

FILED	RECEIVED
ENTERED	SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
NOV 14 2014	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY _____

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
400 SOUTH VIRGINIA ST., ROOM 301
RENO, NEVADA 89501
OFFICIAL BUSINESS

DISTRICT COURT
OF NEVADA

3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-B

3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-C

ORDER


otions in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-
ld case concerning the adjudication of water
and private users of water in the Walker River
re the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-

appear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.
endent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;
second, the present subfiles, although created after
Cases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,
subfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s
errenors relating to the existing Decree, as
Case, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and
assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,
ne subfiles therefore have their own administrative
system. Although the subfiles were created in
assigned modern case numbers sequential to that
not clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-
modern case numbers as if they had been filed in

Courtney Brown
pro hac vice
P.O. Box 1507
Taos, NM 87571

NIXIE 871 FE 1009 0011/10/14

RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
UNABLE TO FORWARD



UNITED STATES POSTAGE
RINEY BOWES
02 1R \$00.480
0002001586 OCT 17 2014
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 89501

Handwritten initials: NIXIE 20

BC: 89501219576 *0941-03842-17-42

FILED
 ENTERED
 RECEIVED
 SERVED ON
 COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD
NOV 10 2014
 CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 BY: _____ DEPUTY

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 400 SOUTH VIRGINIA ST., ROOM 301
 RENO, NEVADA 89501
 NOT FOR BUSINESS

DISTRICT COURT
 OF NEVADA

3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC
 In Equity No. C-125-B

3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC
 In Equity No. C-125-C


ORDER

options in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-
 and case concerning the adjudication of water
 and private users of water in the Walker River
 the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-

bear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.
 ndent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal
 e Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;
 cond, the present subfiles, although created after
 ases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,
 bfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s
 venors relating to the existing Decree, as
 ase, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and
 ssigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,
 subfiles therefore have their own administrative
 stem. Although the subfiles were created in
 igned modern case numbers sequential to that
 pt clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-
 rn case numbers as if they had been filed in

Wanda M. Menesini
 174 South O Street
 Lincoln, CA 95648

RENO NV 895
 17 OCT 2014 PM 2 T



NIXIE 957 11/02/14

RETURN TO SENDER
 NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
 UNABLE TO FORWARD

RECEIVED

FILED _____ RECEIVED _____
 ENTERED _____ SERVED ON _____
 COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD

NOV 12 2014


CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BY: _____ DEPUTY

11/15/05/1120 0211/05/14
 609 4E 1009 957 756
 RETURN TO SENDER
 ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
 UNABLE TO FORWARD
 *2772-01419-05-15
 8950219576 *2772-01419-05-15
 5612010568

Denise L. Terry
 P.O. Box 601447
 Sacramento, CA 95860

NIXIN
 21 OCT 2014 PM 2 T
 RENO NV 895



DISTRICT COURT
 OF NEVADA

3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC
 In Equity No. C-125-B

3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC
 In Equity No. C-125-C

ORDER

ptions in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-
 d case concerning the adjudication of water
 and private users of water in the Walker River
 re the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-

appear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.
 pendent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal
 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;
 Second, the present subfiles, although created after
 s Cases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,
 subfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s
 intervenors relating to the existing Decree, as
 5 Case, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and
 rs assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,
 The subfiles therefore have their own administrative
 g system. Although the subfiles were created in
 e assigned modern case numbers sequential to that
 is not clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-
 modern case numbers as if they had been filed in

5586031447 6014

UTF

FILED _____ RECEIVED _____
 ENTERED _____ SERVED ON _____
 COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD

NOV 10 2014

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BY: _____ DEPUTY

RENO, NEVADA 89501
 400 SOUTH VIRGINIA ST., ROOM 301
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT COURT
 OF NEVADA


3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC
 In Equity No. C-125-B

3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC
 In Equity No. C-125-C

ORDER

itions in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-
 case concerning the adjudication of water
 and private users of water in the Walker River
 the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-

appear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.
 endent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal
 ne Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;
 econd, the present subfiles, although created after
 Cases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,
 bfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s
 venors relating to the existing Decree, as
 Case, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and
 assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,
 e subfiles therefore have their own administrative
 system. Although the subfiles were created in
 signed modern case numbers sequential to that
 not clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-
 dem case numbers as if they had been filed in



Candyce Wipfli
 P.O. Box 79
 Wellington, NV 89444

WIPFLI, CANDYCE
 8851 CENTENNIAL PARK RD
 MAZKO BRITISH COLUMBIA
 CANADA
 TEL: 602 993 4000

855 NFE 10050140010/15/14

3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC

3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD