr. Guarino said, Judge
                   THE COURT:
22
     Jones wants that type of thing addressed in a motion,
23
     practically how can you do that when the intervention issue
24
     has not yet been decided?
25
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: Well, your Honor -- again, this
```

is Simeon Herskovits for Mineral County.

It was my clear understanding that Judge Jones intended for the motion on intervention to be ruled on first, and then the next step chronologically would be to go to that first phase of jurisdictional threshold issues, if you will, and I think he did intend for it to be 12 --

THE COURT: I think if he rules against you, you're appealing it to the Ninth Circuit and taking that piecemeal approach that he did not want.

MR. HERSKOVITS: Well, that is a good point, your Honor.

I suppose if we need to appeal to the Ninth Circuit, then we wouldn't be participating if the schedule moved forward while our appeal is pending in the Ninth Circuit, and we would have to come back and then deal with those issues again in the 128 subproceeding if we were to prevail on the appeal.

I don't know what to say about that because I -I think in terms of what the Court and the parties have
control over, I don't think it would make sense to not plan
out some sort of schedule for those initial threshold issues
or those jurisdictional issues until a possible appeal, until,
you know, we get to the point where we know whether there's an
appeal or that the appeal is fully disposed of which could
take, as we know, a couple of years.

```
1
                   THE COURT: Let me get back to that pondering
 2
     question I had earlier as what is a threshold issue and what
 3
     is briefing on it and what do you do with it?
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: Well, at least from my
 4
 5
     understanding, your Honor, and we all may have somewhat
     different understandings, I don't know -- certainly I didn't
 6
 7
     take away from the last hearing a clear definition from Judge
 8
     Jones' comments of what constitutes a threshold issue, but I
 9
     did take away a clear understanding that he wants
     jurisdictional questions raised first. He sees those at least
10
11
     as threshold issues.
12
                   I thought he was alluding to res judicata as
13
     another -- or claim preclusion as another type of issue that
14
     he might characterize as a threshold issue.
15
                   It seems to me --
16
                   THE COURT: Well, I can see both those --
17
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: -- that they fit into the
18
     motion to dismiss.
                   THE COURT: I can see both of those as a federal
19
20
     Rule 12 motion that would be asserted pursuant to our
21
     supplemental CMO.
22
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: Absolutely, your Honor.
                                                              Ι
23
     think that's correct.
24
                   THE COURT: But I'm not so sure that falls in --
25
     squares with his concept of case management in this case.
```

1 Maybe if you look at the definition of threshold 2 being issues that we ought to address first before we go off 3 under this case. And I assume when the time comes that we say 4 5 make your motions, Mr. DePaoli is going to be making the 6 motion in the 127 case that res judicata bars us, or it's time 7 barred, or something like that, which are all part -- there's 8 no jurisdiction, which are all considered a threshold, we have to address first. 9 10 Maybe I was too expansive in that description. 11 But, again, you can't do that in the 128 case 12 until after that intervention question has been decided. 13 I don't know that we need to address this any 14 more. You know, let's just add it as an agenda item to see if 15 anyone else has come up with any brainstorms by then based 16 upon what's in the minutes. 17 The other topics I had here, various deadlines 18 for motions, E, that's now moot in light of what we said about 19 getting -- we have to resolve this service issue. 20 Correlation of undeliverable mail to service list, I think counsel is working on that with Ms. Griffin. 21 22 The last one is whether the parties will proceed 23 with service by publication, and I don't know whether we 24 shouldn't wait on that until this service issue is decided 25 because somebody is going to be making a motion here to

1 complete service in this case by publication. 2 MR. GUARINO: Yes. 3 THE COURT: And I see different issues popping up, whether that motion is made in the 127 case versus the 128 4 5 case. 6 Now, in the 127 case, you've pretty much served 7 the universe of anyone who had any touching of water in the 8 Walker River Basin. 9 MR. GUARINO: Surface and groundwater users, 10 yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Right. Including those dormant 12 riparian right holders --13 MR. GUARINO: In California, yes. 14 THE COURT: -- in California who aren't hiding 15 behind some gated doors in Tiburon. 16 MR. GUARINO: Yes, and we, in the 127 case, 17 still have the 40 or so left to personally serve. 18 I anticipate there will -- 127 is going to be a little different from 128 in that we have -- we're going to 19 20 identify at least one, maybe two or three individuals or 21 entities that we were unable to effect personal service in 22 which a notice would be to a -- a publication would be to 23 individuals as well as a more -- a broader category of anyone 24 else holding an interest that hasn't otherwise been notified 25 that Judge Reed was contemplating previously.

```
1
                   That's not so true in the 128 case because they
 2
     served everybody that they had identified or that's been
 3
     identified in that case.
                   THE COURT: Just in Nevada.
 4
 5
                   MR. GUARINO: Yes.
 6
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: No, your Honor, it's all
 7
     holders or claimants, identified holders or claimants of
 8
     appropriative water rights to the surface waters of the Walker
     River and its tributaries.
 9
10
                   THE COURT: In California as well?
11
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: That was -- well, some of them
12
     are in California as well as in Nevada, and that is a category
13
     and a universe of identified defendants that is more
14
     circumscribed because it fits within the confines of what's
15
     been recognized, I think, for a long time as within the ambit
16
     of the original decree.
17
                   THE COURT:
                               It doesn't include the groundwater
18
     users.
19
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: It does not include groundwater
20
     users, your Honor. We've never requested that the Court -- or
21
     taken a position that the Court should be expanding its
22
     jurisdiction to groundwater for the purposes of our claim or
23
     at all.
24
                   THE COURT: You don't --
25
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: We've asserted a public --
```

```
1
                   THE COURT: -- have to get into the hydro --
 2
     hydraulic or -- how would you say it? -- hydrogeologic
 3
     connection between surface water and groundwater, if any?
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: No, I don't believe the Court
 4
 5
     needs to address our claim, your Honor.
 6
                   I think there may be a difference in that
 7
     regard -- there is a difference in that regard between Mineral
 8
     County's claim which relates to surface flows to the lake and
     the Tribe and the United States Agencies' claims.
 9
10
                   THE COURT: Well, how about these dormant
11
     riparian water right holders in California, have they been
12
     served?
13
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: Well, your Honor -- they are
14
     not, and they are not within the universe or the list of
15
     identified defendants that makes up the caption in 125-C or
16
     the 128 subproceeding, and they never have.
17
                   And when that was established by the court in
18
     1998, the response from the state of California was that the
     list was acceptable with a proviso that they were requesting
19
20
     or seeking publication in the paper of record in Mono County,
21
     the portion of the basin that's in California.
22
                   So the riparian water rights are different than
23
     appropriative water rights holders or claimants --
24
                   THE COURT: How so --
25
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: -- so they have never been part
```

of the list. 1 2 THE COURT: How do they differ --3 MR. HERSKOVITS: Well, as a legal category, I don't think the riparian water right holders have ever been --4 I don't think it comes under the decree, the Walker River 5 6 Decree, that the court entered. 7 And especially with the suppositions about 8 potential so-called dormant riparian water rights that have never been asserted yet but that in theory could be asserted 9 10 on a system that's also subject to prior appropriation and has 11 been so heavily appropriated already and governed by a 12 comprehensive decree of surface water rights or at least, for 13 the most part, comprehensive, those were not even raised in 14 the 125-B subproceeding until a few months ago, I guess, or 15 several months ago by the state of California and/or Mono 16 County. 17 I think we would have conversations with Mono 18 County and the state of California to find out what exactly their concerns are and what their thoughts are. But I don't 19 believe that they are necessarily a part of the 125-C 20 21 subproceeding, the claim for Walker Lake. 22 MS. SIMON: Your Honor --23 THE COURT: Well, eventually somebody is going 24 to be making a motion for service by publication, I take it, 25 and maybe we raise it or address it at that time.

1 I presume that might have been Stacy Simon 2 wanting to make a comment? 3 MS. SIMON: Yes, it was. Thank you, your Honor. 4 Stacy Simon on behalf of Mono County. And I took this position of Mono County in 1999 5 6 and have been representing the county in this litigation since 7 that time, and I notice Mr. Herskovits mentioned something that the State of California may have said in 1998, and I'm 8 9 not aware of that. 10 It has always been Mono County's position 11 that -- and I believe there are filings to this effect both 12 from Mono County and the state of California, to the effect 13 that dormant or nondormant riparian water rights holders have 14 valid claims to water rights under the laws of the state of 15 California, and that if there is any remedy in this matter 16 sought which could affect their rights, then they need to be 17 served. 18 THE COURT: And I think Mr. Herskovits' comment is that they have been served except for the exclusion of 19 20 riparian water rights which he states don't fall in the 21 category of appropriative water rights, and I take it you 22 disagree with that position. 23 MS. SIMON: Well, again, I think that's what I'm 24 referring to, are they riparian water rights which are valid 25 water rights under the laws of the state of California.

1 So I'm not quite sure how -- of the distinction 2 between an appropriative right that is through the water 3 process versus a riparian right. They're all valid water 4 rights. MS. MAHANEY: Your Honor, this is Erin Mahaney 5 6 with the California State Water Resources Control Board. 7 Just to clarify, as Ms. Simon has mentioned, and 8 as you know, California has both riparian water rights and 9 appropriative water rights. 10 The Walker River Decree, it is my understanding 11 that the litigation initially only involved the appropriative 12 water rights. 13 There is one riparian claim in the decree. 14 believe it was entered -- my memory is a little fuzzy, but I 15 believe it was amended after the 1936 decree, maybe a few 16 years later, to include one riparian right. 17 But this does go to one of the issues that we 18 have -- we visited throughout the litigation which is to what extent the initial action was meant as a comprehensive 19 20 adjudication of the entire stream system versus a quiet title 21 action between certain named parties. 22 And to the extent that parties or persons whose 23 valid water rights under state law may be affected by these 24 cases but who have not been -- had their rights adjudicated in 25 the decree I think has been an issue that's been put off over

1 time.

And so at various times this issue of riparians has come up, and I think that California has taken the position, well, if their rights will not be affected, maybe they don't need to be named now, but if their rights will be affected down the road, they will have to be included later within the adjudication.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know, again, that we need to reach this issue, but I just make two comments.

I don't see how you could grant the relief that Mineral County seeks without impacting those people that you just mentioned.

And, secondly, Judge Reed's order that I referred to earlier, his April 23, 2012 order, notes how that when this litigation began in 1924, the United States sued the WRID and others to quiet title to a federal reserve water right claim for the Reservation and to determine the relative rights to water of parties in Nevada and California.

But he states,

"The initial action by the United States led to a decree entered by this Court which was deemed to determine all of the rights of the parties to this suit and their successors in interest in and to the waters of the Walker River and its tributaries."

So maybe the distinction is that maybe some people

weren't parties at that time and their successors were never involved. But I just provide that for the good of the order to see how Judge Reed looked at it, an interpretation of what the original decree stated.

The bottom line is I guess we don't have to get to publication now either because we get back to the issue of service because publication may impact a lot of people, maybe more so in 128 than 127.

Mr. Herskovits?

MR. HERSKOVITS: Yes, your Honor.

I just wanted to point out that the issue that has come up about service does need to be addressed sometime in the near future because Mineral County for many years now has been working with a list of identified defendants and caption from that list that was identified by the court in 1998, and the court provided an opportunity and took into account the comments or objections of parties and came to a conclusion about that.

That has been the basis for our service efforts, and we filed a final service report and modified it following the March 13th status conference to take care of the fairly minor language issues that were raised at that status conference which were the only objections raised at that time.

And that final service report requests that the Court find that as to the identified defendants, not the

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 838 Filed 09/03/2015 Page 62 of 79

```
1
     unidentified defendants who were always intended to be served
 2
     by publication, but as to the identified defendants or
 3
     proposed defendants, since we're not intervenors yet, that the
 4
     Court find that service is complete and so that we can move to
 5
    publication.
 6
                   THE COURT: How can you identify somebody as an
 7
     unidentified defendant? They're either a defendant or they're
 8
     not a defendant. I think you're talking about maybe
 9
     unidentified water right holders.
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: Yes, you're right, your Honor.
10
11
                   THE COURT: And you then -- you wouldn't have
12
     those if, in fact, your service list was complete. If you
13
     identified the universe of water right holders, you shouldn't
14
     have any unidentified water right holder.
15
                   MR. HERSKOVITS: Well, I think that's where we
16
     are.
17
                   I mean, I think that there were categories of
18
     water rights holders such as the groundwater rights holders,
19
     and I think that the upstream riparian water rights holders in
     California were not a part of the list that was developed in
20
     the early years of the case and was agreed as the set of
21
22
     identified water holders -- water right holders or water right
23
     claimants who make up then the identified defendants.
24
                   THE COURT:
                               Then you wouldn't need service by
25
     publication if you had a complete list.
```

MR. HERSKOVITS: Well, that question has been raised by Mr. Guarino in our discussions about publication because we've been coordinating both with regard to the e-service orders in the two subproceedings and publication.

And all I can tell you, your Honor, is that there seems to have been a recognition from the outset in the case that when service was completed on the identified water rights holders or claimants who would be the identified defendants, that publication would still be required because there might be other people out there perhaps in the basin or the community at large who either would have an interest in the case or would come forward -- who are not reflected anywhere in any records but who may come forward and assert that they have some sort of a right or interest that entitles them to participate in the action.

So I think publication from an early point was contemplated by and actually approved in orders of Judge Reed. That's why we've moved forward with the assumption that publication would be required.

