

GORDON H. DePAOLI
Nevada State Bar No. 195
DALE E. FERGUSON
Nevada State Bar No. 4986
DOMENICO R. DePAOLI
Nevada State Bar No. 11553
Woodburn and Wedge
6100 Neil Road, Suite 500
Reno, Nevada 89511
Telephone: 775/688-3000

Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation District

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,
Plaintiff-Intervenor,

v.

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
a corporation, et al.,
Defendants.

MINERAL COUNTY,

Proposed Plaintiff-Intervenor,

v.

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
et al.,

Proposed Defendants.

) IN EQUITY NO. C-125-RCJ
) SUBFILE NO. C-125-C
) 3:73-CV-00128-RCJ-WGC
)

) **WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION**
) **DISTRICT'S MOTION TO VACATE**
) **SCHEDULE RELATED TO**
) **MOTIONS REGARDING BASIC**
) **THRESHOLD JURISDICTIONAL**
) **ISSUES, OR, IN THE**
) **ALTERNATIVE, FOR STATUS**
) **CONFERENCE**

1 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) and L.R. 6.1, the Walker River Irrigation District
2 (“District”) moves the Court to vacate the schedule for motions regarding basic threshold
3 jurisdictional issues until such time as an order superseding the existing Order Regarding
4 Service and Filing in Subproceeding C-125-B On and By Unrepresented Parties (Doc. 1874)
5 (the “Unrepresented Party Order”) is entered and implemented and until such time as Mineral
6 County completes service on persons and entities with dormant riparian water rights in
7 California.

9 In the alternative, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(a) and L.R. 16.1(d), the District moves
10 the Court for a status conference in this matter at the earliest possible date to clarify how
11 motions presently due on March 31, 2014, and responses and replies due thereafter, are to be
12 served on parties who have appeared, but are unrepresented (“Unrepresented Parties”), and
13 served, if at all, on parties who have not appeared (“Non-Appearing Parties”).

15 There is a conflict between the Unrepresented Party Order and directions given by the
16 Court to modify that Order in status conferences held on July 25, 2013 and November 4, 2013.
17 It is anticipated that such an Order will be entered in this subproceeding after a similar Order is
18 entered in Subproceeding C-125-B. In addition, as a result of the November 4, 2013 status
19 conference, Mineral County is to serve persons and entities with dormant riparian water rights
20 in California. November 4, 2013 Transcript of Proceedings at p. 27, ln. 16 - 31, ln. 16.
21 Mineral County was directed to report to the Court on the status of such service by December
22 31, 2013. (Subproceeding C-125-B, Doc. 1963).

24 **POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

25 The District requests that the present schedule for motions regarding basic threshold
26 jurisdictional issues be vacated until an Order with respect to such service is entered and
27 implemented. In the alternative, the District requests a case management conference to clarify
28 how motions presently due on March 31, 2014, and responses and replies due thereafter, are to

1 be served on Unrepresented Parties and served, if at all, on Non-Appearing Parties. The
 2 existing Unrepresented Party Order (Subproceeding C-125-B, Doc. 1874), in relevant part,
 3 provides:

4 **Service on Unrepresented Parties:**

5
 6 3. **Electronic Service:** If an Unrepresented Party consents to
 7 electronic service as hereinafter provided, that party will receive an e-mail from
 8 the court's CM/ECF system each time a document is filed in this matter that
 includes a link to the public website where the party may view, print and/or save
 the filing at no cost. . . .

9 4. **"Mail-Only" List:** In the rare situation where an Unrepresented
 10 Party is unable to receive electronic service, and is approved by the Court to be
 11 on a "Mail-Only" List, the Court at a later date will determine the nature and
 scope of what is to be served upon "Mail-Only" parties, and what "Mail-Only"
 parties must serve on other "Mail-Only" parties.

12 5. The Court, at a later date, will determine the extent to which
 13 defendants must serve other defendants, whether represented or unrepresented.

14 6. **If any Unrepresented Party fails either to consent to**
 15 **electronic service or be approved for the "Mail-Only" List, that party shall**
 16 **be deemed to have consented to opt out of service, to have agreed to receive**
 17 **subsequent notice of all filings in this matter by taking the responsibility to**
 18 **check the public website by selecting "Walker River" on the Court's**
 19 **website (www.nvd.uscourts.gov) or by accessing the public website directly**
 20 **(ecf.nv.uscourts.gov/casedisplay). All such parties shall be deemed to have**
 21 **received notice of all subsequent Orders and other filings in Subproceeding**
 22 **C-125-B.**

23 After the entry of the Unrepresented Party Order, the Court directed that it be modified.
 24 See July 25, 2013 Transcript of Status Conference at p. 74, ln. 13 - p. 91, ln. 15; November 4,
 25 2013 Transcript of Status Conference at p. 33, ln. 2 - p. 51, ln. 15. As a result of the direction
 26 provided by the Court, the parties, working with the Magistrate Judge, began to develop the
 27 "Superseding Order Regarding Service and Filing in Subproceeding C-125-B On and By
 28 Unrepresented Parties." It is contemplated that once a Superseding Order is finalized in
 Subproceeding C-125-B, it will be adapted as necessary to apply to Subproceeding C-125-C
 (Subproceeding C-125-B, Doc. 1963).

1 A Minute Order after the November 4, 2013 status conference established a schedule
2 for motions to dismiss regarding “basic threshold jurisdictional issues.” (Subproceeding C-
3 125-B, Doc. 1958). The schedule provides that motions to dismiss are due on March 31, 2014,
4 responses are due May 30, 2014, and replies are due June 30, 2014. That schedule was
5 established based upon the assumption that the Superseding Order would be completed and in
6 place at or about December 31, 2013. *See* November 4, 2013 Transcript of Status Conference
7 at p. 65, ln. 23 - p. 67, ln. 2.

9 The parties continued work on the Superseding Order, and submitted a draft for
10 consideration at a December 6, 2013 Status Conference before the Magistrate Judge. In that
11 status conference, revisions were proposed, and another status conference was scheduled for
12 January 17, 2014. (Subproceeding C-125-B, Doc. 1963). A revised draft of the Superseding
13 Order was circulated among the parties on January 10, 2014 for discussion at the January 17,
14 2014 status conference. In addition, at the December 6, 2013 status conference, Mineral
15 County was directed to report to the Court by December 31, 2013 on the status of service in
16 this matter, including how many parties have yet to be served. (Subproceeding C-125-B, Doc.
17 1963). Mineral County reported that there are more than 400 such parties to be served and that
18 such service would take four to six months to complete. (Subproceeding C-125-C, Doc. 738).

20 As a result of the Order denying without prejudice the Motion to Admit Government
21 Attorneys David L. Negri and Andrew “Guss” Guarino (Subproceeding C-125-B, Doc. 1968),
22 the Magistrate Judge vacated the January 17, 2014 status conference. (Subproceeding C-125-
23 B, Doc. 1969). At the present time, no further status conferences have been scheduled
24 concerning the Superseding Order.

26 Paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Unrepresented Party Order leave to another day what is to be
27 served by mail. In general, the direction given by the Court to be included in the Superseding
28 Order was that Unrepresented Parties would receive notice of filings by mailed post card with a

1 reference to the Walker River website. November 4, 2013 Transcript at p. 35, ln. 23 - p. 36, ln.
2 8. The direction given by the Court was that Non-Appearing Parties would be given another
3 opportunity to appear and elect a method of service. *Id.* at p. 38, ln. 10 - p. 39, ln. 2. As
4 presently drafted, the Superseding Order would be served by mail on all Unrepresented Parties
5 and on all Non-Appearing Parties who would have a period of time thereafter to make a service
6 election. *See* Subproceeding C-125-B, Doc. 1957, Attachment A.

7
8 It is important for those parties who must file motions by March 31, 2013, and for those
9 who must respond later, to know how to serve Unrepresented Parties, and also what service, if
10 any, need be made on Non-Appearing Parties. The Superseding Order was intended to clarify
11 these issues. Therefore, the District requests that the Court vacate the schedule related to
12 motions regarding basic threshold jurisdictional issues until such time as a superseding order in
13 compliance with the Court's directions is entered and implemented, and until such time as
14 Mineral County completes service.

