

FILED ENTERED	RECEIVED SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
NOV 14 2014	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY:	DEPUTY

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
400 SOUTH VIRGINIA ST., ROOM 301  
RENO, NEVADA 89501  
OFFICIAL BUSINESS

DISTRICT COURT  
OF NEVADA

3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC  
In Equity No. C-125-B

3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC  
In Equity No. C-125-C

ORDER

otions in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-  
ld case concerning the adjudication of water  
and private users of water in the Walker River  
re the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-

appear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.  
endent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal  
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;  
second, the present subfiles, although created after  
Cases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,  
subfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s  
ervisors relating to the existing Decree, as  
Case, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and  
assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,  
the subfiles therefore have their own administrative  
system. Although the subfiles were created in  
assigned modern case numbers sequential to that  
not clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-  
modern case numbers as if they had been filed in

Courtney Brown  
pro hac vice  
P.O. Box 1507  
Taos, NM 87571

NIXIE

871 FE 1009 0011/10/14

RETURN TO SENDER  
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN  
UNABLE TO FORWARD



02 1R  
0002001586 OCT 17 2014  
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 89501  
\$00.480  
RENEE BOWES

075 613610071 901

BC: 89501219576 \*0941-03842-17-42

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
400 SOUTH VIRGINIA ST., ROOM 301  
RENO, NEVADA 89501

NOV 10 2014

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BY: \_\_\_\_\_ DEPUTY

FILED  
ENTERED  
RECEIVED  
SERVED ON  
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD  
NOT FOR BUSINESS

DISTRICT COURT  
OF NEVADA

3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC  
In Equity No. C-125-B

3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC  
In Equity No. C-125-C

ORDER


options in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-  
d case concerning the adjudication of water  
and private users of water in the Walker River  
the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-

bear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.  
ndent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal  
e Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;  
cond, the present subfiles, although created after  
ases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,  
bfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s  
venors relating to the existing Decree, as  
ase, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and  
assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,  
subfiles therefore have their own administrative  
stem. Although the subfiles were created in  
igned modern case numbers sequential to that  
pt clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-  
rn case numbers as if they had been filed in

Wanda M. Menesini  
174 South O Street  
Lincoln, CA 95648

RENO NV 895  
17 OCT 2014 PM 2 T


NIXIE 957 11/02/14  
RETURN TO SENDER  
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED  
UNABLE TO FORWARD



FILED	RECEIVED
ENTERED	SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
NOV 12 2014	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY:	DEPUTY

Denise L. Terry  
 P.O. Box 601447  
 Sacramento, CA 95860

11/15/05/11/14 0211/05/14  
 957 4E 1009 6007 4E 756  
 RETURN TO SENDER  
 ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN  
 UNABLE TO FORWARD  
 \*2772-01419-05-15  
 89501219576  
 5612010568  
 RENO NV 895  
 21 OCT 2014 PM 2 T



DISTRICT COURT  
 OF NEVADA

3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC  
 In Equity No. C-125-B  
 3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC  
 In Equity No. C-125-C

ORDER

ptions in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-  
 d case concerning the adjudication of water  
 and private users of water in the Walker River  
 re the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-  
 appear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.  
 pendent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal  
 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;  
 Second, the present subfiles, although created after  
 s Cases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,  
 subfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s  
 tervenors relating to the existing Decree, as  
 5 Case, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and  
 rs assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,  
 The subfiles therefore have their own administrative  
 g system. Although the subfiles were created in  
 e assigned modern case numbers sequential to that  
 is not clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-  
 modern case numbers as if they had been filed in

UTP

FILED  
ENTERED  
RECEIVED  
SERVED  
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD  
NOV 10 2014  
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
BY: \_\_\_\_\_ DEPUTY

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
400 SOUTH VIRGINIA ST., ROOM 301  
RENO, NEVADA 89501

DISTRICT COURT  
OF NEVADA



3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC  
In Equity No. C-125-B

3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC  
In Equity No. C-125-C

ORDER

itions in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-  
case concerning the adjudication of water  
and private users of water in the Walker River  
the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-

appear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.  
ndent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal  
ne Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;  
econd, the present subfiles, although created after  
Cases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,  
bfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s  
venors relating to the existing Decree, as  
Case, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and  
assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,  
e subfiles therefore have their own administrative  
ystem. Although the subfiles were created in  
signed modern case numbers sequential to that  
not clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-  
dern case numbers as if they had been filed in



Candace Wipiti  
P.O. Box 79  
Wellington, NV 89444

855 MFC 100501400010/15/14

WIPITI, CANDACE  
8851 CENTENNIAL PARK RD  
MAZKO BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CANADA  
BL: 00259340000

3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC

0941-09001-17-14