

ORIGINAL

*Handwritten initials*

1/26/01  
*Handwritten signature*

1 GORDON H. DEPAOLI  
Nevada State Bar 00195  
2 DALE E. FERGUSON  
Nevada State Bar 04986  
3 WOODBURN AND WEDGE  
6100 Neil Road, Suite 500  
4 Post Office Box 2311  
5 Reno, Nevada 89511  
Telephone: (775) 688-3000

6  
7 Attorneys for Defendant,  
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT

8  
9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	In Equity No. C-125-ECR
		)	Subfile No. C-125-C
13	Plaintiff,	)	
14	WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,	)	<b>WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION</b>
		)	<b>DISTRICT'S OPPOSITION TO</b>
15	Plaintiff-Intervenor,	)	<b>MINERAL COUNTY'S MOTION TO</b>
		)	<b>DISMISS AND ADD CERTAIN</b>
16		)	<b>PARTIES STATUS REPORT</b>
17	v.	)	
18	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,	)	
	et al.,	)	
19	Defendants.	)	
20		)	
21	MINERAL COUNTY,	)	
22	Proposed Plaintiff-	)	
	Intervenor,	)	
23		)	
24	v.	)	
25	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,	)	
	et al.,	)	
26	Proposed Defendants.	)	
27		)	
28		)	

374

1 **I. Introduction.**

2 On or about January 9, 2001, Mineral County filed a Motion to Dismiss and Add  
3 Certain Parties Status Report (the "Motion to Dismiss and Add"). The Motion to Dismiss and  
4 Add alleges that 101 persons must be dismissed, 73 persons added and 8 persons served  
5 through publication in this matter. It also alleges that "[d]ue diligence has been completed on  
6 those need [sic] to be served by publication." Motion to Dismiss and Add at 2.

7 **II. The Court Must Deny Any Relief Requested By The Motion To Dismiss And Add  
8 Because It Does Not Identify The Defendants Mineral County Seeks To Dismiss Or  
9 Add Or Serve By Publication.**

10 The Motion to Dismiss and Add completely fails to identify the proposed defendants  
11 that Mineral County now seeks to dismiss or add or serve through publication. It also fails to  
12 offer any documentation or other evidence in support of the relief requested. Under these  
13 circumstances, it is impossible for the Walker River Irrigation District (the "District") or any  
14 other party to respond to the Motion to Dismiss and Add. The Court, therefore, must deny any  
15 relief requested by the Motion to Dismiss and Add until, at a minimum, Mineral County  
16 identifies the defendants it seeks to dismiss or add and those it seeks to serve through  
17 publication and also provides the Court with supporting documentation. In addition, all parties  
18 including the District must be given an adequate opportunity to respond after Mineral County  
19 provides this identification and documentation with respect to its Motion to Dismiss and Add.

19 **III. Status Report.**

20 The Motion to Dismiss and Add also purports to be a "status report". Apparently, this  
21 is because the Motion to Dismiss and Add alleges that 954 persons have been served and  
22 service is out with respect to another 28 persons. Once again, the District is unable to address  
23 these allegations based on the information contained in the Motion to Dismiss and Add. The  
24 District, however, believes that it is important to inform the Court concerning the District's  
25 position as to the current status of this matter.

26 On April 3, 2000, the Court entered an Order Concerning Status of Service on  
27 Defendants. Docket No. 326. Among other things, that order listed the proposed defendants  
28 that Mineral County had properly served with respect to its Intervention Documents. It also

1 listed the proposed defendants that Mineral County had failed to serve, the proposed defendants  
2 that Mineral County intended to dismiss from the action and those whom it intended to serve  
3 through publication.

4 The Court also entered a scheduling order on April 3, 2000 (Docket No. 327), setting  
5 forth filing deadlines with respect to Mineral County's anticipated motions. That order stated  
6 the following:

7 Mineral County shall file and serve all motions to dismiss and substitute  
8 proposed defendants on or before August 7, 2000; responses shall be filed and  
9 served on or before September 8, 2000; replies shall be filed and served on or  
before September 29, 2000.

10 Information to supplement the record shall be filed and served on or before  
11 July 7, 2000; objections thereto shall be filed and served on or before August 7,  
12 2000; responses thereto shall be filed and served on or before September 8,  
2000; replies in support thereof shall be filed and served on or before  
September 29, 2000.

13 Mineral County shall file and serve motions for permission to serve by  
14 publication any proposed defendants on or before October 31, 2000; responses  
15 thereto shall be filed and served on or before November 30, 2000; replies in  
support thereof shall be filed and served on or before December 22, 2000.

16 **A. Status Concerning Dismissal and Substitution.**

17 On or about August 8, 2000, Mineral County filed a *Motion to Dismiss and Substitute*  
18 *Proper Parties*. Docket No. 354. That motion requested that the court dismiss and substitute  
19 several parties and stated that "more substitutions may be required" in the future.

20 On September 8, 2000, the District filed the *Walker River Irrigation District's Response*  
21 *to Mineral County's Motion to Dismiss and Substitute Proper Parties*. Docket No. 358. The  
22 District's response individually addressed the defendants Mineral County seeks to dismiss and  
23 substitute as set forth in its *Motion to Dismiss and Substitute Proper Parties*. The District's  
24 response also pointed out that Mineral County's August 8, 2000 motion failed to address 13  
25 proposed defendants that were listed in the April 3, 2000 order as defendants for which Mineral  
26 County intended to file a motion to dismiss or substitute.

