

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	IN EQUITY NO. C-125-ECR
)	
Plaintiff,)	Subproceedings: C-125-B and C-125-C
)	3:73-cv-00125-ECR-WGC
WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,)	3:73-cv-00127-ECR-WGC
)	3:73-cv-00128-ECR-WGC
Plaintiff-Intervenor,)	
)	
vs.)	<u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u>
)	
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,)	April 16, 2012
a corporation, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
_____)	
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,)	
)	
Counterclaimants,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, <i>et.al.</i> ,)	
)	
Counterdefendants.)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
DEPUTY CLERK: JENNIFER COTTER REPORTER: NONE APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the Court are two proposed forms of order regarding “Certain Issues Addressed at the Status Conference of March 13, 2012.” (Doc. #589.) The principal parties have reached agreement as to the form and content of the proposed order with the exception of Topic #4 which deals with the “participation” in this case of the United States Board of Water Commissioners (“Board”).

Version A of the proposed order utilizes language stated by the Magistrate Judge at the March 13, 2012, hearing regarding the Board’s “standing” in this matter. Version B characterizes the Board’s involvement not from a standpoint of “standing,” but rather that the Board “may file and serve documents in these actions and should be served with any filings.”

MINUTES OF THE COURT

IN EQUITY NO. C-125-ECR

Subproceedings: C-125-B and C-125-C

3:73-cv-00125-ECR-WGC

3:73-cv-00127-ECR-WGC

3:73-cv-00128-ECR-WGC

Date: April 16, 2012

Page 2

Upon further reflection, the Court believes Version B should be adopted as the format for the proposed order. However, the Court would not limit the scope of the documents to be served to those characterized as “filings” but rather as to any document required to be served herein under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Accordingly, the Court will embrace Version B with the modification as noted above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk