ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff, WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al. Defendants.	IN EQUITY NO. C-125-ECR Subfile No. C-125-B and C-125-C **BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION OF MEDIATING PARTIES TO CONTINUE STAY OF LITIGATION IN C-125-B AND C-125-C SUBPROCEEDINGS**

FILED
05 JAN 18 PM 1:53
LANCE S. WILSON
CLERK
BY DEPUTY

Pursuant to the *Minutes of the Court* (Dec. 1, 2004), the United States, State of Nevada, State of California, Walker River Paiute Tribe ("Tribe"), Walker River Irrigation District, Mono County, California, Lyon County, Nevada, Mineral County, Nevada, and the Walker Lake Working Group (the "Mediating Parties") submit this brief in support of their joint motion to continue the stay of the litigation in the C-125-B and C-125-C subproceedings. For the reasons set forth herein, the Mediating Parties respectfully request that the Court continue the stay of the C-125-B and C-125-C subproceedings until December 31, 2005 to enable the parties to continue their efforts to settle the outstanding claims at issue in those subproceedings.

I. BACKGROUND

On January 14, 2003, the Mediating Parties entered into an agreement by which they determined to pursue settlement of matters at issue in litigation before this Court. *Mediation Process Agreement* (Jan. 14, 2003). The Mediating Parties requested that the Court enter an order staying the

505

ongoing litigation so that the parties could pursue settlement. *Joint Motion for Entry of Order Governing Mediation* at 4 (Apr. 30, 2003). On May 27, 2003, the Court entered an order staying all matters in the C-125-B and C-125-C subproceedings -- other than service of process[1] -- in order to allow the parties to explore the possibilities for settlement of the outstanding claims in those two subproceedings. *Order Governing Mediation Process* ¶¶ 2(a), (c) (May 27, 2003). The C-125-B subproceeding involves the claims of the Tribe and the United States on behalf of the Tribe and other federal claimants for water from the Walker River stream system. The C-125-C subproceeding involves the claims of Mineral County, Nevada under the Public Trust Doctrine, for additional water to benefit Walker Lake.

The Mediating Parties have diligently pursued settlement. They have generally met once per month, and have made substantial progress. As stated in the *Joint Report of the Mediating Parties*

[1]Consistent with the *Order Governing Mediation Process* ¶ 2(c) (May 27, 2003), the United States and the Tribe have continued service of their first amended counterclaims and associated service documents, and have filed reports with the Court describing the status of their service efforts. *See, e.g., First Report of the United States of America Concerning Status of Service on Certain Persons and Entities*, No. C-125-B (May 27, 2004); *Second Report of the United States of America Concerning Status of Service on Certain Persons and Entities*, No. C-125-B (Nov. 19, 2004); *Third Report of the United States of America Concerning Status of Service on Certain Persons and Entities*, No. C-125-B (Dec. 14, 2004). Mineral County has replaced its previous counsel with attorneys from the Western Environmental Law Center who are currently evaluating the status of service in this matter and intend to file a report on its remaining service efforts as soon as possible.

(Dec. 16, 2004), the Mediating Parties "agree that progress in the mediation is continuing." *Id.* at 1. For this reason,

> [t]he Parties agree that the mediation process should continue through December 31, 2005 pursuant to the provisions of the Mediation Process Agreement previously entered by the Parties and pursuant to the Order Governing Mediation Process. In this regard, the parties, either individually or jointly, will file and serve a motion on or before January 18, 2005 consistent with this Joint Report.

Id. at 2. The Mediating Parties file this joint motion and brief in support of continuation of the stay of the C-125-B and C-125-C subproceedings so that they may continue their settlement efforts through the end of calendar year 2005.

II. THE COURT SHOULD CONTINUE THE STAY OF LITIGATION SO THAT THE MEDIATING PARTIES CAN CONTINUE TO PURSUE SETTLEMENT

The Mediating Parties iterate the following reasons in support of their request that the Court should continue the stay of the litigation while they attempt to negotiate a settlement of the issues that are outstanding in the C-125-B and C-125-C subproceedings.[2] First, the Mediating Parties are hopeful that they will reach an agreement consistent with the provisions of Paragraph 6 of the *Mediation Process Agreement* that will ultimately lead to a settlement of the matters at issue in the litigation. Settlement of those matters could well differ from their litigated resolution. The possibility of inconsistent outcomes would, therefore, represent a waste of the Mediating Parties'

---

[2]On November 16, 2004, Joseph and Beverly Landolt filed an *Opposition to Extension of Mediation Process* ("Landolt Opposition"). The Landolts filed that document prior to any filing by the Mediating Parties requesting extension of the stay of litigation. However, at a hearing on December 1, 2004, the Court stated that the Landolt Opposition could stand as the document in response to any stay of litigation request that might be filed. Transcript at ___ (Dec. 1, 2004). The Mediating Parties will address the Landolts' response, or any other document they may substitute for a response to the instant motion, in their reply which will be due on or before February 22, 2005.

resources simultaneously to attempt to settle and litigate the C-125-B and C-125-C subproceedings. Second, the Mediating Parties have limited resources that they can devote either to settlement or litigation, but not both at the same time. Since the Mediating Parties have resolved to pursue settlement, they must devote their limited resources to that effort. Simultaneous settlement and litigation would be impossible for most of the Mediating Parties.