27 On or about September 28, 2000, Mineral County filed its *Reply to Response Motion to*  
28 *Dismiss and Substitute Proper Parties*. Docket No. 361. Mineral County's reply responded to

1 the District's comments as set forth in its September 8, 2000 response. Mineral County's  
2 *Motion to Dismiss and Substitute Proper Parties* (Docket No. 354) now appears to be fully  
3 briefed by the parties and ready for decision by the Court.

4 **B. Status Concerning Supplementation of the Record by Mineral County.**

5 The April 3, 2000 order required Mineral County to file and serve all information to  
6 supplement the record with respect to its service efforts on or before July 7, 2000. Mineral  
7 County filed nothing in this regard on or before July 7, 2000, however, it has filed additional  
8 Return of Service forms and other documentation as follows:

- 9 1. Several Return of Service forms and other documents attached to a  
10 *Request for an Extension of Time in which to Complete Service* (the  
11 "Request") filed by Mineral County on or about October 20, 2000  
(Docket No. 363)
- 12 2. *Certificates of Returns of Service, Waivers of Service, and Attempts to*  
13 *Locate*, filed by Mineral County on or about November 15, 2000  
(Docket No. 370);

14 By order dated October 27, 2000 (Docket No. 364), the Court granted Mineral County until  
15 December 26, 2000, in order "to complete the service and file its motions with the Court." In  
16 addition, the District filed the *Walker River Irrigation District's Response to Mineral County's*  
17 *Request for an Extension of Time in which to Complete Service* on November 7, 2000. Docket  
18 No. 368. The District's response to the Request informed the Court that the District will  
19 respond to the information attached to the Request after December 26, 2000 (the new deadline  
20 set by the Court in its October 27, 2000 order) or at another appropriate time in the future.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**C. Status Concerning Service by Publication.**

Mineral County has filed no motions for permission to serve by publication as set forth in the April 3, 2000 order as may have been amended by the Court's October 27, 2000 order.

Dated this 26<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2001.

WOODBURN AND WEDGE  
6100 Neil Road, Suite 500  
Post Office Box 2311  
Reno, Nevada 89511

By: 

GORDON H. DEPAOLI  
Nevada State Bar 00195  
DALE E. FERGUSON  
Nevada State Bar 04986

Attorneys for WALKER RIVER  
IRRIGATION DISTRICT

C:\WP\WRID\0083\Opposition to MC's Motion to Dismiss.doc

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

I certify that I am an employee of Woodburn and Wedge and that on this date, I deposited in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing ***WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT'S OPPOSITION TO MINERAL COUNTY'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND ADD CERTAIN PARTIES STATUS REPORT*** in an envelope addressed to:

Shirley A. Smith  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
100 West Liberty Street, #600  
Reno, NV 89509

Richard R. Greenfield  
Department of the Interior  
Two North Central Avenue, #500  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

George Benesch  
P.O. Box 3498  
Reno, NV 89505

Western Nevada Agency  
Bureau of Indian Affairs  
1677 Hot Springs Road  
Carson City, NV 89706

Ken Spooner  
Walker River Irrigation District  
P.O. Box 820  
Yerington, NV 89447

R. Michael Turnipseed, P.E.  
Division of Water Resources  
State of Nevada  
123 West Nye Lane  
Carson City, NV 89710

Garry Stone  
United States District Court Water Master  
290 South Arlington Avenue  
Third Floor  
Reno, NV 89501

Alice E. Walker  
Greene, Meyer & McElroy  
1007 Pearl Street, Suite 220  
Boulder, CO 80302

John Kramer  
Department of Water Resources  
1416 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

David Moser, Esq.  
McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enerson  
Three Embarcadero Center  
San Francisco, CA 94111

Sharon E. Claassen  
P.O. Box 209  
Carson City, CA 89702

Ross E. de Lipkau  
Marshall, Hill, Cassas & de Lipkau  
P.O. Box 2790  
Reno, NV 89505

1 Susan L. Schneider  
Indian Resources Section  
2 U.S. Department of Justice  
999 18<sup>th</sup> Street  
3 Suite 945, North Tower  
4 Denver, CO 80202

Marta Adams  
Deputy Attorney General  
State of Nevada  
100 North Carson Street  
Carson City, NV 89701

5 Mary Hackenbracht  
Deputy Attorney general  
6 State of California  
1515 Clay Street, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
7 Oakland, CA 94612-1413

James Spoo  
Treva J. Hearne  
Zeh, Spoo & Hearne  
575 Forest Service  
Reno, NV 89509

8 Roger Bezayiff  
9 Water Master  
U.S. Board of Water Commissioners  
10 P.O. Box 853  
11 Yerington, NV 89447


James Clear  
United States Department of Justice  
Natural Resources Division  
Ben Franklin Station  
P.O. Box 7611  
Washington, D.C. 20044

12 William Hvidsten  
13 Decuir & Somach  
400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1900  
14 Sacramento, CA 95814

Linda Bowman  
540 Hammill Lane  
Reno, NV 89511

15 Michael W. Neville  
16 California Attorney General's Office  
455 Golden Gate Avenue  
17 Suite 11000  
18 San Francisco, CA 94102-3664

19 Dated this 26<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2001.

  
\_\_\_\_\_

21 C:\WP\WRID\0083\Opposition to MC's Motion to Dismiss.doc

22

23

24

25

26

27

28