And I think there has been a -- there was a recognition at the time that the universe of defendants for the 128 action was defined or set by the Court, that there is some level of uncertainty or possibility that there could be some sort of water right holder or some claimants who somehow wouldn't be captured and therefore publication needs to occur

1 in this sort of an action. 2 THE COURT: Do you need, in your case, to have 3 the service list issue and how it's going to be served 4 resolved before you proceed with a motion for service by 5 publication? Because those people have already been served 6 and why would they be objecting to serving someone else by 7 publication if they themselves have been served? 8 Am I making any sense in that question? 9 MR. HERSKOVITS: Yes, your Honor, I think that 10 does make sense as a question. 11 I guess people who have been served wouldn't 12 have a basis for -- well, in general, I don't think most of 13 them would have a basis for objecting to service by 14 publication or notice by publication at this stage. 15 However, as we've heard, it may be that the 16 issue will be resolved through conversations between myself 17 for Mineral County and the attorneys for Mono County and the 18 State of California. But there may be some of these principal 19 defendants who have been participating in all the proceedings 20 21 who do raise questions or objections to the motion for 22 publication, I don't know. So I --23 THE COURT: Well, when do you anticipate, either 24 one of you, you or Mr. Guarino, moving for service by 25 publication?

MR. GUARINO: Your Honor, Guss Guarino for the United States.

I anticipate that we would move for -- in the 127 case, we would move for publication once we have completed personal service or service to the extent with our identified defendants, and once that happens, we would -- we would file a motion with the court.

In the meantime, what I anticipate and what I can tell you -- I can tell the Court what we've been doing with Mineral County is we have exchanged drafts of the motion, proposed order, notice, and that sort of thing.

There are just a couple of items that we want -that Mr. Herskovits and I want to clear up between ourselves
to make sure that we're not making anything -- saying anything
substantively different between our two cases. There are some
circumstances that are unique in each one of our cases that
are just the nature of our cases.

Then, as soon as we have that -- our common voice on the motions -- because to the extent we're asking for publication, we think we would be doing it in the same way -- I anticipate that we would be circulating that for some comment with the other principal parties that the Court is familiar with and who are either on the line or in the courtroom with the Court here.

MR. NEVILLE: Your Honor, could I just inject

here. This is Michael Neville for the California state agencies.

We've had good cooperation in the past from
Mineral County and the U.S. in these matters, and would just
request -- and I'm sure that it will be forthcoming that they
will consult with us, you know, before filing the motions so
that we'll have a chance to review that and see that the
language is adequate for these purposes.

I don't know if we're -- I don't know how close that is, but we would like to be -- and I think Mono County as well would like to be in the loop on that, and, as I said, we've had good cooperation in the past.

THE COURT: Well, I guess the whole publication issue hinges on completion of service which I think we got a report from the government back in March or April that said it should be done in May, and then we got a report said it should be done in July, and do we have a report when it's going to be done next?

MR. GUARINO: I'd prefer not to at this time, your Honor, just because I seem to have to change that every time I make a prediction.

I can tell the Court where we're at, and we're at 40 individuals left identified. We've run out of money to continue the employ of our process server. We hope to recharge the account to allow him to complete his work. I've

1 been told that that might happen by October. 2 If that happens, my guess is by the time --3 let's say -- so let's say the prediction that I'm given is correct, that we have the money in place by end of October, 4 5 it's going to take, after the money is in place, another six 6 weeks to probably finish up with the 40. 7 THE COURT: So we're looking at a motion for 8 service by publication from the government in 2014. 9 MR. GUARINO: I'd like it not to be, but that's 10 quite possible. 11 THE COURT: Yeah, well, I don't think we need to 12 address it any further at this time because we don't have the 13 motions for publication and I think it's premature to schedule 14 a date to proceed on those. Does anyone disagree? 15 MR. HERSKOVITS: Your Honor, I don't disagree. 16 This is Simon Herskovits for Mineral County again. 17 But I do just want to say that as soon as 18 Mr. Guarino and I have worked out whether or not there are any inconsistencies that are inadvertent between our approaches to 19 20 publication, and I'd be happy to follow up with the attorneys from California, and, of course, we'll circulate this proposed 21 22 order to all parties, but we would anticipate filing the 23 motion -- not order, motion with a proposed order, but the 24 motion for publication much sooner than that. 25 Our view, as I've explained, is that we've

1	completed service.				
2	THE COURT: Well, I don't think you're bound by				
3	what they're doing so go ahead. Why don't you add that as an				
4	agenda item, though, for our September conference.				
5	Mr. Guarino and Mr. Herskovits, I'm going to				
6	just leave these with Ms. Ogden. What they are, are				
7	extractions of the undeliverable notices that appeared in the				
8	docket sheet which may just be of assistance to you in pinning				
9	down some of the letters or notices which have been returned				
10	to us as being undeliverable. So you may want to get that				
11	back from or get that from Ms. Ogden.				
12	I don't know that there's anything else we need				
13	to address at this time. Does anyone have any issues you want				
14	to raise or any comments you want to make?				
15	Gleefully, I say, I hear none. So we'll be				
16	adjourned. Thank you all very much.				
17	-000-				
18					
19	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic recording of				
20	proceedings in the above-entitled matter.				
21	/s/Margaret E. Griener 9/3/2015 Margaret E. Griener, CCR #3, FCRR				
22	Official Reporter				
23					
24					
25					

				1
\$	1:38 [1] - 4:1	7	43:12, 43:16, 47:1,	amendments [4] -
*450 05:40			50:22, 61:12	38:6, 39:4, 39:5,
\$450 [1] - 35:12	2	75 [1] - 7:18	addresses [1] - 40:7 addressing [3] -	41:24 America [1] - 4:7
Ī	2 [1] - 17:20	9	23:15, 25:2, 48:4	AMERICA [1] - 1:4
	2,000 [2] - 28:19, 30:5	9	adds [2] - 35:12	amount [1] - 33:1
'63 [1] - 33:7	20 [2] - 13:15, 32:23	9/3/2015 [1] - 68:21	adequate [1] - 66:8	amounts [1] - 50:17
	2012 [5] - 23:15,	930 [1] - 13:16	adjourned [1] - 68:16	analogous [1] - 43:15
1	24:18, 29:23, 60:14	950 [2] - 7:17, 13:4	adjudicate [1] - 46:2	analysis [1] - 31:2
	2013 [2] - 1:9, 4:1	970 [1] - 29:13	adjudicated [1] -	AND [2] - 2:18, 3:7
/s/Margaret [1] - 68:21	2014 [1] - 67:8	9th [1] - 37:13	59:24	ANDREW [1] - 1:15
4	23 [2] - 38:23, 60:14		adjudication [2] -	animals [1] - 42:15
1	25th [5] - 19:19, 20:7,	Α	59:20, 60:7	answer [2] - 12:7,
1 [2] - 34:1, 41:12	36:8, 39:22, 41:23	ability [2] - 17:16,	adjust [1] - 37:3	24:10
1,100 [1] - 30:17	27-page [1] - 22:18 29th [1] - 38:10	19:19	admitted [1] - 32:16	antedate [1] - 32:13
1,190 [1] - 29:15	2nd [1] - 37:7	able [3] - 10:18, 27:14,	advice [1] - 14:1 advocated [1] - 44:11	anticipate [6] - 54:18, 64:23, 65:3, 65:8,
10 [2] - 23:25, 45:23	Zild[i] Or.i	32:5	affect [4] - 14:10,	65:21, 67:22
1100 [1] - 13:4	3	above-entitled [1] -	14:16, 32:17, 58:16	anticipation [1] -
113 [2] - 7:20, 40:10		68:20	affected [4] - 32:25,	22:24
1198 [1] - 9:2	3 [2] - 1:23, 68:21	absolutely [1] - 52:22	59:23, 60:4, 60:6	anyway [2] - 8:6,
12 [2] - 51:6, 52:20	3,000 [1] - 30:7	acceptable [1] - 56:19	affects [1] - 10:4	28:17
12(b)(6 [1] - 45:5	3,849 [1] - 29:15	accompanied [1] -	affirming [1] - 22:14	apparatus [1] - 19:11
12-B [1] - 47:1	30th [1] - 38:8	35:15	afforded [1] - 28:2	appeal [7] - 47:18,
125-B [4] - 45:18, 46:5, 48:5, 57:14	31st [1] - 28:11	according [2] - 7:16, 29:16	afternoon [11] - 4:15,	51:12, 51:14, 51:17,
125-C [4] - 29:2, 48:5,	3800 [1] - 30:9 3:73-CV-125-RCJ-	accordingly [1] - 37:4	4:24, 5:2, 5:4, 5:6,	51:22, 51:24
56:15, 57:20	WCG [1] - 1:7	account [2] - 61:17,	5:12, 6:1, 6:13, 6:19,	appealed [1] - 43:16
127 [16] - 8:11, 24:20,	3:73-CV-125-RCJ-	66:25	10:12, 37:20 AGENCIES [1] - 2:21	appealing [1] - 51:8 appeals [1] - 47:15
27:1, 29:13, 29:19,	WGC [1] - 4:5	accurate [4] - 6:25,	agencies [4] - 6:2, 6:5,	appears [1] - 47.15
30:8, 31:3, 31:4,	3:73-CV-127-RCJ-	18:1, 22:9, 32:7	6:15, 66:2	appearance [8] - 4:9,
43:8, 53:6, 54:4,	WGC [2] - 1:7, 4:6	acre [1] - 32:23	Agencies' [1] - 56:9	12:13, 12:25, 13:2,
54:6, 54:16, 54:18,	3:73-CV-128-RCJ-	action [13] - 12:14,	agenda [9] - 7:6, 7:8,	13:3, 29:1, 30:20,
61:8, 65:4	WGC [2] - 1:8, 4:6	24:8, 26:16, 29:15,	7:9, 41:8, 41:16,	39:25
128 [19] - 27:2, 28:19,	3:73-CV-31 [1] - 9:1	46:4, 46:17, 49:16,	46:21, 46:22, 53:14,	Appearances [2] -
29:1, 31:3, 31:13, 42:13, 42:21, 43:7,		59:19, 59:21, 60:20,	68:4	1:25, 3:1
43:13, 43:14, 44:3,	4	63:15, 63:22, 64:1	ago [4] - 7:5, 28:8,	appearances [2] -
51:16, 53:11, 54:4,	A ros 17.7 10.01	actions [1] - 15:23 Adams [1] - 5:23	57:14, 57:15	5:21, 6:22
54:19, 55:1, 56:16,	4 [3] - 17:7, 18:21, 18:23	ADAMS [2] - 2:15,	agree [11] - 8:17, 16:7,	APPEARANCES [3] -
61:8, 63:22	40 [3] - 54:17, 66:23,	5:22	16:14, 21:4, 35:9, 35:16, 36:15, 38:16,	1:14, 2:1, 3:2
129 [1] - 46:18	67:6	add [4] - 9:16, 50:7,	41:22, 47:25, 48:10	appeared [2] - 29:16, 68:7
12th [1] - 37:14	45 [1] - 17:2	53:14, 68:3	agreed [1] - 62:21	applied [4] - 24:20,
13th [1] - 61:21	4th [1] - 28:8	added [1] - 7:9	ahead [7] - 5:11,	45:2, 48:7, 48:8
142 [1] - 7:17	_	addition [1] - 41:22	10:11, 25:12, 33:11,	apply [6] - 18:17, 24:3,
16 [1] - 28:12	5	additional [3] - 7:9,	36:21, 42:22, 68:3	42:20, 43:14, 44:16,
17 [2] - 9:18, 16:5 1711 [1] - 22:16	5 [3] - 15:8, 18:10,	31:5, 49:22	al [1] - 1:7	48:22
1859 [4] - 33:20, 34:7,	5 [3] - 15:8, 18:10,	address [31] - 7:23,	allegedly [1] - 49:23	applying [2] - 42:12,
34:12, 34:16	5(c [1] - 20:12	8:12, 9:24, 11:3, 11:19, 14:13, 15:5,	alleviate [1] - 20:24	43:13
1860 [3] - 33:9, 33:19,	5(c) [1] - 18:15	15:10, 15:16, 15:19,	allow(3) 18:10 26:1	appreciate [1] - 34:22
34:6		16:4, 18:4, 18:22,	allow [3] - 18:10, 26:1, 66:25	approach [2] - 20:24, 51:9
1911 [1] - 34:6	6	34:24, 35:1, 35:6,	allowed [4] - 15:9,	approaches [1] -
1917 [1] - 34:6		39:4, 39:20, 40:2,	27:24, 44:19, 50:17	67:19
1924 [1] - 60:15	6 [2] - 1:9, 4:1	40:17, 41:7, 42:4,	allows [1] - 18:19	appropriate [1] -
1936 [1] - 59:15	620 [2] - 7:21, 8:19	44:20, 47:25, 53:2,	alluding [1] - 52:12	35:10
1940 [2] - 46:7, 49:14	6th [3] - 37:19, 37:21	53:9, 53:13, 56:5,	ambit [1] - 55:15	appropriated [1] -
1998 [3] - 56:18, 58:8,		57:25, 67:12, 68:13	amended [1] - 59:15	57:11
61:16		addressed [9] - 22:13,	amendment [1] -	appropriately [1] -
1999 [1] - 58:5		40:23, 41:13, 43:1,	37:25	24:9

appropriation [1] -57:10 appropriative [7] -32:14, 55:8, 56:23, 58:21, 59:2, 59:9, 59.11 approved [1] - 63:17 **April** [3] - 24:18, 60:14, 66:15 argue [1] - 13:6 argued [1] - 46:8 argument [4] - 27:24, 32:10, 44:9, 49:25 arguments [3] - 28:7, 38:16, 38:21 Army [1] - 6:9 article [1] - 43:23 aside [1] - 44:11 assert [3] - 31:19, 48:16, 63:13 asserted [4] - 52:20, 55:25, 57:9 asserting [2] - 44:20, 48:25 assistance [1] - 68:8 Assistant [2] - 1:15, associated [1] - 4:13 assume [2] - 32:10, assuming [2] - 43:14, 48:19 assumption [1] -63:18 attached [1] - 9:20 attorney [2] - 11:4, 11:13 Attorney [12] - 1:17, 1:20, 2:6, 2:12, 2:15, 2:18, 2:21, 2:23, 3:4, 3:7, 3:10, 6:14 attorneys [5] - 10:17, 13:15, 26:19, 64:17, 67:20 Attorneys [3] - 1:15, 2:4, 2:10 audience [1] - 5:16 AUGUST [1] - 4:1 August [4] - 1:9, 28:11, 28:12, 38:8 available [3] - 23:20, 34:14, 34:17 average [1] - 33:2 aware [1] - 58:9

В

balance [1] - 17:14 Bar [1] - 5:13

awhile [1] - 19:24

BAR [1] - 3:7 barred [2] - 44:6, 53:7 bars [1] - 53:6 based [3] - 40:19, 46:13, 53:15 Basin [3] - 31:15, 54:8 basin [2] - 56:21, 63:10 basis [4] - 32:1, 61:19, 64:12, 64:13 Basque [1] - 7:3 bearing [1] - 22:19 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:2 beforehand [1] - 6:25 began [2] - 45:22, 60:15 beginning [2] - 30:18, 40:10 behalf [13] - 4:9, 4:16, 4:25, 5:3, 5:5, 5:7, 5:13, 6:15, 6:18, 6:20, 16:13, 31:4, behind [1] - 54:15 beholder [1] - 10:25 bench [1] - 41:9 benefit [1] - 42:8 Benesch [1] - 6:11 BENESCH [2] - 3:4, better [2] - 39:5, 42:9 between [11] - 17:14, 30:21, 49:3, 56:3, 56:7, 59:2, 59:21, 64:16, 65:13, 65:15, 67:19 bifurcation [1] - 43:6 bit [3] - 27:25, 33:24, 36:12 Board [2] - 6:6, 59:6 **BOARD** [1] - 2:6 Boise [1] - 1:18 bother [1] - 21:13 bothered [1] - 30:22 **bottom** [1] - 61:5 bought [1] - 14:24 bound [1] - 68:2 brainstorms [1] -53:15 break [1] - 37:11 bridge [1] - 47:22 brief [3] - 9:5, 25:18, 27:23 briefed [2] - 43:15, 44:2

briefing [7] - 10:6,

41:17, 41:18, 43:20,

46:24, 47:13, 52:3

briefly [2] - 9:6, 10:15

briefs [2] - 10:25,

14:10 bring [2] - 24:1, 48:5 bringing [1] - 48:9 broader [1] - 54:23 brought [1] - 24:14 BRYAN [1] - 2:15 Bryan [1] - 5:24 burden [2] - 20:24, 27:14 burdening [1] - 17:11 Bureau [1] - 6:9 business [1] - 38:10

C

C-125 [1] - 46:16 C-125-B [2] - 1:5, 20:17 C-125-C [1] - 1:6 C-125-RCJ [1] - 1:5 calendar [1] - 37:7 California [27] - 2:13, 2:22, 2:24, 6:2, 6:14, 6:15, 54:13, 54:14, 55:10, 55:12, 56:11, 56:18, 56:21, 57:15, 57:18, 58:8, 58:12, 58:15, 58:25, 59:6, 59:8, 60:3, 60:18, 62:20, 64:18, 66:1, 67:21 CALIFORNIA [1] -2:21 cannot [1] - 27:14 capable [1] - 12:2 capital [1] - 8:4 caption [2] - 56:15, 61:15 captured [1] - 63:25 care [1] - 61:21 Carson [3] - 2:7, 2:16, case [60] - 4:5, 8:23, 11:10, 11:15, 11:22, 13:12, 16:19, 17:11, 18:11, 19:11, 20:17, 24:3, 24:9, 24:21, 26:5, 26:12, 28:19, 29:2. 29:9. 30:8. 30:15. 30:25. 31:1. 31:13. 35:5. 35:25. 37:15, 38:20, 41:6, 41:25, 42:6, 42:21, 43:5, 44:3, 45:5, 45:22, 46:5, 46:6, 46:7, 48:4, 48:18, 48:20, 52:25, 53:3, 53:6, 53:11, 54:1, 54:4, 54:5, 54:6,

62:21, 63:7, 63:12, 64:2, 65:4 cases [10] - 18:14, 24:23, 26:14, 36:22, 42:15, 48:22, 59:24, 65:15. 65:16. 65:17 categories [2] - 21:15, 62:17 category [4] - 54:23, 55:12, 57:3, 58:21 CCR [2] - 1:23, 68:21 ceremony [1] - 37:20 certain [5] - 31:21, 32:24, 33:1, 50:16, 59:21 certainly [3] - 23:18, 41:2, 52:6 certify [1] - 68:19 CFS [1] - 34:4 challenge [3] - 48:7, 48:14, 48:17 chance [3] - 33:18, 38:8, 66:7 change [4] - 14:12, 42:1, 46:19, 66:20 changed [2] - 40:6, 42:6 changes [2] - 11:3 characterize [2] -32:7, 52:14 characterized [2] -24:3, 24:9 check [1] - 9:23 Chief [2] - 43:3, 43:24 chorus [1] - 24:14 Chris [2] - 5:6, 10:13 CHRISTOPHER [1] -2:18 chronologically [1] -51:4 **CIRCLE** [1] - 3:7 Circle [1] - 5:13 Circuit [5] - 47:15, 47:17, 51:8, 51:13, 51:15 circulate [1] - 67:21 circulating [1] - 65:21 circumscribed [1] -55:14 circumstance [2] -23:1, 23:2 circumstances [2] -19:11, 65:16 citation [1] - 9:1

City [3] - 2:7, 2:16,

Civil [2] - 14:22, 16:1

44:16, 49:9, 49:10,

civil [1] - 27:21

claim [12] - 44:6,

3.11

49:12, 52:13, 55:22, 56:5, 56:8, 57:21, 59:13, 60:17 claimants [6] - 55:7, 56:23, 62:23, 63:8, 63:24 claiming [2] - 44:14, 44:15 claims [11] - 45:3, 45:24, 46:3, 48:9, 48:23, 49:15, 56:9, 58:14 clarified [1] - 41:20 clarify [1] - 59:7 clear [5] - 50:12, 51:2, 52:7, 52:9, 65:13 clearly [1] - 44:22 CLERK [2] - 4:4, 37:9 clerk [2] - 22:2, 22:6 clicked [1] - 22:12 close [2] - 38:9, 66:9 closer [1] - 30:9 CMO [11] - 14:21, 14:25, 15:24, 42:10, 42:12, 42:16, 42:17, 42:20, 52:21 COBB [1] - 1:2 collective [2] - 36:25, 38:16 Colorado [1] - 1:16 coming [3] - 29:24, 40:2, 40:3 comment [10] - 7:9, 9:16, 16:10, 27:21, 35:18, 41:20, 42:23, 58:2, 58:18, 65:22 comments [7] - 6:24, 34:22, 43:3, 52:8, 60:9, 61:17, 68:14 commissioner [1] -5:16 **COMMISSIONERS** [1] - 2:6 common [2] - 49:10, 65:18 community [1] - 63:11 compatible [1] - 8:2 complete [10] - 6:25, 9:20, 17:1, 21:14, 22:7, 54:1, 62:4, 62:12, 62:25, 66:25 completed [3] - 63:7, 65:4, 68:1 completely [1] - 30:6 completion [1] - 66:14 compliant [1] - 16:1 comply [3] - 13:25, 15:2, 16:10 component [1] - 7:14 comprehensive [3] -

54:16, 55:1, 55:3,

57:12, 57:13, 59:19 concept [5] - 19:7, 31:6, 31:13, 43:6, 52:25 concern [1] - 8:20 concerned [3] - 17:11, 39:17, 47:14 concerns [5] - 8:8, 16:4, 30:13, 35:8, 57:19 conclusion [2] -26:11, 61:18 concur[1] - 20:23 CONFERENCE [1] conference [9] - 4:5, 10:16, 19:19, 35:25, 36:2, 37:13, 61:21, 61:23, 68:4 confines [1] - 55:14 confusion [1] - 23:21 connection [1] - 56:3 consensus [1] - 26:18 consented [1] - 9:21 consider [3] - 7:24, 14:9, 20:12 considered [2] -26:14, 53:8 consistent [1] - 26:21 constitutes [1] - 52:8 constraint [2] - 32:16, 32.25 consult [1] - 66:6 contact [2] - 14:4, 40:18 contemplate [1] -45:16 contemplated [2] -48:5, 63:17 contemplating [3] -12:16, 54:25 continue [4] - 1:25, 3:1, 40:18, 66:24 continued [1] - 20:20 Continued [2] - 2:1, continues [1] - 46:1 Control [2] - 6:5, 59:6 control [1] - 51:20 conversation [1] - 9:5 conversations [2] -57:17, 64:16 convinced [2] - 14:20, 25:20 cooperate [1] - 20:10 cooperation [2] -66:3, 66:12 coordinating [1] -63:3

copies [2] - 7:17,

21:13 copy [2] - 17:1, 20:3 correct [10] - 11:9, 17:20, 25:6, 31:25, 40:14, 50:2, 50:6, 52:23, 67:4, 68:19 correctly [1] - 26:24 correlation [1] - 53:20 cost [4] - 14:7, 27:15, 35:12, 38:19 costs [1] - 17:24 counsel [13] - 4:8, 4:10, 4:16, 4:18, 6:4, 6:23, 7:5, 9:4, 13:3, 17:23, 21:11, 38:15, 53:21 country [1] - 7:3 COUNTY [3] - 2:9, 2:12, 3:4 County [45] - 4:16, 4:18, 6:11, 6:12, 6:20, 24:16, 28:12, 31:13, 32:11, 33:18, 33:20, 34:12, 35:5, 38:22, 42:25, 44:4, 44:10, 44:14, 44:15, 46:10, 46:13, 47:7, 48:8, 48:17, 48:18, 48:23, 48:24, 49:1, 49:6, 49:9, 51:1, 56:20, 57:16, 57:18, 58:4, 58:5, 58:12, 60:11, 61:13, 64:17, 65:10, 66:4, 66:10, 67:16 county [2] - 4:17, 58:6 County's [6] - 34:25, 45:3, 46:11, 50:9, 56:8, 58:10 couple [3] - 28:8, 51:25, 65:12 course [2] - 14:6, 67:21 court [11] - 15:22, 23:20, 24:6, 28:17, 35:11, 40:1, 56:17, 57:6, 61:15, 61:16, 65:7 Court [35] - 3:15, 7:4, 8:1, 9:12, 10:4, 16:2, 16:8, 17:8, 18:14, 21:7, 23:1, 23:8, 25:6, 32:8, 38:8, 40:4, 43:2, 43:18, 45:25, 47:25, 49:14, 49:17, 51:19, 55:20, 55:21, 56:4, 60:21, 61:25, 62:4, 63:22, 65:9, 65:22, 65:24,

66:22

COURT [130] - 1:1, 4:19, 4:22, 5:9, 5:11, 5:15, 5:20, 6:21, 8:25, 9:15, 10:10, 10:22, 11:2, 11:5, 11:8. 11:22. 11:25. 12:18, 12:22, 13:7, 13:10. 13:14. 13:19. 13:21, 14:1, 14:20, 14:24, 15:12, 15:14, 16:5, 16:9, 17:5, 17:19, 18:23, 19:2, 19:10, 20:14, 20:23, 21:9, 22:11, 22:16, 23:22, 24:20, 24:24, 25:1, 25:12, 26:4, 26:9, 28:3, 29:3, 29:5, 29:8, 29:13, 29:18, 29:25, 30:4, 30:7, 30:10, 30:12, 31:2, 31:12, 31:24, 32:2, 33:3, 33:9, 33:14, 34:19, 34:21, 35:23, 36:15, 37:6, 37:10, 37:12, 37:18, 37:23, 38:5, 38:9, 38:13, 39:9, 39:11, 39:16, 40:20, 41:3, 41:15, 42:7, 43:19, 43:23, 44:3, 45:9, 45:12, 46:8, 46:18, 46:21, 47:21, 48:10, 48:16, 48:21, 49:3, 49:17, 49:21, 50:3, 50:21, 51:7, 52:1, 52:16, 52:19, 52:24, 54:3, 54:11, 54:14, 55:4, 55:10, 55:17, 55:24, 56:1, 56:10, 56:24, 57:2, 57:23, 58:18, 60:8, 62:6, 62:11, 62:24, 64:2, 64:23, 66:13, 67:7, 67:11, 68:2 Court's [3] - 8:17, 31:10, 40:9 court's [2] - 15:22, 21:20 courtroom [2] - 7:4, 65:24 courts [4] - 22:1, 22:10, 23:19, 25:19 covers [1] - 41:15 create [1] - 43:10 criminal [1] - 37:7 cross [1] - 47:21 current [2] - 21:15, 50:18

