

ORIGINAL

GORDON H. DEPAOLI
Nevada State Bar 00195
DALE E. FERGUSON
Nevada State Bar 04986
WOODBURN AND WEDGE
6100 Neil Road, Suite 500
Post Office Box 2311
Reno, Nevada 89511
Telephone: (775) 688-3000

Attorneys for Defendant,
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) In Equity No. C-125-ECR
) Subfile No. C-125-C
Plaintiff,)
) WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION
WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,) DISTRICT'S OPPOSITION TO
) MINERAL COUNTY'S MOTION TO
Plaintiff-Intervenor,) DISMISS AND ADD CERTAIN
) PARTIES STATUS REPORT

v.

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
et al.,
Defendants.

MINERAL COUNTY,
Proposed Plaintiff-
Intervenor,

v.

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
et al.,
Proposed Defendants.

374

1 **I. Introduction.**

2 On or about January 9, 2001, Mineral County filed a Motion to Dismiss and Add
3 Certain Parties Status Report (the "Motion to Dismiss and Add"). The Motion to Dismiss and
4 Add alleges that 101 persons must be dismissed, 73 persons added and 8 persons served
5 through publication in this matter. It also alleges that "[d]ue diligence has been completed on
6 those need [sic] to be served by publication." Motion to Dismiss and Add at 2.

7 **II. The Court Must Deny Any Relief Requested By The Motion To Dismiss And Add**
8 **Because It Does Not Identify The Defendants Mineral County Seeks To Dismiss Or**
9 **Add Or Serve By Publication.**

10 The Motion to Dismiss and Add completely fails to identify the proposed defendants
11 that Mineral County now seeks to dismiss or add or serve through publication. It also fails to
12 offer any documentation or other evidence in support of the relief requested. Under these
13 circumstances, it is impossible for the Walker River Irrigation District (the "District") or any
14 other party to respond to the Motion to Dismiss and Add. The Court, therefore, must deny any
15 relief requested by the Motion to Dismiss and Add until, at a minimum, Mineral County
16 identifies the defendants it seeks to dismiss or add and those it seeks to serve through
17 publication and also provides the Court with supporting documentation. In addition, all parties
18 including the District must be given an adequate opportunity to respond after Mineral County
19 provides this identification and documentation with respect to its Motion to Dismiss and Add.

20 **III. Status Report.**

21 The Motion to Dismiss and Add also purports to be a "status report". Apparently, this
22 is because the Motion to Dismiss and Add alleges that 954 persons have been served and
23 service is out with respect to another 28 persons. Once again, the District is unable to address
24 these allegations based on the information contained in the Motion to Dismiss and Add. The
25 District, however, believes that it is important to inform the Court concerning the District's
26 position as to the current status of this matter.

27 On April 3, 2000, the Court entered an Order Concerning Status of Service on
28 Defendants. Docket No. 326. Among other things, that order listed the proposed defendants
that Mineral County had properly served with respect to its Intervention Documents. It also

1 listed the proposed defendants that Mineral County had failed to serve, the proposed defendants
2 that Mineral County intended to dismiss from the action and those whom it intended to serve
3 through publication.

4 The Court also entered a scheduling order on April 3, 2000 (Docket No. 327), setting
5 forth filing deadlines with respect to Mineral County's anticipated motions. That order stated
6 the following:

7 Mineral County shall file and serve all motions to dismiss and substitute
8 proposed defendants on or before August 7, 2000; responses shall be filed and
9 served on or before September 8, 2000; replies shall be filed and served on or
before September 29, 2000.

10 Information to supplement the record shall be filed and served on or before
11 July 7, 2000; objections thereto shall be filed and served on or before August 7,
12 2000; responses thereto shall be filed and served on or before September 8,
2000; replies in support thereof shall be filed and served on or before
September 29, 2000.

13 Mineral County shall file and serve motions for permission to serve by
14 publication any proposed defendants on or before October 31, 2000; responses
15 thereto shall be filed and served on or before November 30, 2000; replies in
support thereof shall be filed and served on or before December 22, 2000.

16 **A. Status Concerning Dismissal and Substitution.**

17 On or about August 8, 2000, Mineral County filed a *Motion to Dismiss and Substitute*
18 *Proper Parties*. Docket No. 354. That motion requested that the court dismiss and substitute
19 several parties and stated that "more substitutions may be required" in the future.

20 On September 8, 2000, the District filed the *Walker River Irrigation District's Response*
21 *to Mineral County's Motion to Dismiss and Substitute Proper Parties*. Docket No. 358. The
22 District's response individually addressed the defendants Mineral County seeks to dismiss and
23 substitute as set forth in its *Motion to Dismiss and Substitute Proper Parties*. The District's
24 response also pointed out that Mineral County's August 8, 2000 motion failed to address 13
25 proposed defendants that were listed in the April 3, 2000 order as defendants for which Mineral
26 County intended to file a motion to dismiss or substitute.