Third, the *Mediation Process Agreement* provides that the mediation process shall remain confidential, while also providing a method for informing decision-makers, governing bodies and boards, and, where appropriate, constituents, elected officials and the public regarding the mediation process. It further provides the mediation process shall be treated as compromise negotiations pursuant to Rule 408 of the Federal Rules of Evidence. This allows the Mediating Parties an opportunity to engage in full and frank discussions that might otherwise not be possible if simultaneous litigation were ongoing in the C-125-B and C-125-C subproceedings.

Finally, the *Case Management Order* (Apr. 18, 2000) requires the completion of service prior to the litigation of the claims at issue in the C-125-B subproceeding, and as stated above, that effort is proceeding. *See Order Governing Mediation Process* ¶ 2(c) (May 27, 2003). Continuation of the stay of all other matters in the C-125-B and C-125-C subproceedings until December 31, 2005 will not impair the resumption of litigation after that time since service must be completed in any event before moving on to the identification and resolution of threshold issues. *See Case Management Order* at 5 (Apr. 18, 2000).

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth herein, the Mediating Parties respectfully request that the Court extend the stay of the C-125-B and C-125-C subproceedings until December 31, 2005 to allow the

parties to continue their efforts to reach a negotiated settlement of the outstanding claims for water from the Walker River stream system.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1-14-05

Susan L. Schneider, Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice
Environmental and Natural Resources Div.
999 - 18th Street, Suite 945
Denver, Colorado 80202
303-312-7308

By: Susan L. Schneider
Susan L. Schneider

Attorneys for the United States of America

Date:

Scott B. McElroy / Alice E. Walker
Greene, Meyer & McElroy, P.C.
1007 Pearl Street, Suite 220
Boulder, Colorado 80302
303-442-2021

Kelly R. Chase
P.O. Box 2800
Minden, Nevada 89423
702-782-3099

By:
Alice E. Walker

Attorneys for the Walker River Paiute Tribe

Date:

Gordon DePaoli / Dale E. Ferguson
Woodburn and Wedge
6100 Neil Road, #500
Reno, Nevada 89511
775-688-3000

By:

Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation District

parties to continue their efforts to reach a negotiated settlement of the outstanding claims for water from the Walker River stream system.

Respectfully submitted,

Date:_____

Susan L. Schneider, Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice
Environmental and Natural Resources Div.
999 - 18th Street, Suite 945
Denver, Colorado 80202
303-312-7308

By:_____
Susan L. Schneider

Attorneys for the United States of America

Date:_____

Scott B. McElroy / Alice E. Walker
Greene, Meyer & McElroy, P.C.
1007 Pearl Street, Suite 220
Boulder, Colorado 80302
303-442-2021

Kelly R. Chase
P.O. Box 2800
Minden, Nevada 89423
702-782-3099

By:_____
Alice E. Walker

Attorneys for the Walker River Paiute Tribe

Date: 1/18/05

Gordon DePaoli / Dale E. Ferguson
Woodburn and Wedge
6100 Neil Road, #500
Reno, Nevada 89511
775-688-3000

By: Dale E. Ferguson

Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation District

Date: 14 Jan 2005

Brian Sandoval, Nevada Attorney General
Marta Adams, Sr. Deputy Attorney General
C. Wayne Howle, Sr. Deputy Attorney General
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701
775-684-1237

By: Marta Adams
Marta Adams

Attorneys for State of Nevada

Date:_____

Michael Neville, Asst. Attorney General
State of California
455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, California 94102

By:_____

Attorneys for State of California, acting by and Through the California Dept. of Water Resources, California Dept. of Fish and Game, And California State Water Resources Control Board

Date:_____

Cheri K. Emm-Smith
Mineral County District Attorney
P.O. Box 1210
Hawthorne, Nevada 89415

By: FACSIMILE SIGNATURE TO FOLLOW
Cheri K. Emm-Smith

Attorney for Mineral County

Date: 1-14-05

Stephen B. Rye, Chief Deputy District Attorney
Lyon County
31 South Main Street
Yerington, Nevada 89447
775-463-6511

By: Stephen B Rye
Stephen B. Rye

Date:_____

Brian Sandoval, Nevada Attorney General
Marta Adams, Sr. Deputy Attorney General
C. Wayne Howle, Sr. Deputy Attorney General
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701
775-684-1237

By:_____
Marta Adams

Attorneys for State of Nevada

Date: 1-14-05

Michael Neville, Asst. Attorney General
State of California
455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, California 94102

By:_____

Attorneys for State of California, acting by and Through the California Dept. of Water Resources, California Dept. of Fish and Game, And California State Water Resources Control Board

Date:_____

Cheri K. Emm-Smith
Mineral County District Attorney
P.O. Box 1210
Hawthorne, Nevada 89415

