Case 3 73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 509 Filed 08/09/2010 Page 1 of 8 1 GORDON H. DePAOLI Nevada State Bar No. 195 DALE E. FERGUSON Nevada State Bar No.4986 WOODBURN AND WEDGE 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500 Reno, Nevada 89511 5 Telephone: 775 / 688-3000 6 Attorneys for WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 3:73-CV-0128-ECR-RAM 10 Plaintiff, IN EQUITY NO. C-125 11 SUBFILE NO. C-125-C WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, 12 Plaintiff-Intervenor, 13 WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION 14 DISTRICT'S RESPONSE TO JOINT v. MOTION OF MINERAL COUNTY, 15 WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT. WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, a corporation, et al., AND UNITED STATES OF 16 **AMERICA TO SET STATUS CONFERENCE ON PENDING** Defendants. 17 **ISSUES** 18 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 19 WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, 20 Counterclaimants, 21 v. 22 WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, 23 et al., 24 Counterdefendants. 25 26 I. Introduction. 27 By Joint Motion, the United States, Walker River Paiute Tribe (the "Tribe") and 28 Mineral County have requested the Court to schedule a status conference in Subfiles C-125-B

Case 3 73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 509 Filed 08/09/2010 Page 2 of 8

and C-125-C "to consider a number of issues that have been pending before the Court for some time" (the "Request for Status Conference"). Doc. 508. The Request for Status Conference is captioned as though these separate Subfiles have been consolidated. Moreover, the Request for Status Conference describes the Subfiles as "related C-125 proceedings." Doc. 508 at 2-3. There has been no such consolidation, and except to the extent that counsel and some of the parties are common to both proceedings, Subfiles C-125-B and C-125-C are not related. Moreover, the current status of Subfile C-125-B is vastly different than that of C-125-C. A very brief history of each follows.

II. The Claims of the United States and Walker River Tribe - Subfile C-125-B.

Subfile C-125-B involves the claim of the United States and the Tribe for additional water rights for the Walker River Indian Reservation (the "Reservation"). Among other things in C-125-B, the United States and Tribe seek recognition of a right to store water in Weber Reservoir, and a federal reserved water right for up to 167,460 acres of land added to the Walker River Indian Reservation in the 1930s.

In addition to seeking a right to store water in Weber Reservoir and a water right for lands added to the Reservation in the 1930s, the Tribe seeks recognition of a federal reserved water right to use groundwater on Reservation lands including those lands added to the Reservation in the 1930s. The United States, in addition to seeking a right to store water in Weber Reservoir and a water right for lands added to the Reservation in the 1930s, seeks a groundwater right for use on the Reservation, and for federal reserved and other water rights for the benefit of the Yerington Paiute Tribe, Bridgeport Paiute Indian Colony, Garrison and Cluette allotments, certain other individual allotments, the Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant, the United States Department of Agriculture (Toiyabe National Forest), the United States Marine Corps and the Bureau of Land Management.

Case 3 73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 509 Filed 08/09/2010 Page 3 of 8

After extensive briefing, on April 19, 2000, the Court entered the Case Management Order ("CMO"). See, Subfile C-125-B, Doc. 108. In the CMO, the Court recognized that the case as a whole is simply too big and too complex to process on a reasonable basis without bifurcation and other management. It, therefore, entered an order to manage the case.

The CMO bifurcates the claims of the Tribe and United States for the Walker River Indian Reservation (the "Tribal Claims") from all of the other claims raised by the United States (the "Federal Claims"). Except as expressly provided in the CMO, all discovery and other proceedings in the action are stayed. CMO, p. 4, lns. 20-24; Doc. 108. The CMO requires the Tribe and United States to serve their amended pleadings and related service documents on and thereby join numerous individuals and entities who hold surface and underground water rights within the Walker River Basin. It groups these individuals and entities into nine different categories. CMO, pp. 5-6; Doc. 108.

The CMO expressly provides that no answers or other pleading will be required except upon further order of the Magistrate Judge. It also provides that no default shall be taken for failure to appear. CMO, p. 12, lns. 22-25; Doc. 108.

The CMO divides the proceedings concerning the Tribal Claims into two phases. Phase I will consist of "threshold issues as identified and determined by the Magistrate Judge." Phase II will "involve completion and determination on the merits of all matters relating to [the] Tribal Claims." CMO, pg. 11, lns. 11-18; Doc. 108. Additional phases of the proceedings will "encompass all remaining issues in the case." *Id.*, p. 11, lns. 25-26.

The identification of threshold issues is left to the Magistrate Judge, and those issues shall "not be finally resolved and settled by the Magistrate Judge until all appropriate parties are joined." CMO, p. 9; Doc. 108. Included among the possible threshold issues to be considered for inclusion by the Magistrate Judge are issues related to the Court's jurisdiction and equitable defenses to the Tribal Claims. *See*, CMO, pgs. 9-11; Doc. 108.