15
16 In the alternative, the District requests a status conference to consider and receive
17 instructions from the Court on service of motions regarding basic threshold jurisdictional issues
18 on Unrepresented Parties, and service, if any, on Non-Appearing Parties.

19 Dated: March 10, 2014.

20 WOODBURN AND WEDGE

21
22
23 By: /s/
24 Gordon H. DePaoli,
25 Dale E. Ferguson, Domenico R. DePaoli
26 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500
27 Reno, Nevada 89511
28 Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation District

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of Woodburn and Wedge and that on the 10th day of March, 2014, I electronically served the foregoing *Walker River Irrigation District's Motion to Vacate Schedule Related to Motions Regarding Basic Threshold Jurisdictional Issues, or In the Alternative, for Status Conference* with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following via their email addresses:

Bryan L. Stockton	bstockton@ag.nv.gov
K Geddes	kgeddes@water.nv.gov
S. Geyer	sgeyer@ag.nv.gov
Don Springmeyer	dspringmeyer@wrslawyers.com
Christopher Mixson	cmixson@wrslawyers.com
C. Rehfeld	crehfeld@wrslawyers.com
N. Valdez	nvaldez@wrslawyers.com
George Benesch	gbenesch@att.net
Greg Addington	greg.addington@usdoj.gov
Elizabeth Pantner	epantner@usdoj.gov
Joanie Silvershield	joanie.silvershield@usdoj.gov
James Spoo	spootoo@aol.com
J. J. Rbau	jjrbau@hotmail.com
John Paul Schlegelmilch	jpslaw@netscape.com
Karen Peterson	kpeterson@allisonmackenzie.com
N. Fontonet	nfontonet@allisonmackenzie.com
V. O'Neill	voneill@allisonmackenzie.com
Laura Schroeder	counsel@water-law.com
T. Jackson	t.jackson@water-law.com
Tau	tau@water-law.com
Marta A. Adams	madams@ag.nv.gov
K. Armstrong	karmstrong@ag.nv.gov
L. Deming	ldeming@ag.nv.gov
V. Brownell	vbrownell@ag.nv.gov
V. Brownley	vborwnley@ag.nv.gov
Michael D. Hoy	mhoy@nevadalaw.com
K. Anderson	kanderson@nevadalaw.com
M. Kimmel	mkimmel@nevadalaw.com
T. Chrissinger	tchrissinger@nevadalaw.com
Ross E. de Lipkau	ecf@parsonsbehle.com
R. Tinnell	rtinnell@parsonsbehle.com
Thomas J. Hall	tjhall@eschelon.com
Michael W. Neville	michael.neville@doj.ca.gov
Annadel Almendras	annadel.almendras@doj.ca.gov
Joan Randolph	joan.randolph@doj.ca.gov
Stacey Simon	ssimon@mono.ca.gov
Stephen M. Macfarlane	Stephen.Macfarlane@usdoj.gov

Deedee Sparks	deedee.sparks@usdoj.gov
Cathy Wilson	cathy.wilson@bia.gov
Christopher Watson	christopher.watson@sol.doi.gov; chriswatson@gmail.com
Eileen Rutherford	eileen.rutherford@usdoj.gov
Yvonne Marsh	yvonne.marsh@usdoj.gov
Paul J. Anderson	panderson@mclrenolaw.com
W. Cornelious	wcornelius@mclrenolaw.com
Wes Williams	wwilliams@standfordaluni.org
David L. Negri	david.negri@usdoj.gov
Simeon Herskovits	simeon@communityandenvironment.net
Sean A. Rowe	srowe@mineralcountynv.org
Andrew Guss Guarino	guss.guarino@usdoj.gov
Cathy Wilson	c.wilson@bia.gov
Iris Thornton	iris@communityandenvironment.net

/s/

Tommie Kay Atkinson