D Dale [1] - 5:2 **DALE** [1] - 2:3 date [9] - 4:4, 23:18, 23:20, 32:13, 34:7, 36:20, 36:21, 36:24, 67:14 dates [1] - 36:22 David [1] - 6:7 **DAVID** [1] - 1:17 dawned [1] - 22:18 deadlines [1] - 53:17 deal [2] - 18:12, 51:15 dealing [1] - 12:12 **December** [2] - 29:23 decide [2] - 44:20, 49:15 decided [5] - 17:12, 17:17, 50:24, 53:12, 53:24 decision [2] - 22:14, 34:24 declare [1] - 34:7 decree [16] - 34:5, 34:8, 34:10, 44:11, 46:15, 49:1, 49:14, 49:18, 55:16, 57:5, 57:12, 59:13, 59:15, 59:25, 60:21, 61:4 Decree [2] - 57:6, 59:10 deemed [3] - 9:21, 9:24, 60:21 defendant [3] - 62:7, 62:8 **Defendants** [1] - 1:8 defendants [27] -18:14, 18:18, 18:19, 18:20, 20:12, 20:13, 20:21, 21:6, 25:8, 25:9, 27:13, 28:23, 28:25, 29:15, 30:7, 55:13, 56:15, 61:14, 61:25, 62:1, 62:2, 62:3, 62:23, 63:9, 63:21, 64:20, 65:6 defer[1] - 42:19 defined [1] - 63:22 definitely [2] - 33:23, 42.1 definition [2] - 52:7, 53:1 degree [4] - 24:7, 24:12, 26:15, 33:15 delivery [1] - 13:1 demand [2] - 34:2

Denver [1] - 1:16

DePaoli [43] - 2:3,

20:7

4:24, 4:25, 16:12, 17:6, 17:19, 18:1, 18:6, 19:1, 19:5, 19:12, 20:16, 21:4, 25:11, 25:12, 25:13, 26:6, 27:5, 35:7, 35:19, 35:20, 38:4, 41:11, 41:14, 41:21, 44:7, 44:12, 45:11, 45:18, 45:21, 46:10, 46:19, 48:11, 48:24, 49:5, 49:20, 50:8, 53:5 DePaoli's [2] - 24:11, 25.7 **DEPARTMENT**[1] -2.15 **Department** [3] - 5:23, 5:25, 6:8 dependent [1] - 25:15 **Deputies** [2] - 2:15, 2:21 Deputy [1] - 2:23 describe [2] - 9:11, 43:25 described [7] - 9:6. 9:12, 13:18, 15:25, 16:4, 21:4, 40:9 describes [1] - 17:18 describing [2] - 23:1, 31:11 description [2] - 8:18, 53:10 detect [1] - 26:18 determine [4] - 17:8, 19:3, 60:17, 60:22 determining [1] -18:24 developed [1] - 62:20 died [1] - 40:22 differ [1] - 57:2 difference [4] - 30:21, 49:3, 56:6, 56:7 different [14] - 13:24, 21:15, 31:2, 31:13, 35:5, 42:15, 43:10, 46:12, 52:6, 54:3, 54:19, 56:22, 65:15 difficult [1] - 46:25 directed [1] - 26:22 direction [3] - 16:11, 42:1, 42:18 directions [1] - 11:17 directly [1] - 23:5 disagree [3] - 58:22, 67:14, 67:15 discovery [1] - 37:13 discuss [1] - 10:15 denies [1] - 47:17 discussed [2] - 18:24,

discusses [1] - 23:25 discussing [2] - 23:6, 48:3 **Discussion** [1] - 5:10 discussion [2] -41:12, 46:23 discussions [2] -42:12, 63:2 dismiss [1] - 52:18 dispose [1] - 19:23 disposed [1] - 51:24 distinction [3] - 21:5, 59:1. 60:25 distinguished [1] -20:4 distributed [1] - 7:8 **District** [7] - 3:15, 4:7, 5:1, 5:3, 16:13, 40:6, 47:6 **DISTRICT** [3] - 1:1, 1:1, 2:3 Ditch [8] - 8:23, 9:7, 11:22, 16:17, 19:8, 19:22, 23:14 Ditch-Truckee [2] -8:23, 9:7 division [1] - 43:10 docket [1] - 68:8 Doctrine [6] - 31:21, 31:24, 32:3, 34:11, 35:2, 49:25 document [3] - 11:13, 11:18, 18:25 **Document** [2] - 9:2, 22:16 documents [1] - 41:9 done [7] - 9:14, 15:17, 30:25, 39:1, 66:16, 66:17, 66:18 doors [1] - 54:15 dormant [4] - 54:11, 56:10, 57:8, 58:13 down [6] - 13:4, 19:2, 33:4, 34:25, 60:6, 68:9 downloaded [1] -11:19 drafted [1] - 15:25 drafts [1] - 65:10 due [8] - 8:8, 12:5, 12:11, 13:6, 13:7, 24:2, 27:16, 35:4 during [1] - 6:23 duty [3] - 31:20, 32:12, 50:13

Ε

e-discovery [1] -37:13

e-files [1] - 11:12 e-filing [1] - 27:10 e-mail [2] - 7:18, 7:19 e-service [26] - 7:13, 7:16, 9:18, 13:15, 13:19, 14:18, 16:10, 17:4, 17:7, 18:21, 21:12, 26:20, 27:3, 29:19, 29:25, 35:14, 35:17, 37:25, 38:6, 39:4, 47:4, 47:10, 47:12, 48:1, 63:4 earliest [1] - 36:10 early [3] - 37:5, 62:21, 63:16 effect [5] - 40:23, 42:11, 54:21, 58:11, effort [2] - 18:5, 30:2 efforts [1] - 61:19 eight [2] - 30:9, 30:10 either [15] - 12:24, 12:25, 13:1, 21:14, 30:24, 31:20, 39:24, 41:4, 42:19, 47:10, 61:6, 62:7, 63:11, 64:23, 65:23 EI [1] - 2:10 elected [5] - 7:15, 7:17, 17:23, 21:12, 25:23 electronic [4] - 15:24, 20:22, 21:25, 68:19 electronic-only [1] -21:25 elements [1] - 48:4 embrace [1] - 14:25 employ [1] - 66:24 employed [1] - 26:22 enamored [1] - 7:12 encouraged [1] -27:20 end [7] - 10:16, 14:14, 36:10, 38:2, 40:25, 42:8, 67:4 ended [1] - 46:7 ensure [1] - 17:22 enter [1] - 42:19 entered [9] - 12:13, 12:25, 13:2, 13:3, 29:1, 39:25, 57:6,

59:14, 60:21

entire [1] - 59:20

46:12. 50:8

entirely [3] - 17:20,

entities [1] - 54:21

entitled [2] - 34:13,

42:20

68:20

fact [3] - 19:23, 22:23, 62:12 fails [1] - 9:19 failure [1] - 7:22 fairly [1] - 61:21 fall [3] - 23:8, 23:9,

58:20 falls [1] - 52:24 familiar [1] - 65:23 far [1] - 35:16 farming [1] - 27:15 FARMS [2] - 3:7, 3:10 Farms [2] - 5:5, 5:14 fashion [3] - 26:3, 44:11, 47:10 FCRR [1] - 68:21 federal [5] - 15:2, 45:3, 48:23, 52:19, 60:16 Federal [2] - 14:21, 16:1 feet [1] - 32:23 **FERGUSON** [2] - 2:3, 5:2 Ferguson [1] - 5:3 Fernley [3] - 11:20, 11:23, 20:2 few [3] - 41:9, 57:14, 59:15 file [8] - 13:11, 19:21, 20:14, 20:16, 28:12, 45:13, 47:8, 65:6 filed [9] - 17:2, 19:20, 20:4, 27:23, 31:18, 44:5, 46:4, 46:14, 61:20 files [3] - 11:12, 14:12, 47:6 filing [4] - 11:17, 27:10, 66:6, 67:22 filings [6] - 9:22, 9:25, 10:21, 10:23, 50:11, 58:11 fill [1] - 10:18 final [3] - 48:12, 61:20, 61:24 finally [1] - 20:11 fine [1] - 39:10 finish [1] - 67:6 firm [1] - 5:7 first [11] - 43:12, 44:4, 45:12, 48:1, 49:10, 50:19, 51:3, 51:5, 52:10, 53:2, 53:9 first-in-time [1] -50:19 first-on-the-system

fly [1] - 13:22 focus [1] - 8:18 focused [6] - 16:15, 16:19, 16:22, 23:16, 30:18, 50:8 focusing [1] - 9:17 folks [19] - 8:17, 12:12, 12:20, 12:24, 13:4, 14:4, 14:8, 15:7, 16:15, 16:19, 18:8, 21:7, 23:2, 25:23, 30:22, 39:24, 40:6, 40:11 follow [1] - 67:20 following [2] - 25:7, 61:20 FOR [12] - 1:15, 1:20, 2:3, 2:6, 2:9, 2:12, 2:15, 2:18, 2:21, 3:4, 3:7, 3:10 force [2] - 41:6, 42:11 foregoing [1] - 68:19 Forest [1] - 6:10 forgot [1] - 39:17 form [3] - 43:25, 44:1, 47:1 forth [1] - 44:17 forthcoming [1] - 66:5 forward [4] - 51:14, 63:12, 63:13, 63:18 FOUNDATION [1] -2:18 Foundation [2] - 5:8, 10:14 four [1] - 21:15 framed [1] - 31:20 framework [1] - 26:20 Francisco [2] - 2:24, free [2] - 11:18, 11:19 Friday [1] - 38:7 full [3] - 34:5, 34:8, 37:15 fully [1] - 51:24 fund [1] - 33:19 future [2] - 28:4, 61:13 fuzzy [1] - 59:14

G

gaps [1] - 10:19 gated [1] - 54:15 general [2] - 44:2, 64:12 General [3] - 2:15, 2:21, 2:23 General's [1] - 6:14 generalities [1] -26:11 generally [1] - 26:14

entitles [1] - 63:14 envisioned [1] - 43:4 **Equity** [1] - 1:5 ERIN [1] - 2:21 Erin [2] - 6:4, 59:5 especially [1] - 57:7 essentially [2] - 10:20, 32:18 established [2] -32:13, 56:17 et [1] - 1:7 events [1] - 28:4 eventually [1] - 57:23 exactly [4] - 39:9, 41:23, 44:18, 57:18 **example** [2] - 20:17, 33:25 except [1] - 58:19 exceptional [1] -12:15 exchanged [1] - 65:10 exclusion [1] - 58:19 **exclusively** [1] - 16:15 excuse [3] - 5:11, 5:22, 28:11 exist [2] - 49:23, 49:24 existing [4] - 31:14, 46:15, 49:7, 49:8 expanding [1] - 55:21 expansive [1] - 53:10 expansively [1] -26:10 expect [1] - 11:6 **expected** [1] - 23:3 expensive [1] - 14:7 explained [1] - 67:25 expressed [3] - 8:20, 23:13, 42:13 expressly [1] - 18:19 extended [1] - 43:5 extension [1] - 46:7 extent [14] - 15:25, 16:21, 18:6, 19:18, 23:10, 23:14, 23:17, 25:4, 40:14, 45:2, 59:19, 59:22, 65:5, 65:19 extra [2] - 12:17, 14:6 extractions [1] - 68:7 extremely [1] - 14:3 eye [1] - 10:25 entering [2] - 30:19, F

[1] - 49:10

FISH [1] - 2:18

fit [1] - 52:17

fits [1] - 55:14

Fish [2] - 5:8, 10:13

five [2] - 17:5, 17:6

flows [3] - 50:13,

50:17, 56:8

flow [2] - 31:21, 33:2

generated [3] - 23:17, 29:21, 29:22 GEORGE [1] - 3:4 George [5] - 6:11, 16:18, 17:10, 18:2, 20.1 George's [1] - 17:20 given [6] - 12:12, 13:10, 14:7, 23:7, 28:3, 67:3 gleefully [1] - 68:15 GORDON [1] - 2:3 Gordon [6] - 4:25, 16:12. 25:13. 35:20. 41:21, 44:12 governed [1] - 57:11 government [10] -14:20, 15:21, 20:25, 29:21, 29:22, 31:4, 39:1, 46:22, 66:15, 67:8 grant [1] - 60:10 granted [1] - 33:19 granting [1] - 41:5

grants [1] - 47:15

great [1] - 37:10

68:21, 68:21

41:7, 53:21

GRIFFIN [5] - 3:15,

11:11, 22:2, 29:20,

grounds [4] - 26:16,

groundwater [7] -

group [1] - 7:23

Guarino [21] - 4:12,

8:11, 8:14, 10:15,

12:8, 20:23, 21:1,

22:23, 26:25, 35:7,

36:5, 39:13, 40:24,

63:2, 64:24, 65:1,

GUARINO [59] - 1:15,

4:11, 8:13, 9:3, 10:9,

12:8, 12:19, 12:23,

13:9, 13:13, 13:17,

13:20, 13:23, 14:2,

14:23, 15:4, 15:13,

15:17, 16:7, 21:1,

21:16, 22:4, 22:15,

67:18, 68:5

43:22, 47:23, 50:21,

62:18

45:10, 45:16, 47:6

31:6, 54:9, 55:17,

55:19, 55:22, 56:3,

Griener [3] - 1:23,

Griffin [15] - 7:16,

8:16, 11:9, 13:18,

21:20, 28:5, 28:18,

28:22, 29:18, 39:12,

39:14, 40:20, 40:24,

handle [3] - 7:18,

39:5, 46:23 handles [1] - 21:19 happy [1] - 67:20 hard [1] - 21:12 Harry [2] - 5:5, 27:8 HARRY [1] - 3:10 head [1] - 40:24 health [1] - 31:23 hear [1] - 68:15 heard [12] - 14:13, 16:24, 27:17, 27:19, 27:24, 28:1, 39:11, 43:24, 45:13, 46:16, 64:15 hearing [31] - 7:5, 7:8, 7:10, 7:21, 8:6, 8:20, 9:6. 11:5. 11:6. 11:8. 22:19, 22:25, 23:24, 28:6, 30:19, 36:3, 36:8, 36:16, 36:20, 36:21, 37:3, 37:15, 39:15, 39:16, 39:22, 40:10, 40:25, 42:9, 42:13, 43:4, 52:7 heavily [1] - 57:11 held [1] - 5:10 help [1] - 39:9 helpful [1] - 23:23 HERSKOVITS [38] -2:9, 4:15, 4:21, 4:23, 24:15, 24:22, 24:25, 25:2, 28:21, 29:4,

22:22, 29:10, 29:12,

30:11, 30:16, 31:10,

36:5, 36:23, 37:11,

37:16, 37:22, 38:1,

38:7, 38:11, 39:7,

39:10, 39:14, 39:20,

41:2. 43:22. 43:24.