Case 3 73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 509 Filed 08/09/2010 Page 4 of 8

The CMO also directs the procedures to be followed in connection with the disposition of the threshold issues. First, it allows for discovery on those issues. Second, it allows for written discovery concerning the bases for the Tribal Claims. It stays all other discovery. *CMO*, p. 13, lns. 4-15; Doc. 108. It provides for disposition of the threshold issues by motion, evidentiary hearing, or both. *Id.*, p. 13, ln. 16 - p. 14, ln. 2.

Prior to March of 2009, the Magistrate Judge had begun the process to identify the threshold issues in connection with Subfile C-125-B.

III. The Mineral County Motion to Intervene - Subfile C-125-C.

Subfile C-125-C involves an October 25, 1994 motion of Mineral County to intervene in the proceeding which adjudicated and now administers water rights on the Walker River system. See, United States v. Walker River Irrigation District, 11 F.Supp. 158 (D.Nev. 1935), rev'd 104 F.2d 334 (9th Cir. 1939). Mineral County seeks permission to file an "Amended Complaint in Intervention" and a Motion for Preliminary Injunction. Mineral County's proposed "Amended Complaint in Intervention" seeks "an adjudication and reallocation of the waters of Walker River to preserve minimum levels in Walker Lake" under the public trust doctrine. To achieve that goal, Mineral County seeks "the right to, at least, 127,000 acre feet of flows annually reserved from the Walker River." The Motion for Preliminary Injunction asks the Court to require water right holders on the Walker River system to allow 260,000 acre feet of water to reach Walker Lake in 1995. It asks that thereafter water right holders be enjoined so that 240,000 acre feet of water reaches Walker Lake annually until this litigation is concluded.

On February 9, 1995, the Court entered an Order Requiring Service of and Establishing Briefing Schedule Regarding the Motion to Intervene of Mineral County (the "Service Order"). The Service Order required Mineral County to serve all claimants to the waters of Walker River with its filing by May 10, 1995. Because Mineral County has sought and received

Case 3:73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 509 Filed 08/09/2010 Page 5 of 8

numerous extensions of time to complete service as originally ordered by the Court, the original schedule established by the Court with respect to the Motion to Intervene is no longer meaningful.

The Court has not entered a case management order in this Subfile.

IV. Separate Status Conferences in Subfiles C-125-B and C-125-C.

The Walker River Irrigation District (the "District") is not opposed to the Court setting a status conference in Subfile C-125-B. Similarly, the District is not opposed to the Court setting a status conference in Subfile C-125-C. For the convenience of the Court, the parties and their counsel, both status conferences could be set for the same day. Indeed, the Court has done this in the past. However, unless and until a motion for consolidation has been filed and briefed, and the Court has entered an order consolidating these two proceedings, the status conferences must and properly should remain separate and distinct.

Finally, in the event that the Court decides to schedule a separate status conference in each of Subfile C-125-B and Subfile C-125-C, the District respectfully requests that the Court also establish a schedule for the parties to file Status Reports in connection with each Subfile several days prior to the date set for each status conference. Such an exchange of Status Reports prior to each status conference will be beneficial to the Court and to the participating parties.

DATED this 9th day of August, 2010.

WOODBURN AND WEDGE

Gordon H. DePaoli

Dale E. Ferguson

6100 Neil Road, Suite 500

Reno, Nevada 89511

Attorneys for WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT

1 2 3

Case 3 73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 509 Filed 08/09/2010 Page 6 of 8

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 I certify that I am an employee of Woodburn and Wedge and that on the 9th day of 3 August, 2010, I electronically served the foregoing Walker River Irrigation District's Response 4 To Joint Motion of Mineral County, Walker River Paiute Tribe, and United States of America 5 To Set Status Conference On Pending Issues with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF 6 system, which will send notification of such filing to all parties of record via their email 7 addresses. 8 9 I further certify that I served a copy of the foregoing to the following non-CM/ECF 10 participants by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 9th day of August, 2010: 11 Allen Anspach Robert Auer U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs District Attorney for Lyon County 12 Western Region 31 South Main St. 400 North 5^{th St.}, 12th Floor 13 Yerington, NV 89447 Phoenix, AZ 85004 14 Wesley G. Beverlin Kelly R. Chase 15 Malissa Hathaway McKeith 1700 County Road, Ste. A Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard & Smith LCP P.O. Box 2800 16 221 N. Figueroa St., Ste. 1200 Minden, NV 89423 17 Los Angeles, CA 90012 18 Nathan Goedde Mary Hackenbracht Staff Counsel Deputy Attorney General 19 California Dept. of Fish & Game State of California 1515 Clay St., 20th Floor 1416 Ninth St., Ste. 1335 20 Oakland, CA 94612-1413 Sacramento, CA 95814 21 Michael D. Hoy Robert L. Hunter 22 Hoy & Hoy Western Nevada Agency 1495 Ridgeview Dr., Suite 90 311 E. Washington St. 23 Reno, NV 89519 Carson City, NV 89701 24 Jason King Timothy A. Lukas 25 Division of Water Resources P.O. Box 3237 State of Nevada Reno, NV 89505 26 901 S. Stewart St. Carson City, NV 89701 27

