

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	IN EQUITY NO. C-125-ECR
)	
Plaintiff,)	Subproceedings: C-125-B and C-125-C
)	3:73-cv-00125-ECR-WGC
WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,)	3:73-cv-00127-ECR-WGC
)	3:73-cv-00128-ECR-WGC
Plaintiff-Intervenor,)	
)	
vs.)	<u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u>
)	
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,)	April 16, 2012
a corporation, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
_____)	
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,)	
)	
Counterclaimants,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, <i>et.al.</i> ,)	
)	
Counterdefendants.)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
DEPUTY CLERK: JENNIFER COTTER REPORTER: NONE APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the Court are two proposed forms of order regarding “Certain Issues Addressed at the Status Conference of March 13, 2012.” (Doc. #589.) The principal parties have reached agreement as to the form and content of the proposed order with the exception of Topic #4 which deals with the “participation” in this case of the United States Board of Water Commissioners (“Board”).

Version A of the proposed order utilizes language stated by the Magistrate Judge at the March 13, 2012, hearing regarding the Board’s “standing” in this matter. Version B characterizes the Board’s involvement not from a standpoint of “standing,” but rather that the Board “may file and serve documents in these actions and should be served with any filings.”