48:19, 54:2, 54:9,

65:1, 66:19, 67:9

guess [11] - 16:15,

54:13, 54:16, 55:5,

38:24, 39:2, 47:21,

48:3, 48:12, 57:14,

61:5, 64:11, 66:13,

guests [1] - 7:2

GUSS [1] - 1:15

guidance [1] - 25:4

Guss [9] - 4:12, 8:14,

12:8, 21:1, 22:23,

36:5, 43:22, 47:23,

Н

67:2

65:1

45:20, 47:23, 48:12,

29:14, 30:6, 30:9,

29:7, 29:11, 31:16, 31:25, 32:6, 42:24, 47:19, 50:1, 50:5, 50:25, 51:10, 52:4, 52:17, 52:22, 55:6, 55:11, 55:19, 55:25, 56:4, 56:13, 56:25, 57:3. 61:10. 62:10. 62:15, 63:1, 64:9, 67:15 Herskovits [16] - 4:16, 24:15, 26:24, 27:4, 28:20, 31:12, 35:6, 39:12, 42:22, 42:25, 51:1, 58:7, 61:9,

65:13, 67:16, 68:5 Herskovits' [2] -45:13, 58:18 hiding [1] - 54:14 higher [1] - 24:12 hinges [1] - 66:14 hold [2] - 5:9, 41:18 holder [3] - 32:20, 62:14, 63:24 holders [16] - 33:5, 54:12, 55:7, 56:11,

56:23, 57:4, 58:13, 62:9, 62:13, 62:18, 62:19, 62:22, 63:8 holding [1] - 54:24 Honor [72] - 4:11, 4:15, 4:24, 5:2, 5:4, 5:6, 5:19, 5:22, 6:1, 6:7, 6:13, 6:17, 6:19, 8:13, 9:3, 10:12, 11:11, 11:24, 12:8, 15:6, 16:12, 16:14, 22:22, 24:15, 25:11, 25:13, 27:7, 28:21, 29:10, 29:12, 29:20, 31:17, 31:25, 32:6, 33:13, 35:20, 36:5,

36:24, 38:12, 39:21, 41:14, 41:21, 41:22, 42:24, 43:22, 44:12, 45:11, 47:19, 47:23, 50:1, 50:5, 50:15, 50:25, 51:11, 52:5, 52:22, 54:10, 55:6, 55:20, 56:5, 56:13, 57:22, 58:3, 59:5, 61:10, 62:10, 63:5, 64:9, 65:1, 65:25,

66:20, 67:15 Honor's [1] - 27:20 **HONORABLE** [1] - 1:2

hope [3] - 27:22, 66:24

hundred [3] - 14:8, 30:10, 34:4

hurdle [1] - 8:5 hydraulic [1] - 56:2 hydro [1] - 56:1 hydrogeologic [1] -56:2

Idaho [1] - 1:18 idea [2] - 10:9, 38:24 ideas [1] - 42:2 identified [16] - 55:2, 55:3, 55:7, 55:13, 56:15, 61:14, 61:15, 61:25, 62:2, 62:13, 62:22, 62:23, 63:7, 63:8, 65:5, 66:23 identify [3] - 20:8, 54:20, 62:6 ignored [1] - 30:5 immediate [1] - 47:18 impact [5] - 33:21, 34:15, 50:19, 61:7 impacting [1] - 60:11 impacts [1] - 33:3 implement [2] - 32:2, 34:10 implementation [1] -41:17 importing [1] - 43:7 **impose** [1] - 49:7 inadvertent [1] - 67:19 inclined [2] - 41:18, 42:16 include [4] - 30:4, 55:17, 55:19, 59:16 included [1] - 60:6 includes [1] - 21:11 including [2] - 35:11, 54:11 inconsistencies [1] -67:19 incurred [1] - 17:25 independent [1] -46.16 indicate [2] - 18:13, 25:14 indicated [4] - 17:2, 26:8, 40:12, 40:15 indicating [1] - 16:25 individual [1] - 32:20 individuals [5] -12:17, 17:16, 54:20, 54:23, 66:23 inflows [1] - 32:12 information [11] -21:7, 21:18, 21:20, 21:23, 21:24, 22:5,

22:10, 23:19, 40:1,

40:19, 41:1

informed [1] - 15:22 initial [4] - 44:25, 51:21, 59:19, 60:20 inject [1] - 65:25 inquire [1] - 43:18 insignificant [1] - 14:7 instance [1] - 27:25 instruct [1] - 15:21 instructing [1] - 15:19 instructive [1] - 26:13 integrity [1] - 31:22 intend [1] - 51:6 intended [3] - 43:4, 51:3, 62:1 intending [1] - 18:22 interest [5] - 27:15, 54:24, 60:23, 63:11, 63:14 interests [1] - 4:13 interpretation [3] -26:21, 30:23, 61:3 intervene [7] - 28:9, 31:19, 34:25, 44:5, 44:19, 46:11 **intervenors** [1] - 62:3 intervention [7] -36:4, 38:22, 46:9, 50:11, 50:23, 51:3, 53:12 introduce [3] - 7:1, 33:10, 33:11 introduced [1] - 7:4 investigation [1] -19:17 involved [4] - 10:17, 23:10, 59:11, 61:2 IRIS [1] - 2:9 Iris [3] - 4:17, 4:21, 6:20 irrigation [1] - 34:1 Irrigation [6] - 4:7, 4:25, 5:3, 16:13, 40:6, 47:6 IRRIGATION [1] - 2:3 issue [32] - 7:11, 7:13, 8:11, 22:13, 23:25, 34:24, 39:18, 39:20, 43:2, 43:20, 43:21, 45:24, 46:12, 47:12, 47:13, 48:1, 50:23, 52:2, 52:8, 52:13, 52:14, 53:19, 53:24, 59:25, 60:2, 60:9, 61:6, 61:11, 64:3, 64:16, 66:14 issued [1] - 32:15 issues [25] - 10:7, 15:2, 38:19, 41:18,

41:19, 43:6, 43:11,

44:1, 45:1, 45:2,

Law [9] - 1:20, 2:4,

3:4, 3:7, 3:10

lawsuit [1] - 12:21

2:6, 2:10, 2:12, 2:18,

laws [2] - 58:14, 58:25

46:24, 48:2, 48:22, 51:5, 51:16, 51:21, 51:22, 52:11, 53:2, 54:3, 59:17, 61:22, 68:13 item [3] - 7:9, 53:14, 68:4 items [2] - 46:21, 65:12

J

itself [2] - 29:15, 36:8

Jim [1] - 33:12 JIM [1] - 3:13 joint [1] - 35:15 Jones [30] - 7:12, 7:24, 8:8, 8:20, 9:9, 10:2, 13:21, 13:24, 16:4, 16:14, 16:24, 21:3, 23:12, 26:22, 35:17, 35:24, 36:3, 38:17, 39:5, 42:9, 42:18, 42:19, 43:25, 44:24, 45:21, 47:14, 48:3, 50:22, 51:2 Jones' [4] - 16:11, 43:3, 52:8 Jones's [1] - 24:11 Jr [1] - 6:18 JR [1] - 1:20 judge [2] - 13:25 **JUDGE** [1] - 1:2 Judge [57] - 7:12, 7:24, 8:8, 8:20, 9:9, 10:2, 13:21, 13:24, 16:4, 16:7, 16:11, 16:14, 16:17, 16:24, 17:10, 17:20, 18:2, 18:10, 20:1, 21:3, 21:22, 22:11, 22:14, 23:12, 23:22, 24:2, 24:10, 24:11, 24:18, 26:4, 26:22, 33:16, 35:17, 35:24, 36:3, 38:17, 39:5, 39:17, 42:9, 42:18, 42:19, 43:3, 43:25, 44:24, 45:21, 45:22, 47:14, 48:3, 50:21, 51:2, 52:7, 54:25, 60:13, 61:3, 63:17 judgment [8] - 13:11, 20:15, 20:17, 24:8, 45:6, 45:25, 46:1, 46:17 judicata [8] - 43:11, 44:6, 44:9, 44:16, 45:9, 45:10, 52:12, 53:6

July [3] - 20:7, 28:11, 66:17 June [1] - 28:8 jurisdiction [14] -24:1, 24:6, 25:3, 25:4, 43:11, 45:16, 46:2, 46:24, 47:7, 47:8, 49:15, 49:18, 53:8, 55:22 jurisdictional [13] -26:12, 45:1, 45:10, 45:24, 48:4, 48:7, 48:13, 48:17, 48:22, 51:5, 51:22, 52:10 Justice [1] - 6:8 justice [1] - 7:2

K

KAREN [1] - 2:6 keep [5] - 14:18, 19:21, 22:9, 23:3, 40:18 kept [1] - 23:18 key [1] - 15:6 kind [12] - 11:1, 24:19, 25:12, 26:1, 26:18, 27:15, 29:1, 36:20, 44:17, 45:4, 45:15, 47:2 known [5] - 15:5, 15:10, 15:15, 15:18,

L

40:2

Labor [1] - 37:7 lack [1] - 42:9 lake [7] - 31:22, 31:23, 32:12, 33:2, 34:19, 50:14, 56:8 Lake [7] - 31:22, 34:20, 49:11, 49:23, 50:18, 50:20, 57:21 Lakes [1] - 2:13 **Land** [1] - 6:9 language [2] - 61:22, 66:8 large [1] - 63:11 largely [1] - 22:5 last [19] - 7:6, 7:21, 8:20, 9:5, 9:10, 10:16, 15:5, 15:10, 15:15, 15:18, 21:17, 29:14, 35:11, 39:16, 39:19, 40:2, 43:3, 52:7, 53:22 law [8] - 5:7, 5:13, 14:2, 26:5, 26:22, 43:23, 49:10, 59:23

lead [1] - 8:10 least [13] - 10:9, 17:3, 19:5, 26:18, 33:1, 36:25, 43:5, 44:24, 45:7, 52:4, 52:10, 54:20, 57:12 leave [2] - 10:19, 68:6 Leavitt's [1] - 22:14 led [1] - 60:20 left [4] - 21:18, 41:23, 54:17, 66:23 legal [2] - 49:12, 57:3 less [2] - 24:8, 26:15 letters [2] - 40:21, 68:9 level [4] - 25:5, 31:21, 50:20, 63:23 **LIA** [1] - 3:15 Lia [1] - 7:16 liberal [1] - 41:5 libraries [5] - 11:25, 12:1, 19:18, 19:23, 20:8 library [3] - 11:20, 20:2, 20:8 light [2] - 25:5, 53:18 limit [1] - 17:24 limited [2] - 43:5, 44:25 limiting [1] - 14:9 line [3] - 6:4, 61:5, 65:23 lines [2] - 20:7, 48:14 list [23] - 18:8, 21:10, 21:14, 22:8, 22:9, 23:17, 23:20, 27:10, 28:23, 29:20, 39:24, 40:8, 45:22, 53:21, 56:14, 56:19, 57:1, 61:14, 61:15, 62:12, 62:20, 62:25, 64:3 lists [1] - 22:7 litigation [7] - 8:24, 9:7, 23:14, 58:6, 59:11, 59:18, 60:15 local [1] - 11:19 look [6] - 20:5, 22:21, 36:8, 36:14, 38:8,

love [1] - 37:14 lower [2] - 35:9, 35:10 Lyon [1] - 6:11 LYON [1] - 3:4

M **MAGISTRATE** [1] - 1:2 Mahaney [2] - 6:4, 59:5 **MAHANEY** [2] - 2:21, 59:5 mail [17] - 7:18, 7:19, 16:23, 16:25, 17:1, 17:9, 17:15, 17:24, 18:8, 19:15, 21:24, 25:9, 25:23, 28:23, 30:24, 39:24, 53:20 mail-only [1] - 17:9 mailed [3] - 11:10, 28:18, 29:13 mailing [2] - 27:10, 29:20 mailings [1] - 8:2 maintain [1] - 31:22 Mammoth [1] - 2:13 management [7] -18:11, 41:25, 42:6, 43:5, 45:5, 45:22, 52:25 Management [1] - 6:9 Manager [1] - 3:15 March 131 - 34:1. 61:21, 66:15 Margaret [2] - 1:23, 68:21 Marines [1] - 6:9 MARTA [1] - 2:15 Marta [1] - 5:23 Master [3] - 3:13, 5:17, 33:12 master [1] - 21:14 matter [8] - 9:22, 10:1, 22:22, 24:13, 44:2, 47:5, 58:15, 68:20 matters [2] - 10:18, 66:4 mean [8] - 10:25, 13:19, 20:24, 27:18, 29:6, 36:12, 45:17, 62:17 means [1] - 49:8 meant [1] - 59:19 meantime [1] - 65:8 meet [1] - 39:13 meeting [3] - 12:11,

28:22. 39:12

28:13, 35:15

memorandum [2] -

memory [2] - 37:1,

59:14 mentioned [7] - 7:6, 12:1, 23:24, 39:16, 58:7, 59:7, 60:12 met [3] - 13:6, 13:7, 40:17 Mexico [1] - 2:10 MICA [1] - 3:7 Mica [1] - 5:14 MICHAEL [1] - 2:23 Michael [2] - 6:2, 66:1 might [16] - 18:17, 22:17, 22:20, 25:7, 31:2. 35:10. 35:17. 36:9, 37:11, 45:5, 46:16, 52:14, 58:1, 63:10, 67:1 mind [3] - 19:6, 19:7, 22:12 MINERAL [1] - 2:9 Mineral [40] - 4:16, 4:18, 6:20, 24:16, 28:12, 31:13, 32:11, 33:18, 33:20, 34:11, 34:25, 35:5, 38:22, 42:25, 44:4, 44:10, 44:14, 44:15, 45:3, 46:10, 46:11, 46:13, 47:7, 48:8, 48:17, 48:23, 48:24, 49:1, 49:6, 49:9, 50:9, 51:1, 56:7, 60:11, 61:13, 64:17, 65:10, 66:4, 67:16 minimum [3] - 31:21, 32:12, 50:13 minor [1] - 61:22 minutes [2] - 8:6, 53:16 mischaracterizing [1] - 31:15 Mixson [6] - 5:7, 9:5, 9:11, 10:13, 17:18, 18:24 MIXSON [9] - 2:18, 5:6, 10:12, 10:24, 11:3, 11:6, 11:12, 11:24, 12:6 modified [4] - 32:21, 35:17, 38:17, 61:20 modify [7] - 14:21, 16:6, 16:10, 35:14, 46:14, 49:1, 49:18 modifying [4] - 26:20, 42:16, 42:20, 49:8 money [5] - 8:4, 35:11, 66:23, 67:4, 67:5 **MONO** [1] - 2:12 Mono [10] - 6:12, 56:20, 57:15, 57:17,

53:1

67.7

looked [3] - 22:24,

looking [5] - 35:3,

37:6, 37:18, 45:21,

29:21, 61:3

loop [1] - 66:11

58:4, 58:5, 58:10, 58:12, 64:17, 66:10 month [2] - 36:10, 38:3 months [3] - 28:8, 57:14, 57:15 moot [2] - 47:16, 53.18 morning [1] - 28:22 most [3] - 32:7, 57:13, 64:12 motion [38] - 13:11, 14:15, 20:14, 20:16, 27:23, 28:9, 31:18, 38:22, 44:1, 44:2, 44:5, 45:4, 45:9, 45:10, 45:13, 45:15, 46:9, 46:11, 47:2, 47:6, 47:16, 47:17, 50:22, 51:3, 52:18, 52:20, 53:6, 53:25, 54:4, 57:24, 64:4, 64:21, 65:7, 65:10, 67:7, 67:23, 67:24 motions [11] - 11:1, 14:10, 42:5, 44:25, 45:14, 53:5, 53:18, 65:19, 66:6, 67:13 move [3] - 62:4, 65:3, 65:4 moved [4] - 40:3, 40:6, 51:14, 63:18 moving [2] - 45:4, 64:24 MR [140] - 4:11, 4:15, 4:21, 4:23, 4:24, 5:2, 5:4, 5:6, 5:19, 5:24, 6:1, 6:7, 6:11, 6:17, 8:13, 9:3, 10:9, 10:12, 10:24, 11:3, 11:6, 11:12, 11:24, 12:6, 12:8, 12:19, 12:23, 13:9, 13:13, 13:17, 13:20, 13:23, 14:2, 14:23, 15:4, 15:13, 15:17, 16:7, 16:12, 17:6, 18:6, 19:1, 19:5, 19:12, 20:16, 21:1, 21:16, 22:4, 22:15, 22:22, 24:15, 24:22, 24:25, 25:2, 25:11, 25:13, 26:6, 27:7, 28:21, 29:4, 29:7, 29:10,

29:11, 29:12, 29:14,

30:16, 31:10, 31:16,

31:25. 32:6. 33:12.

33:23, 34:20, 35:20,

36:5, 36:23, 37:11,

30:6, 30:9, 30:11,

37:16, 37:22, 38:1, 38:4, 38:7, 38:11, 39:7, 39:10, 39:14, 39:20, 41:2, 41:14, 41:21, 42:24, 43:22, 43:24, 44:12, 45:11, 45:18, 45:20, 45:21, 46:10. 46:19. 47:19. 47:23, 48:12, 48:19, 48:24, 49:5, 49:20, 50:1, 50:5, 50:25, 51:10, 52:4, 52:17, 52:22, 54:2, 54:9, 54:13, 54:16, 55:5, 55:6, 55:11, 55:19, 55:25, 56:4, 56:13, 56:25, 57:3, 61:10, 62:10, 62:15, 63:1, 64:9, 65:1, 65:25, 66:19, 67:9, 67:15 MS [14] - 5:12, 5:18, 5:22, 6:12, 6:13, 6:19, 11:11, 22:2, 29:20, 30:3, 57:22, 58:3, 58:23, 59:5 multiple [1] - 18:14

Ν

name [3] - 4:12, 6:24, 11.16 named [2] - 59:21, 60:5 NATIONAL [1] - 2:18 National [2] - 5:7, 10:13 naturalization [1] -37:19 nature [6] - 17:8, 25:3, 25:19, 26:8, 26:12, 65:17 near [2] - 28:4, 61:13 necessarily [5] -17:25, 27:17, 32:3, 48:10, 57:20 necessary [1] - 41:24 need [19] - 7:6, 7:23, 16:6, 19:17, 20:8, 36:18, 41:9, 41:24, 47:25, 51:12, 53:13, 58:16, 60:5, 60:9, 61:12, 62:24, 64:2, 67:11, 68:12 needs [2] - 56:5, 63:25 NEGRI [2] - 1:17, 6:7 Negri [1] - 6:7 net [1] - 49:21 **NEVADA**[3] - 1:1, 2:15. 4:1

Nevada [14] - 1:21,

2:4, 2:7, 2:16, 2:19, 3:5, 3:8, 3:11, 5:23, 5:24, 11:20, 55:4, 55:12, 60:18 never [5] - 55:20, 56:16, 56:25, 57:9, 61.1 **NEVILLE** [3] - 2:23, 6:1, 65:25 Neville [2] - 6:2, 66:1 new [10] - 42:20, 46:2, 46:4, 46:6, 46:20, 48:25, 49:11, 49:15, 49:18, 49:20 New [1] - 2:10 next [4] - 1:25, 3:1, 51:4, 66:18 Nguyen [1] - 6:14 **NGUYEN** [2] - 2:21, 6:13 Nhu [1] - 6:14 NHU[1] - 2:21 nice [1] - 36:14 nine [1] - 37:21 Ninth [5] - 47:15, 47:16, 51:8, 51:12, 51:14 nondormant [1] -58:13 none [1] - 68:15 note [4] - 5:15, 16:17, 24:10, 39:12 noted [5] - 7:21, 8:8, 24:2, 24:5, 33:16 notes [1] - 60:14 nothing [3] - 30:25, 48:14

notice [59] - 9:20, 9:21, 9:25, 10:9, 10:21, 11:2, 11:5, 11:6, 11:8, 11:16, 12:12, 12:17, 12:18, 12:21, 13:10, 14:4, 14:5, 14:15, 14:17, 15:2, 15:5, 15:7, 16:18, 16:23, 17:15, 17:18, 17:22, 17:24, 19:8, 19:11, 19:13, 19:14, 20:7, 20:19, 23:8, 24:7, 24:12, 25:5, 25:8, 26:2, 26:15, 27:10, 27:18, 28:3, 28:6, 28:9, 28:17, 35:11, 38:5, 38:23, 40:15, 41:16, 47:9, 54:22, 58:7, 64:14, 65:11 noticed [1] - 11:9 notices [3] - 27:11,

notification [3] - 7:25, 26:17, 30:14 notified [2] - 40:4, 54:24 noting [2] - 18:1, 22:25 notion [2] - 8:21, 26:13 November [1] - 36:3 number [5] - 4:5, 28:25, 39:17, 40:9, 46:20 numbers [1] - 30:21

Ο

o'clock [2] - 37:21

objecting [2] - 64:6, objections [3] - 61:17, 61:23, 64:21 obligation [6] - 18:17, 23:8, 39:2, 40:17, 49:7, 50:13 obtain [1] - 20:3 obviously [7] - 10:24, 10:25, 14:11, 20:18, 22:6, 34:23, 36:13 occur [3] - 43:12, 46:3, 63:25 October [2] - 67:1, 67:4 **OF** [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:12, 2:6 office [4] - 5:13, 6:14, 6:16, 21:19 Official [2] - 1:23, 68:22 often [1] - 25:19 Ogden [2] - 68:6, 68:11 oldest [1] - 33:6 once [7] - 15:8, 23:1, 23:2, 23:7, 65:4, 65:6 one [30] - 5:9, 6:5, 7:13, 9:16, 12:1, 14:11, 17:10, 18:21, 19:16, 19:22, 21:11, 22:13, 24:10, 25:24, 26:2, 28:14, 28:15, 30:17, 34:24, 36:15, 46:21, 47:11, 48:8, 53:22, 54:20, 59:13, 59:16, 59:17, 64:24, 65:16 one-pager [1] - 28:15 ones [1] - 29:25 **Operations** [1] - 3:15

opinion [1] - 13:24

27:17, 27:19, 28:1, 61:16 opposed [2] - 21:6, 50:18 opposition [1] - 44:8 opt [2] - 13:18 opt-in [1] - 13:18 opt-out [1] - 13:18 oral [3] - 27:24, 28:6, 38:21 order [54] - 7:13, 7:17, 9:1, 9:18, 13:19, 14:18. 15:18. 15:25. 16:10, 17:4, 17:7, 17:20, 18:3, 18:11, 18:21, 20:1, 22:3, 22:12, 22:13, 22:18, 23:14, 23:23, 24:18, 25:2, 26:4, 26:7, 26:21, 27:3, 28:1, 29:19, 30:1, 31:19, 32:2, 32:4, 33:17, 35:14, 35:17, 37:25, 38:6, 39:18, 41:25, 42:6, 42:19, 43:5, 45:5, 45:22, 47:4, 60:13, 60:14, 61:2, 65:11, 67:22, 67:23 orders [5] - 9:25, 11:1, 18:15, 63:4, 63:17 original [2] - 55:16, 61:4 originally [2] - 18:11, 31:8 Orr [8] - 8:22, 9:7, 11:22, 16:17, 19:8, 19:22, 23:14 otherwise [1] - 54:24 ought [4] - 35:24, 46:22, 47:9, 53:2 ourselves [2] - 22:8, 65:13 outset [1] - 63:6 overall [1] - 32:25 overcome [1] - 8:5 overlay [1] - 8:4 own [2] - 13:2, 40:22 owner [1] - 32:20 ownership [1] - 23:3

opportunity [5] -

Р

P.M [1] - 4:1
package [1] - 12:21
packages [1] - 38:25
page [5] - 1:25, 3:1,
17:20, 23:25, 45:23
pager [1] - 28:15
PAIUTE [2] - 1:7, 1:20

68:7, 68:9

Paiute [1] - 6:18 paper [1] - 56:20 paragraph [6] - 9:18, 16:5, 17:7, 18:21, 18:23, 41:12 paralegal [3] - 21:17, 29:16, 39:23 paraphrase [1] - 31:9 part [8] - 20:12, 24:18, 49:16, 53:7, 56:25, 57:13, 57:20, 62:20 participants [2] -17:22, 38:19 participate [5] - 17:13, 17:16, 40:12, 40:16, 63:15 participating [3] -30:20, 51:13, 64:20 particular [2] - 9:1, 27:23 particularly [5] - 4:13, 6:8, 8:18, 9:4, 23:5 parties [25] - 7:24, 9:12, 10:6, 10:17, 11:15, 13:2, 15:4, 16:3, 17:9, 17:11, 20:19, 23:21, 28:19, 36:24, 38:1, 51:19, 53:22, 59:21, 59:22, 60:18, 60:22, 61:1, 61:17, 65:22, 67:22 party [10] - 9:19, 11:12, 11:14, 14:12, 14:14, 14:16, 15:8, 15:9, 21:23 past [2] - 66:3, 66:12 pattern [1] - 27:4 **pay** [1] - 20:3 pendency [1] - 28:9 pending [2] - 28:4, 51:14 people [15] - 15:18, 15:21, 16:21, 16:22, 17:15, 30:23, 31:3, 40:21, 41:3, 60:11, 60:25, 61:7, 63:10, 64:5, 64:11 people's [1] - 35:8 per [2] - 14:8, 33:18 percent [1] - 40:11 perhaps [13] - 20:20, 24:12, 26:17, 31:6, 35:13, 35:15, 37:23, 43:1, 43:4, 43:7, 48:25, 49:1, 63:10 perplexed [1] - 38:24 person [3] - 23:7, 23:9, 28:14 personal [5] - 30:24, 39:25, 41:4, 54:21,

65:5 personally [1] - 54:17 personam [7] - 24:1, 24:4, 24:5, 24:8, 25:16, 26:3, 26:16 persons [1] - 59:22 perspective [1] -21:21 pertain [1] - 45:2 **PETERSON** [2] - 2:6, 5:18 Peterson [1] - 5:15 phase [1] - 51:5 Philadelphia [3] -37:13, 37:14, 37:16 phone [1] - 39:15 phrase [1] - 31:16 physically [1] - 8:2 piecemeal [2] - 47:15, 51:9 **pinning** [1] - 68:8 pipeline [1] - 33:4 place [4] - 20:2, 41:24, 67:4, 67:5 plaintiff [2] - 1:5, 18:17 **PLAINTIFF** [1] - 1:15 plaintiff's [1] - 18:17 plan [1] - 51:20 play [2] - 27:18, 30:12 players [1] - 39:8 plays [1] - 36:11 pleading [3] - 11:17, 14:8. 14:14 pleadings [2] - 18:20, 45.6 podium [1] - 33:10 point [19] - 12:10, 13:8, 17:3, 18:12, 18:22, 20:11, 23:6, 23:7, 24:12, 25:17, 34:8, 37:1, 44:13, 47:8, 50:16, 51:10, 51:23, 61:11, 63:16 pondering [1] - 52:1 popping [1] - 54:3 portion [1] - 56:21 position [9] - 12:10, 15:20, 47:8, 50:10, 55:21, 58:5, 58:10, 58:22, 60:4 possibility [1] - 63:23 possible [2] - 51:22, 67:10 postcard [19] - 7:25, 8:2, 8:22, 9:13, 11:14, 11:16, 14:5, 14:17, 16:18, 17:17, 19:7, 19:10, 19:13,

19:14, 20:6, 20:19,

25:8, 26:17, 47:10 postcards [1] - 9:8 potential [1] - 57:8 potentially [3] - 36:13, 43:16, 46:15 power [2] - 24:6, 46:1 practical [3] - 50:16, 50:19, 50:20 practically [1] - 50:23 Prado [1] - 2:10 precisely [1] - 39:7 preclusion 131 - 44:6. 44:16, 52:13 predated [1] - 33:19 prediction [2] - 66:21, 67:3 prefer [1] - 66:19 preliminary [2] -46:24, 47:11 premature [1] - 67:13 preparation [1] -22:25 prepare [1] - 11:14 prepared [1] - 12:6 prepares [1] - 46:22 present [1] - 4:10 presentations [1] -6:24 presumably [1] -47:17 presume [1] - 58:1 pretty [1] - 54:6 prevail [2] - 32:11, 51.17 previously [1] - 54:25 primarily [1] - 16:15 principal [2] - 64:19, 65:22 priority [3] - 32:13, 32:24, 34:4 pro [1] - 13:2 problem [3] - 7:21, 27:9, 27:12 problematic [1] - 8:1 problems [1] - 36:16 procedure [2] - 26:17, 27.21 Procedure [2] - 14:22, 16.1 proceed [7] - 7:1, 26:3, 42:18, 47:5, 53:22, 64:4, 67:14 proceeding [6] -17:16, 18:9, 19:9, 25:16, 25:20, 26:2 proceedings [6] -23:9, 23:10, 25:19, 25:21, 64:20, 68:20

13:6, 13:7, 24:2, 24:7, 27:11, 27:17, 35:4, 59:3, 66:24 prong [1] - 27:16 properly [1] - 50:3 property [1] - 40:22 proposal [2] - 35:14, 35:18 proposed [9] - 7:8, 15:1, 37:24, 38:6, 39:4, 62:3, 65:11, 67:21, 67:23 proverbial [1] - 47:22 provide [8] - 10:21, 14:22, 20:18, 21:7, 31:21, 32:12, 50:13, 61:2 provided [10] - 13:17, 14:17, 15:5, 15:7, 18:12, 29:17, 40:1, 40:15, 40:16, 61:16 provides [2] - 25:4, 26:16 provision [2] - 10:2, 16:20 provisions [1] - 16:18 proviso [1] - 56:19 Public [6] - 31:21, 31:24, 32:2, 34:11, 35:1, 49:24 public [8] - 9:23, 11:19, 19:23, 32:5, 33:20, 49:7, 50:12, 55:25 publication [29] -53:23, 54:1, 54:22, 56:20, 57:24, 61:6, 61:7, 62:2, 62:5, 62:25, 63:2, 63:4, 63:9, 63:16, 63:19, 63:25, 64:5, 64:7, 64:14, 64:22, 64:25, 65:4, 65:20, 66:13, 67:8, 67:13, 67:20, 67:24 **pull** [1] - 22:17 punting [1] - 42:21 purposes [2] - 55:22, 66:8 pursuant [2] - 18:15, 52:20 push [1] - 36:12 put [3] - 14:14, 37:1, 59:25