27 On or about September 28, 2000, Mineral County filed its *Reply to Response Motion to*
28 *Dismiss and Substitute Proper Parties*. Docket No. 361. Mineral County's reply responded to

1 the District's comments as set forth in its September 8, 2000 response. Mineral County's
2 *Motion to Dismiss and Substitute Proper Parties* (Docket No. 354) now appears to be fully
3 briefed by the parties and ready for decision by the Court.

4 **B. Status Concerning Supplementation of the Record by Mineral County.**

5 The April 3, 2000 order required Mineral County to file and serve all information to
6 supplement the record with respect to its service efforts on or before July 7, 2000. Mineral
7 County filed nothing in this regard on or before July 7, 2000, however, it has filed additional
8 Return of Service forms and other documentation as follows:

- 9 1. Several Return of Service forms and other documents attached to a
10 *Request for an Extension of Time in which to Complete Service* (the
11 "Request") filed by Mineral County on or about October 20, 2000
(Docket No. 363)
- 12 2. *Certificates of Returns of Service, Waivers of Service, and Attempts to*
13 *Locate*, filed by Mineral County on or about November 15, 2000
(Docket No. 370);

14 By order dated October 27, 2000 (Docket No. 364), the Court granted Mineral County until
15 December 26, 2000, in order "to complete the service and file its motions with the Court." In
16 addition, the District filed the *Walker River Irrigation District's Response to Mineral County's*
17 *Request for an Extension of Time in which to Complete Service* on November 7, 2000. Docket
18 No. 368. The District's response to the Request informed the Court that the District will
19 respond to the information attached to the Request after December 26, 2000 (the new deadline
20 set by the Court in its October 27, 2000 order) or at another appropriate time in the future.

1 **C. Status Concerning Service by Publication.**

2 Mineral County has filed no motions for permission to serve by publication as set forth
3 in the April 3, 2000 order as may have been amended by the Court's October 27, 2000 order.

4 Dated this 26th day of January, 2001.

5
6 WOODBURN AND WEDGE
7 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500
8 Post Office Box 2311
9 Reno, Nevada 89511

10 By: *Dale E. Ferguson*
11 GORDON H. DEPAOLI
12 Nevada State Bar 00195
13 DALE E. FERGUSON
14 Nevada State Bar 04986

15 Attorneys for WALKER RIVER
16 IRRIGATION DISTRICT

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
C:\WP\WRID\0083\Opposition to MC's Motion to Dismiss.doc

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that I am an employee of Woodburn and Wedge and that on this date, I deposited in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing ***WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT'S OPPOSITION TO MINERAL COUNTY'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND ADD CERTAIN PARTIES STATUS REPORT*** in an envelope addressed to:

Shirley A. Smith
Assistant U.S. Attorney
100 West Liberty Street, #600
Reno, NV 89509

Richard R. Greenfield
Department of the Interior
Two North Central Avenue, #500
Phoenix, AZ 85004

George Benesch
P.O. Box 3498
Reno, NV 89505

Western Nevada Agency
Bureau of Indian Affairs
1677 Hot Springs Road
Carson City, NV 89706

Ken Spooner
Walker River Irrigation District
P.O. Box 820
Yerington, NV 89447

R. Michael Turnipseed, P.E.
Division of Water Resources
State of Nevada
123 West Nye Lane
Carson City, NV 89710

Garry Stone
United States District Court Water Master
290 South Arlington Avenue
Third Floor
Reno, NV 89501

Alice E. Walker
Greene, Meyer & McElroy
1007 Pearl Street, Suite 220
Boulder, CO 80302

John Kramer
Department of Water Resources
1416 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

David Moser, Esq.
McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enerson
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111

Sharon E. Claassen
P.O. Box 209
Carson City, CA 89702

Ross E. de Lipkau
Marshall, Hill, Cassas & de Lipkau
P.O. Box 2790
Reno, NV 89505

1 Susan L. Schneider
2 Indian Resources Section
3 U.S. Department of Justice
4 999 18th Street
5 Suite 945, North Tower
6 Denver, CO 80202

Marta Adams
Deputy Attorney General
State of Nevada
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701

5 Mary Hackenbracht
6 Deputy Attorney general
7 State of California
8 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
9 Oakland, CA 94612-1413

James Spoo
Treva J. Hearne
Zeh, Spoo & Hearne
575 Forest Service
Reno, NV 89509

8 Roger Bezayiff
9 Water Master
10 U.S. Board of Water Commissioners
11 P.O. Box 853
12 Yerington, NV 89447


James Clear
United States Department of Justice
Natural Resources Division
Ben Franklin Station
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044

12 William Hvidsten
13 Decuir & Somach
14 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1900
15 Sacramento, CA 95814

Linda Bowman
540 Hammill Lane
Reno, NV 89511

15 Michael W. Neville
16 California Attorney General's Office
17 455 Golden Gate Avenue
18 Suite 11000
19 San Francisco, CA 94102-3664

20 Dated this 26th day of January, 2001.



21 C:\WP\WRID\0083\Opposition to MC's Motion to Dismiss.doc

22

23

24

25

26

27

28