By:_____
Cheri K. Emm-Smith

Attorney for Mineral County

Date:_____

Stephen B. Rye, Chief Deputy District Attorney
Lyon County
31 South Main Street
Yerington, Nevada 89447
775-463-6511

By:_____
Stephen B. Rye

Attorney for Lyon County

Date: 1/14/05

Marshall Rudolph, Mono County Counsel
Stacey Simon, Deputy County Counsel
P.O. Box 2415
Mammoth Lakes, California 93546
760-924-1700

By: Stacey Simon
Marshall Rudolph / Stacy Simon

Attorneys for Mono County

Date: 1/14/05

Simeon Herskovits
Western Environmental Law Center
P.O. Box 1507
Taos, New Mexico 87571
505-751-0351

By: [signature]
Simeon Herskovits

Attorneys for Mineral County and Walker Lake Working Group

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that I am an employee of Woodburn and Wedge and that on this date, I deposited in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing ***Brief in Support of Joint Motion of Mediating Parties to Continue Stay of Litigation in C-125-B and C-125-C Subproceedings*** in an envelope addressed to:

Greg Addington
Assistant U.S. Attorney
100 W. Liberty St., #600
Reno, NV 89509

William W. Quinn
Office of the Field Solicitor
Department of the Interior
401 W. Washington St/, SPC 44
Phoenix, AZ 85003

George Benesch
190 W. Huffaker
Reno, NV 89511

Robert L. Hunter
Western Nevada Agency
Bureau of Indian Affairs
1677 Hot Springs Rd.
Carson City, NV 89706

Kenneth Spooner
General Manager
Walker River Irrigation District
P.O. Box 820
Yerington, NV 89447

Hugh Ricci, P.E.
Division of Water Resources
State of Nevada
123 W. Nye Ln.
Carson City, NV 89706

Garry Stone
United States District Court Water Master
290 S. Arlington Ave., 3rd Floor
Reno, NV 89501

Alice E. Walker / Scott McElroy
Greene, Meyer & McElroy
1007 Pearl St., Suite 220
Boulder, CO 80302

John Kramer
Department of Water Resources
1416 Ninth St.
Sacramento, CA 95814

Alan Biaggi
Dir. of Conservation & Natural Resources
State of Nevada
123 W. Nye Ln.
Carson City, NV 89706

Bill Lockyer / Michael W. Neville
California Attorney General's Office
455 Golden Gate Ave., #11000
San Francisco, CA 94102-3664

Ross E. de Lipkau
Marshall, Hill, Cassas & de Lipkau
P.O. Box 2790
Reno, NV 89505

Susan Schneider
Indian Resources Section
U.S. Department of Justice
999 18th Street, #945 North Tower
Denver, CO 80202

Marta Adams
Deputy Attorney General
State of Nevada
100 N. Carson St.
Carson City, NV 89701

Mary Hackenbracht
Deputy Attorney General
State of California
1515 Clay St., 20th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612-1413

Andrew H. Sawyer
Craig M. Wilson
P.O. Box 100
Sacramento, CA 95812

James Shaw
Water Master
U.S. Board of Water Commissioners
P.O. Box 853
Yerington, NV 89447

David L. Negri
United States Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resources Div.
161 E. Mallard Dr., Suite A
Boise, ID 83706

Linda Bowman
540 Hammill Ln.
Reno, NV 89511

Kelly Chase, Esq.
P.O. Box 2800
Minden, NV 89423

Tim Glidden
U. S. Dept. of the Interior, Office of the
Secretary, Div. Of Indian Affairs
1849 C St. N.W.
Mail Stop 6456
Washington, D.C. 20240

Scott H. Schackelton
Silverado, Inc.
4160 Long Knife Rd.
Reno, NV 89509

Marshall S. Rudolph, Mono County Counsel
Stacy Simon, Deputy County Counsel
Mono County
P. O. Box 2415
Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546-2415

Erin K.L. Mahaney
Office of Chief Counsel
State Water Resources Control Board
1001 I St., 22nd Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

Steve Rye
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Lyon County
31 S. Main St.
Yerington, NV 89447

Simeon Herskovits
Western Environmental Law Center
P. O. Box 1507
Taos, NM 87571

Cheri Emm-Smith
Mineral County District Attorney
P. O. Box 1210
Hawthorne, NV 89415

William E. Schaeffer
P. O. Box 936
Battle Mountain, NV 89820

Laura A. Schroeder
P. O. Box 12527
Portland, Oregon 97212-0527

John W. Howard
JW Howard/Attorneys
625 Broadway, Suite 1206
San Diego, CA 92101

Wesley G. Beverlin
Malissa Hathaway McKeith
Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard & Smith LCP
221 N. Figueroa St., Suite 1200
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Craig Alexander
U.S. Dept. of Justice
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044

Timothy A. Lukas
P.O. Box 3237
Reno, NV 89505

Stephen M. MacFarlane
U.S. Dept. of Justice
501 I Street, Suite 9-700
Sacramento, CA 95814-2322

Todd Plimpton
Belanger & Plimpton
1135 Central Ave.
P.O. Box 59
Lovelock, NV 89419

Dated this 18th day of January, 2005.

Holly C. Dewar