

FILED ENTERED	RECEIVED SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
NOV 14 2014	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY _____

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
400 SOUTH VIRGINIA ST., ROOM 301
RENO, NEVADA 89501
OFFICIAL BUSINESS

DISTRICT COURT
OF NEVADA

3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-B

3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-C

ORDER

otions in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-
ld case concerning the adjudication of water
and private users of water in the Walker River
re the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-

appear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.
endent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;
second, the present subfiles, although created after
Cases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,
subfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s
ervisors relating to the existing Decree, as
Case, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and
assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,
the subfiles therefore have their own administrative
system. Although the subfiles were created in
assigned modern case numbers sequential to that
not clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-
modern case numbers as if they had been filed in

Courtney Brown
pro hac vice
P.O. Box 1507
Taos, NM 87571

NIXIE

871 FE 1009 0011/10/14

RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
UNABLE TO FORWARD



UNITED STATES POSTAGE
02 1R
\$00.480
0002001586 OCT 17 2014
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 89501
RINEY BOWES

20

BC: 89501219576 *0941-03842-17-42

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
400 SOUTH VIRGINIA ST., ROOM 301
RENO, NEVADA 89501

NOV 10 2014

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BY: _____ DEPUTY

FILED
ENTERED
RECEIVED
SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD
NOT FOR BUSINESS

DISTRICT COURT
OF NEVADA

3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-B

3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-C

ORDER


options in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-
d case concerning the adjudication of water
and private users of water in the Walker River
the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-

bear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.
ndent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal
e Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;
cond, the present subfiles, although created after
ases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,
bfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s
venors relating to the existing Decree, as
ase, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and
assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,
subfiles therefore have their own administrative
stem. Although the subfiles were created in
igned modern case numbers sequential to that
pt clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-
rn case numbers as if they had been filed in

Wanda M. Menesini
174 South O Street
Lincoln, CA 95648

RENO NV 895
17 OCT 2014 PM 2 T

NIXIE 957 11/02/14
RETURN TO SENDER
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD



FILED	RECEIVED
ENTERED	SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
NOV 12 2014	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT	
DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY:	DEPUTY

Denise L. Terry
P.O. Box 601447
Sacramento, CA 95860

11/15/05/11/14 0211/05/14
957 4E 1009 6007 756
RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
UNABLE TO FORWARD

NIXIN

21 OCT 2014 PM 2 T

RENO NV 895

5612010568

*2772-01419-05-15
89501219576
CB: 89501219576

DISTRICT COURT
OF NEVADA


3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-B

3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-C

ORDER

ptions in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-
d case concerning the adjudication of water
and private users of water in the Walker River
re the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-

appear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.
pendent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;
Second, the present subfiles, although created after
s Cases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,
subfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s
tervenors relating to the existing Decree, as
5 Case, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and
rs assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,
The subfiles therefore have their own administrative
g system. Although the subfiles were created in
e assigned modern case numbers sequential to that
is not clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-
modern case numbers as if they had been filed in



UTF

FILED
ENTERED
RECEIVED
SERVED
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD
NOV 10 2014
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
BY: _____ DEPUTY

400 SOUTH VIRGINIA ST., ROOM 301
RENO, NEVADA 89501

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DISTRICT COURT
OF NEVADA


3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-B

3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-C


ORDER

itions in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-
case concerning the adjudication of water
and private users of water in the Walker River
the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-

appear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.
endent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal
ne Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;
econd, the present subfiles, although created after
Cases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,
bfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s
venors relating to the existing Decree, as
Case, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and
assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,
e subfiles therefore have their own administrative
ystem. Although the subfiles were created in
signed modern case numbers sequential to that
not clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-
dern case numbers as if they had been filed in



Candyce Wipiti
P.O. Box 79
Wellington, NV 89444



855 MFC 100501400010/15/14

WIPITI, CANDYCE
8851 CENTENNIAL PARK RD
MAZKO BRITISH COLUMBIA
CANADA
BL: 00259340000

00259340000

00259340000