Michael F. Mackedon

P.O. Box 1203

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office

P.O. Box 51-111

Case 3 73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 509 Filed 08/09/2010 Page 7 of 8

1	111 North Hope St., Ste. 340 Los Angeles, CA 90051	179 South LaVerne St. Fallon, NV 89407
2	Los Aligeles, CA 90031	ranon, iv 65407
3	David Moser McCutchen, Doyle, Brown, et al.	Scott H. Shackelton Law Offices of Scott Shackelton
4	Three Embarcadero Center, Ste. 1800 San Francisco, CA 94111	4160 Long Knife Rd. Reno, NV 89509
5	James Shaw	William J. Shaw
6	Water Master	Brooke & Shaw, Ltd.
7	U.S. Board of Water Commissioners P.O. Box 853	1590 Fourth St. P.O. Box 2860
8	Yerington, NV 89447	Minden, NV 89423
9	Kenneth Spooner	Garry Stone
10	General Manager Walker River Irrigation District	U.S. District Court Water Master 290 S. Arlington Ave., 3rd Floor
11	P.O. Box 820 Yerington, NV 89447	Reno, NV 89501
12	Tracy Taylor	
13	Department of Conservation and Natural	
14	Resources Division of Water Resources	
15	901 S. Stewart St., Ste. 202 Carson City, NV 89701	
16		
17	Adah Blinn and John Hargus Trust, Robert Lewis Cooper, Trustee	Casino West Lawrence B. Masini, RA
18	984 Hwy 208 Yerington, NV 89447	11 N. Main St. Yerington, NV 89447
19	1 compon, 1(V 6)447	1 emigron, NV 69447
20	Domenici 1991 Family Trust Lona Marie Domenici-Reese	Theodore A. and Annette M. Emens 5A W. Pursel Lane
	P.O. Box 333	Yerington, NV 89447
21	Yerington, NV 89447	
22	L & M Family Limited Partnership	Wallace J. & Linda P. Lee
23	Rife Sciarani & Co, RA 22 Hwy 208	904 W. Goldfield Ave. Yerington, NV 89447
24	Yerington, NV 89447	3
25	Joseph J. Bessie J. Lommori Trust	Cynthia Menesini
26	Joseph & Bessie J. Lommori, Trustees 710 Pearl St.	111 N. Hwy 95A Yerington, NV 89447
27	Yerington, NV 89447	1 cimeton, in v 6544/
28	Cynthia Nuti P.O. Box 49	Nancy J. Nuti P.O. Box 49
1		

Case 3 73-cv-00128-MMD-CSD Document 509 Filed 08/09/2010 Page 8 of 8

	11	
1	Smith, NV 89430	Smith, NV 89430
2	Richard B. Nuti P.O. Box 49	R. A. Palayo
3	Smith, NV 89430	536 Awbury Ave Las Vegas, NV 89110
5	Charles Price 24 Panavista Cir.	John Gustave Ritter III
6	Yerington, NV 89447	34 Aiazzi Ln. Yerington, NV 89447
7	Sceirine Fredericks Ranch c/o Todd Sceirine	Silverado, Inc. Gordon R. Muir, RA
8	3100 Hwy 338 Wellington, NV 89444	One E. Liberty St., Suite 416 Reno, NV 89501
9		
10	Daniel G. & Shawna S. Smith P.O. Box 119	Christy De Long & Kirk Andrew Stanton 27 Borsini Ln.
11	Wellington, NV 89444	Yerington, NV 89447
12	Susan Steneri P.O. Box 478	Jerry E. Tilley, Trust Jerry E. Tilley, Trustee
13	Yerington, NV 89447	11418 S. 105 th E. Ave. Bixby, OK 74008
14		DIXUY, OK. 74008
15	William K. Vicencio P.O. Box 478	Weaver Revocable Trust Agreement William M. Jr. & Rosemary F. Weaver
16	Yerington, NV 89447	510 Hwy. 338 Wellington, NV 89444
17		G. ,
18		Holly Deulan
19 20		Holly Dewar
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		