punting [1] - 42:21
purposes [2] - 55:22,
66:8
pursuant [2] - 18:15,
52:20
push [1] - 36:12
put [3] - 14:14, 37:1,
59:25

Q
qualms [1] - 10:3
quantum [1] - 35:9
quarrelling [1] - 15:12

20:22
recharge [1] - 66:25
recognition [3] 50:12, 63:6, 63:21
recognized [2] - 50:4,
55:15
recollection [6] - 8:9,
8:15, 9:8, 26:6, 26:7,
42:8
recommend [1] 37:24
record [6] - 5:10, 6:25,
7:4, 8:14, 10:13,
56:20

quasi [3] - 26:5, 26:8, 26:14 quasi-in [3] - 26:5, 26:8, 26:14 questions [4] - 24:11, 33:15, 52:10, 64:21 quiet [2] - 59:20, 60:16 quite [4] - 8:3, 32:7, 59:1, 67:10

R

raise [5] - 43:2, 48:15, 57:25, 64:21, 68:14 raised [8] - 43:25, 44:1, 48:9, 52:10, 57:13, 61:22, 61:23, 63:2 raises [1] - 38:18 Ranch [1] - 5:13 **RANCH** [1] - 3:7 ratified [1] - 42:10 RDR [1] - 1:23 reach [2] - 26:11, 60:9 reached [1] - 26:20 read [1] - 18:3 reading [4] - 24:17, 26:9, 26:24, 44:7 readjudication [2] -31:14, 32:3 reads [1] - 36:1 really [6] - 12:1, 25:15, 27:1, 38:18, 39:18, 50:10 reason [2] - 25:7, 40:3 reasonable [4] -14:19, 15:21, 28:2, 30:23 reasoning [1] - 43:9 receive [7] - 7:15, 7:18, 7:19, 9:21, 17:24, 21:12, 40:19 received [4] - 7:7, 9:24, 40:21, 41:3 receiving [2] - 12:2, 20:22 recharge [1] - 66:25 recognition [3] -50:12, 63:6, 63:21 recognized [2] - 50:4, 55:15 recollection [6] - 8:9, 8:15, 9:8, 26:6, 26:7, 42:8 recommend [1] -37:24

process [13] - 7:25,

8:8, 12:5, 12:11,

66:7

14:16, 23:4, 31:6,

31:14, 32:4, 32:14,

46:3, 49:7, 49:8,

32:17, 33:21, 34:18,

49:15, 49:19, 49:20,

49:22, 55:8, 56:22,

56:23, 57:8, 57:12,

58:13, 58:14, 58:16,

58:20, 58:21, 58:24,

58:25, 59:4, 59:8,

59:9, 59:12, 59:23,

59:24, 60:4, 60:5,

62:19, 63:8

60:18, 60:22, 62:18,

56:11, 56:22, 57:4,

57:8, 58:13, 58:20,

58:24, 59:3, 59:8,

59:13, 59:16, 62:19

4:25, 5:3, 6:18, 7:9,

8:23, 9:7, 10:18,

11:21, 16:13, 28:9,

31:15, 33:12, 40:6,

44:10, 47:5, 54:8,

recording [1] - 68:19 records [3] - 29:16, 40:19, 63:13 Reed [8] - 18:11, 24:2, 24:10, 33:16, 39:18, 54:25, 61:3, 63:17 Reed's [6] - 22:12, 23:23, 24:18, 26:4, 45:22, 60:13 referred [1] - 60:14 referring [2] - 41:12, 58:24 reflected [1] - 63:12 refreshing [1] - 37:11 regard [4] - 25:19, 56:7, 63:3 regardless [3] - 24:3, 34:2, 34:3 regards [1] - 6:9 reinforcing [1] - 31:7 relates [1] - 56:8 relative [1] - 60:17 relaxed [2] - 27:21, 27:22 relief [2] - 46:17, 60:10 relieve [1] - 20:12 rely [1] - 15:10 rem [13] - 23:25, 24:3, 24:10, 25:16, 25:20, 25:21, 26:3, 26:5, 26:8, 26:14, 26:15 remain [3] - 6:23, 32:21, 42:11 remedy [1] - 58:15 remember [1] - 44:7 rendered [2] - 45:25, 49:14 **RENO** [1] - 4:1 Reno [4] - 2:4, 2:19, 3:5, 3:8 reply [1] - 28:13 report [6] - 29:14, 61:20, 61:24, 66:15, 66:16, 66:17 Reporter [2] - 1:23, 68:22 repository [2] - 19:20, represent [2] - 4:9, 4:12 represented [4] -11:14, 17:23, 20:21, 21.11 representing [2] -10:17, 58:6 request [4] - 14:13, 14:15, 34:25, 66:5 requested [8] - 16:23,

16:25, 17:15, 18:8,

19:15, 25:9, 32:8, review [2] - 43:23, 55:20 requesting [2] - 41:4, revolves [1] - 7:10 56:19 Rifkin [1] - 5:7 requests [1] - 61:24 rightly [1] - 14:25 require [1] - 32:3 rights [44] - 14:10, required [12] - 14:17, 20:20, 20:21, 24:7, 24:13, 25:6, 32:8, 33:1, 33:16, 50:17, 63:9, 63:19 requirement [2] -32:5, 33:1 requirements [2] -24:2, 35:4 res [9] - 24:7, 43:11, 44:6, 44:9, 44:16, 45:9, 45:10, 52:12, researched [1] - 18:16 riparian [13] - 54:12, Reservation [1] -60:17 reservations [1] -42.13 reserve [1] - 60:16 riparians [1] - 60:2 reservoir [1] - 34:16 River [22] - 4:7, 4:14, resolve [3] - 47:12, 48:1, 53:19 resolved [2] - 64:4, 64:16 resounding [1] -24:14 Resources [2] - 6:5, 59:6 respect [4] - 4:13, 27:9, 45:18, 48:2 respond [6] - 7:22, 16:16, 16:21, 16:22, 28:10, 30:2 responded [10] - 7:22, 8:19, 16:23, 19:15, 25:10, 25:24, 27:8, 28:15, 29:6, 30:19 respondents [1] -

29:24

response [6] - 24:14,

39:3, 43:13, 44:8,

responsibility [2] -

result [2] - 26:15,

return [3] - 9:20, 18:5,

12:23, 12:24, 13:1,

returned [6] - 7:20,

returns [2] - 39:17,

28:23, 68:9

46:9, 56:18

9:23, 22:9

rest [1] - 9:12

49:21

41:8

39:23

55:9, 57:5, 59:10, 60:24 RIVER [3] - 1:7, 1:20, 2:3 rivers [1] - 34:4 road [3] - 19:2, 35:1, 60:6 Rule [7] - 15:8, 18:10, 18:13, 18:15, 20:12, 45:5, 52:20 rule [1] - 44:4 ruled [4] - 39:18, 43:16, 45:13, 51:3 rules [6] - 15:3, 15:8, 26:1, 27:21, 27:25, 51.7 Rules [2] - 14:22, 16:1 run [1] - 66:23

S

Sacramento [2] - 2:22, 6.15 safe [1] - 14:3 sake [1] - 32:10 San [2] - 2:24, 6:3 satisfied [1] - 47:4 satisfy [1] - 12:5

save [1] - 35:10 saw [1] - 43:13 schedule [5] - 41:19, 42:5, 51:13, 51:21, 67:13 schedules [1] - 47:13 Schneider [1] - 19:6 school [1] - 14:2 Schroeder [1] - 5:13 Schurz [1] - 1:21 scope [2] - 10:5, 17:9 se [1] - 13:2 seated [1] - 6:23 second [2] - 5:9, 33:10 **secondly** [1] - 60:13 secured [1] - 24:6 see [17] - 15:20, 35:25, 36:1, 42:14, 44:14, 44:18, 44:19, 44:21, 44:22, 48:3, 52:16, 52:19, 53:14, 54:3, 60:10, 61:3, 66:7 seeking [7] - 31:5, 31:19, 46:14, 49:1, 49:6, 50:12, 56:20 seeks [1] - 60:11 seem [2] - 18:4, 66:20 sees [1] - 52:10 send [4] - 10:5, 12:18, 33:4 sending [2] - 8:21, 12:17 sends [1] - 21:23 sense [4] - 25:21, 51:20, 64:8, 64:10 sensible [1] - 24:17 sent [7] - 7:17, 12:20, 15:18, 27:11, 29:19, 35:11, 41:16 separate [1] - 49:16 separately [1] - 46:4 **September** [5] - 36:4, 37:5, 37:19, 38:23, 68:4 series [1] - 40:21 serve [11] - 13:12,

15:15, 18:19, 19:3,

19:4, 20:18, 20:21,

12:13, 12:20, 15:8,

15:9, 17:1, 17:9,

23:7, 28:8, 29:1,

29:5, 30:2, 30:24,

40:11, 54:6, 55:2,

62:1, 64:3, 64:5,

38:23, 38:25, 39:24,

56:12, 58:17, 58:19,

27:13, 28:5, 34:8,

served [27] - 10:7,

54:17

64:7, 64:11 server [1] - 66:24 service [86] - 7:11, 7:13, 7:16, 7:18, 7:19, 9:18, 9:22, 12:25, 13:1, 13:15, 13:19, 14:18, 15:10, 15:25, 16:10, 16:25, 17:4, 17:7, 17:12, 18:12, 18:18, 18:21, 19:15, 20:25, 21:10, 21:12, 21:24, 21:25, 22:2, 22:6, 22:14, 24:7, 24:12, 25:5, 25:9, 25:23, 26:20, 27:3, 29:19, 29:25, 30:24, 33:16, 35:4, 35:10, 35:14, 35:17, 37:25, 38:6, 38:25, 39:4, 39:25, 41:4, 41:20, 47:4, 47:10, 47:12, 48:1, 53:19, 53:20, 53:23, 53:24, 54:1, 54:21, 57:24, 61:7, 61:12, 61:19, 61:20, 61:24, 62:4, 62:12, 62:24, 63:4, 63:7, 64:3, 64:4, 64:13, 64:24, 65:5, 66:14, 67:8, 68:1 Service [1] - 6:10 serving [2] - 20:13, 64:6 set [9] - 4:4, 20:9, 34:4, 36:2, 36:23, 37:20, 44:10, 62:21, 63:22 setting [1] - 47:13 settling [1] - 36:22 seventy [2] - 17:5, 17:6 seventy-five [2] -17:5, 17:6 several [4] - 11:25, 14:8, 41:3, 57:15 shaking [1] - 40:24 shall [2] - 9:20, 9:24 Shaw [6] - 5:17, 33:4, 33:9, 33:12, 33:14, 34:21 SHAW [5] - 3:13, 5:19, 33:12, 33:23, 34:20 sheds [1] - 25:4 sheet [1] - 68:8 shift [1] - 50:9 shortly [1] - 22:18 significant [1] - 38:19 SIMEON [1] - 2:9 Simeon [4] - 4:16, 24:15, 42:24, 51:1

1 0

similar [3] - 8:22, 23:13, 43:15 Simon [5] - 6:12, 58:1, 58:4, 59:7, 67:16 **SIMON** [5] - 2:12, 6:12, 57:22, 58:3, 58:23 simply [3] - 17:12, 46:1, 46:7 sitting [1] - 19:24 situation [2] - 18:7, 50:18 six [1] - 67:5 sixty [1] - 33:8 small [1] - 27:14 smaller [2] - 29:2, 38:19 so-called [1] - 57:8 **solution** [1] - 16:3 someone [3] - 20:3, 41:6, 64:6 someplace [1] - 35:1 sometime [2] - 44:8, 61:12 somewhat [4] - 7:25, 27:20, 43:14, 52:5 soon [2] - 65:18, 67:17 sooner [1] - 67:24 sorry [3] - 4:20, 10:11, 48:20 sort [12] - 8:16, 10:21, 14:18, 36:21, 43:7, 43:10, 48:6, 51:21, 63:14, 63:24, 64:1, 65:11 sorts [1] - 42:1 sought [1] - 58:16 sounds [1] - 35:16 **Spain** [1] - 7:2 **SPEAKER** [1] - 33:8 special [1] - 26:2 **specific** [6] - 8:7, 16:20, 26:11, 32:14, 32:19, 48:13 specifically [1] - 39:23 specifies [1] - 20:2 spoken [2] - 8:15, 26:19 squares [1] - 52:25 Stacy [3] - 6:12, 58:1, 58:4 STACY [1] - 2:12 stage [2] - 47:17, 64:14 standard [1] - 35:10 standpoint [2] - 33:15, start [4] - 8:13, 34:7,

36:13, 47:13

starting [2] - 4:9, 22:5 starts [1] - 34:1 STATE [1] - 2:21 state [15] - 4:8, 6:2, 6:15, 6:24, 32:15, 40:21, 56:18, 57:15, 57:18, 58:12, 58:14, 58:25, 59:23, 66:1 State [4] - 6:5, 58:8, 59:6, 64:18 statement [1] - 14:14 states [2] - 58:20, 60:19 **STATES** [2] - 1:1, 1:4 States [23] - 1:15. 1:17, 4:6, 4:11, 4:12, 6:8, 8:14, 12:9, 15:9, 20:18, 21:2, 21:6, 22:23, 23:2, 23:18, 36:6, 37:22, 47:24, 48:8, 56:9, 60:15, 60:20, 65:2 States' [1] - 22:8 **STATUS** [1] - 1:12 status [8] - 4:5, 10:16, 19:19, 35:25, 36:2, 37:3, 61:21, 61:22 stay [1] - 23:9 step [3] - 12:15, 14:6, 51:4 steps [2] - 12:11, 15:22 still [11] - 14:25,

27:24, 32:24, 34:24, 38:21. 43:25. 46:25. 47:3, 47:11, 54:17, 63.9 Stockton [1] - 5:24 **STOCKTON** [2] - 2:15, 5:24 storage [1] - 34:17 stream [1] - 59:20 stretches [1] - 27:25 strike [1] - 17:14 subject [1] - 57:10 submit [1] - 35:16 submitting [1] - 37:24 subproceeding [6] -43:7, 43:8, 51:16, 56:16, 57:14, 57:21 subproceedings [1] -Subproceedings [1] -1.5 subsequent [2] - 9:21, 9:25

substantive [9] -

10:21, 10:22, 14:10,

14:16, 15:2, 18:25,

19:3, 19:4, 27:23

substantively [1] -65:15 successors [2] -60:23. 61:1 sued [1] - 60:15 sufficiently [1] - 41:13 suggested [1] - 7:24 suggesting [3] - 25:6, 25:15, 44:25 suggestion [2] - 25:7, 41.11 suit [1] - 60:23 **summary** [3] - 13:11, 20:15. 20:17 supervise [1] - 46:1 supplemental [6] -14:25, 42:10, 42:17, 45:4, 46:2, 52:21 **supply** [1] - 33:20 support [1] - 32:5 suppose [2] - 8:4, 51:12 supposed [1] - 19:3 suppositions [1] -57:7 surface [6] - 31:5, 54:9, 55:8, 56:3, 56:8, 57:12 Susan [1] - 19:6 **Swainston** [6] - 5:5, 27:5, 27:8, 35:8, 38:18 SWAINSTON [4] -3:10, 5:4, 27:7 Swainston's [1] -30:13 **Swainston-Wiggins** [1] - 5:5 SWAINSTON-**WIGGINS** [1] - 3:10 system [11] - 7:2, 9:13, 20:22, 32:15, 32:18, 33:24, 34:3, 34:5, 49:10, 57:10, 59:20

T

57:9

63:25

therefore [2] - 14:17,

Therese [1] - 5:12

THERESE [1] - 3:7

thinking [1] - 7:7

thinks [1] - 50:9

they've [2] - 13:1, 40:3

thirty [2] - 30:9, 30:10

thirty-eight [1] - 30:9

systemic [1] - 32:25

teach [1] - 14:2 telephone [2] - 4:17, 4:19 Telephonic [7] - 1:17, 1:21, 2:9, 2:12, 2:16, 2:22, 3:4 telephonic [1] - 5:20 telephonically [1] -14:13 ten [3] - 37:21, 40:11

tend [1] - 35:9

term [1] - 42:9 terms [2] - 24:18, 51:19 THE [134] - 1:2, 1:15, 1:20, 4:4, 4:19, 4:22, 5:9, 5:11, 5:15, 5:20, 6:21, 8:25, 9:15, 10:10, 10:22, 11:2, 11:5, 11:8, 11:22, 11:25, 12:18, 12:22, 13:7, 13:10, 13:14, 13:19, 13:21, 14:1, 14:20, 14:24, 15:12, 15:14, 16:5, 16:9, 17:5, 17:19, 18:23, 19:2, 19:10, 20:14, 20:23, 21:9, 22:11, 22:16, 23:22, 24:20, 24:24, 25:1, 25:12, 26:4, 26:9, 28:3, 29:3, 29:5, 29:8, 29:13, 29:18, 29:25, 30:4, 30:7, 30:10, 30:12, 31:2, 31:12, 31:24, 32:2, 33:3, 33:9, 33:14, 34:19, 34:21, 35:23, 36:15, 37:6, 37:9, 37:10, 37:12, 37:18, 37:23, 38:5, 38:9, 38:13, 39:9, 39:11, 39:16, 40:20, 41:3, 41:15, 42:7, 43:19, 43:23, 44:3, 45:9, 45:12, 46:8, 46:18, 46:21, 47:21, 48:10, 48:16, 48:21, 49:3, 49:17, 49:21, 50:3, 50:21, 51:7, 52:1, 52:16, 52:19, 52:24, 54:3, 54:11, 54:14, 55:4, 55:10, 55:17, 55:24, 56:1, 56:10, 56:24, 57:2, 57:23, 58:18, 60:8, 62:6, 62:11, 62:24, 64:2, 64:23, 66:13, 67:7, 67:11, 68:2 themselves [1] - 64:7 theory [2] - 49:12,

THORNTON [2] - 2:9, 6:19 Thornton [3] - 4:18, 4:21, 6:20 thoughts [1] - 57:19 thousand [3] - 29:7, 29:9, 30:17 thousands [1] - 27:13 three [2] - 21:14, 54:20 threshold [17] - 10:7, 41:18, 41:19, 43:6, 43:10, 43:20, 43:21, 46:23, 48:2, 51:5, 51:21, 52:2, 52:8, 52:11, 52:14, 53:1, throughout [1] - 59:18 throw [1] - 12:4 Thursday [1] - 38:10 **Tiburon** [1] - 54:15 title [2] - 59:20, 60:16 today [7] - 4:10, 23:6, 25:20, 35:6, 36:16, 39:13, 43:18 today's [1] - 30:18 together [6] - 29:21, 35:14, 36:25, 37:1, 38:2, 48:5 tomorrow [2] - 28:22, 39:13 took [2] - 58:5, 61:16 topic [1] - 41:17 topics [3] - 41:12, 41:15, 53:17 touch [1] - 40:18 touching [1] - 54:7 towards [1] - 36:10 track [2] - 23:3, 40:13 traditional [2] - 7:19, 25:21 Transcribed [1] - 1:23 transcript [10] - 8:7, 36:8, 36:14, 36:17, 36:20, 37:2, 42:8, 44:22, 44:23, 68:19 TRANSCRIPT [1] -1:12 transfers [1] - 23:3 treat [1] - 31:3 treated [1] - 46:6 trial [1] - 36:22 tribal [3] - 45:2, 45:23 Tribe [5] - 4:14, 6:18, 20:18, 31:5, 56:9 TRIBE [2] - 1:7, 1:20 Tribe's [2] - 34:7, 48:22 tribes [1] - 33:6 tributaries [2] - 55:9,

60:24 Truckee [4] - 8:23, 9:7, 10:18, 11:20 true [1] - 55:1 Trust [6] - 31:21, 31:24, 32:3, 34:11, 35:2, 49:24 trust [4] - 32:5, 33:20, 49:7, 50:13 try [8] - 9:13, 14:18, 16:2, 16:3, 35:22, 37:1, 38:2, 38:15 trying [6] - 14:4, 20:8, 21:8, 21:22, 23:7, 44:10 TUESDAY [1] - 4:1 turn [1] - 25:24 two [8] - 7:5, 30:21, 42:14, 42:15, 54:20, 60:9, 63:4, 65:15 type [7] - 7:25, 19:7, 20:25, 30:1, 37:24, 50:22, 52:13 types [1] - 43:10

U

U.S [3] - 2:6, 6:9, 66:4

ultimately [1] - 46:5 unable [1] - 54:21 uncertainty [1] - 63:23 unclear [1] - 42:17 undeliverable [6] -7:20, 28:24, 40:3, 53:20, 68:7, 68:10 undeliverables [1] -40:10 under [15] - 15:7, 15:8, 19:11, 31:20, 31:24, 32:15, 45:5, 46:2, 49:12, 49:24, 53:3, 57:5, 58:14, 58:25, 59:23 understandings [1] -52:6 understood [4] -44:24, 45:7, 46:13, 49:6 unfamiliar [2] - 8:23, unidentified [4] - 62:1, 62:7, 62:9, 62:14 union [1] - 32:16 unique [1] - 65:16 **UNITED** [2] - 1:1, 1:4 United [24] - 1:15, 1:17, 4:6, 4:11, 4:12, 6:8, 8:14, 12:9, 15:9, 20:18, 21:2, 21:6, 22:8, 22:23, 23:2,

23:18, 36:6, 37:22, 47:24, 48:8, 56:9, 60:15, 60:20, 65:2 universe [7] - 8:17, 39:7, 54:7, 55:13, 56:14, 62:13, 63:21 **UNKNOWN** [1] - 33:8 unrepresented [1] -9:19 unreserved [1] - 31:5 **up** [20] - 16:3, 19:22, 20:9, 23:9, 23:18, 23:20, 24:1, 35:12, 37:3, 38:15, 53:15, 54:4, 56:15, 60:3, 61:12, 62:23, 65:13, 67:6, 67:20 up-to-date [2] - 23:18, 23:20 upcoming [1] - 28:6 update [2] - 40:7, 40:19 updated [3] - 21:10, 22:9, 40:16 updates [1] - 40:5 upstream [4] - 34:16, 34:19, 34:20, 62:19

٧

Ure [1] - 5:12

URE [2] - 3:7, 5:12

USA [1] - 48:22

48:22

USA-Tribe's [1] -

users [3] - 54:9,

55:18, 55:20

USPS [1] - 7:20

utilizing [1] - 25:8

vacation [1] - 21:17 valid [4] - 58:14, 58:24, 59:3, 59:23 various [3] - 33:4, 53:17, 60:2 versus [8] - 4:7, 24:1, 29:6, 31:3, 46:24, 54:4, 59:3, 59:20 vesting [2] - 33:22 via [3] - 7:18, 7:25, 20:22 view [2] - 23:13, 67:25 viewed [1] - 11:18 visited [1] - 59:18 visiting [1] - 7:2 visitors [1] - 7:4 voice [1] - 65:19 **vs** [1] - 1:6

W

wait [4] - 36:19, 44:21,

44:22, 53:24

waive [1] - 44:25

waiver [1] - 12:24

WALKER [3] - 1:7,

1:20, 2:3 Walker [27] - 4:7, 4:14, 4:25, 5:3, 6:18, 7:9, 16:13, 28:9, 31:14, 31:15, 31:22, 33:12, 33:18, 34:20, 40:5, 44:9, 47:5, 49:11, 49:23, 50:18, 50:20, 54:8, 55:8, 57:5, 57:21, 59:10, 60:24 wants [4] - 31:13, 42:18, 50:22, 52:9 wash [1] - 48:6 **WATER** [1] - 2:6 Water [5] - 3:13, 5:16, 6:5, 33:12, 59:6 water [65] - 5:16, 25:19, 28:16, 31:6, 31:14, 32:4, 32:11, 32:14, 32:17, 32:19, 32:20, 32:23, 33:4, 33:20, 33:21, 33:23, 34:1, 34:12, 34:13, 34:17, 44:15, 46:3, 48:25, 49:7, 49:8, 49:10, 49:15, 49:18, 49:20, 49:22, 50:17, 50:19, 54:7, 55:8, 56:3, 56:11, 56:22, 56:23, 57:4, 57:8, 57:12, 58:13, 58:14, 58:20, 58:21, 58:24, 58:25, 59:2, 59:3, 59:8, 59:9, 59:12, 59:23, 60:16, 60:18, 62:9, 62:13, 62:14, 62:18, 62:19, 62:22, 63:7. 63:24 waters [3] - 34:13, 55:8, 60:24 wavelength [1] - 36:1 ways [1] - 18:12 web [1] - 9:24 website [2] - 9:23, 11:18 week [4] - 7:6, 21:17, 37:6, 37:8 weeks [2] - 7:5, 67:6 WES [1] - 1:20 Wes [1] - 6:17

Wiggins [1] - 5:5 WIGGINS [1] - 3:10 WILDLIFE [2] - 2:15, 2:18 Wildlife [4] - 5:8, 5:23, 5:25, 10:14 WILLIAM [1] - 1:2 Williams [1] - 6:17 WILLIAMS [2] - 1:20, 6.17 willingness [1] - 19:20 wish [2] - 16:9, 35:18 Wolf [1] - 5:7 woman [1] - 21:19 words [1] - 42:21 works [4] - 9:6, 9:13, 10:20, 37:22 WRID [2] - 44:8, 60:16

Υ

year [4] - 32:24, 33:22, 34:3, 39:19 years [4] - 51:25, 59:16, 61:13, 62:21 yesterday [1] - 39:14 yourself [2] - 33:10, 33:11

whatsoever [1] -

whole [1] - 66:13

32:21