Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2675 Filed 09/14/2021 Page 1 of 8

1	GORDON H. DePAOLI					
2	Nevada State Bar No. 00195 DALE E. FERGUSON					
3	Nevada State Bar No. 4986					
4	DOMENICO R. DePAOLI Nevada State Bar No. 11553					
5	WOODBURN AND WEDGE 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500					
6	Reno, Nevada 89511 Telephone: 775 / 688-3000					
7 8	Attorneys for WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT					
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
10	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
11						
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC				
12	Plaintiff,)				
13	WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]) ORDER AMENDING ORDER				
14	Plaintiff-Intervenor,) REGARDING DISCOVERY AND) MOTION SCHEDULE AS				
15) PREVIOUSLY AMENDED				
16	V.)				
17	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.,))				
18))				
19	Defendants.)				
20	1. On March 16, 2020, the Court e	entered the Order Regarding Discovery and				
21	Motion Schedule and Procedure (the "Order"). ECF 2611.					
22	2. On December 28, 2020, a Stipulation and Order Amending Order Regarding					
23	Discovery and Motion Schedule was entered (the "Amendment to the Order"). ECF 2648					
24	3. The Amendment to the Order was concerned with and addressed the fact that the					
25	historical expert engaged by the Principal Defendants to provide a response to the Historical					
26	Reports of the United States and Tribe and perhaps also to provide an opening expert report on					
27	historical matters which may not be directly addressed in the Historical Reports of the United					
28	States and Tribe, was not able to undertake her research plan because the several repositories					
	-1-					

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2675 Filed 09/14/2021 Page 2 of 8

holding manuscript collections critical to her research and assignments in this matter were and
for some time prior had been and then remained closed due to the Covid-19 Pandemic, making
archival research progress (outside of digitally available records) impossible.

- 4 4. At that time, the Principal Defendants' historical expert had been waiting for the
 5 following archive/records offices to reopen: the National Archives Riverside; National
 6 Archives San Bruno; National Archives DC/College Park; California State Archives;
 7 Nevada State Archives; and the Water Resource Center Archives at the University of California
 8 (the "Archive / Records Offices"). The National Archives –Seattle has been added to and
 9 should now be included in the Archive / Records Offices.
- 10 5. Pursuant to the Amendment to the Order, since February 1, 2021, and
 11 continuing to September 1, 2021, the Principal Defendants have reported to the Plaintiffs'
 12 Counsel and to the Court concerning the status of access to each of the Archive/Records
 13 Offices.
 - 6. The most recent Report advised:

15 As noted in the August 2, 2021 report, on July 16, 2021 the National Archives Records Administration (NARA) issued a press release stating that it 16 was starting to resume research operations, and that starting Monday, August 2, 2021, most National Archives research rooms would reopen for research on a 17 limited basis. However, on or about August 16, 2021, National Archives in Washington, D.C. announced it was again closed with no information on when it 18 might reopen. The National Archives, College Park, Md, the National Archives, 19 San Bruno, the National Archives, Riverside and the National Archives, Seattle are all closed, also with no information on when they might reopen. All of these 20 facilities offer the following information on their websites: "once public health conditions improve, and we are able to reopen our research room, we will 21 update our website with additional information." As of this date none have reopened. 22

As of September 1, 2021, the Nevada State Archives continues to be open with
 limited hours from 10:00 AM to 2:00 PM on business days. As of September 1,
 2021, the other Archive/Records Offices as defined in said Order and not
 mentioned above remain closed.

26 || See ECF 2674 at 1

14

7. The Amendment to the Order suspended the January 29, 2021 due date for the
Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report and Opening Historical Report.

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2675 Filed 09/14/2021 Page 3 of 8

1 8. The Amendment to the Order also provided that the date of Plaintiffs' Response 2 to the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report, if any, is also suspended to a date 3 which is 60 days after the Principal Defendants Opening Historical Report is served, the date 4 for Plaintiffs' Rebuttal to the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report is also 5 suspended to a date which is 60 days after the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical 6 Report is served and that the date for Principal Defendants' Rebuttal to Plaintiffs' response to 7 Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report is also suspended to a date which is 60 days 8 after Plaintiffs' Responsive Report is served.

9
9
9. The Amendment to the Order further provided that the depositions of experts
10 whose opening, responsive and/or rebuttal reports are delayed by this Stipulation shall be
11 delayed until the last of such reports have been served. In the event insufficient time exists to
12 complete such depositions within the discovery period, Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants will
13 seek appropriate relief from the Court.

14 10. The Amendment to the Order further provided that the schedule for and expert
 15 report sequence for all other opening, responsive and rebuttal expert reports are not modified
 16 by this Stipulation and Order, and shall remain as provided therein and in the following table:

17	Non-Historical Expert Sequence			
1.0	Plaintiffs' Opening	August 14, 2020		
18	Defendants'	January 29, 2021	Defendants' Opening	January 29, 2021
19	Response			
			Plaintiffs' Response	March 26, 2021
20	Plaintiffs' Rebuttal	April 30, 2021	Defendants' Rebuttal	April 30, 2021

21

11. The requirements of that schedule have been met.

12. The Amendment to the Order also provided that if the author of one or more of
 the non-historical expert reports which will be provided on the current schedule later becomes
 aware of information obtained from archival research which is the result of the facilities
 reopening and which, in their judgment, is relevant to their opinions, they may supplement their
 reports and opinions within 30 days.

27

28

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2675 Filed 09/14/2021 Page 4 of 8

- In addition to meeting the schedule set forth in the table above for non Historical Expert Reports, Plaintiffs and the Principal Defendants have engaged in significant
 written discovery.
- 4 14. As noted above, with the exception of the Nevada State Archives which is open
 5 with limited hours, all of the other archive repositories remain closed with considerable
 6 uncertainty as to when they might reopen.
- 7 15. The Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants have conferred and agree that the Order
 8 and the Amendment to the Order should be modified in light of the foregoing circumstances.
- 9 NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Paragraphs 14 and 16 of the Order, the parties hereby
 10 stipulate and agree as follows:
- Because the expert engaged to prepare the Principal Defendants' Responsive
 Historical Report and the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report needs access to the
 Archive/Records Offices, the due date for those reports remains suspended.
- 14 2. The suspension provided for in Paragraph 1 of this Stipulation will continue 15 until an appropriate date determined by the Court. This date shall be a date after the reopening 16 date of the Archive/Records Offices to which the Principal Defendants' historical expert is 17 awaiting access. In establishing this date, consideration will be given to the date of such 18 reopenings, when the expert has been allowed access, obtained and reviewed materials, and the 19 time needed to complete a report based upon the expert's then schedule. In light of the 20 unexpected delay occasioned by the Covid-19 Pandemic circumstances, the Principal 21 Defendants' historical expert shall take all reasonable steps, consistent with her then 22 availability, to work expeditiously to access the Archive/Records Offices, and to complete the 23 Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report and the Principal Defendants' Opening 24 Historical Report.
- 3. On the first judicial day of each month thereafter, the Principal Defendants will
 continue to report to the Plaintiffs' Counsel and to the Court concerning the status of access to
 each of the Archive/Records Offices.
- 28

4. The Plaintiffs will share with the Principal Defendants any information which

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2675 Filed 09/14/2021 Page 5 of 8

they may have concerning access to the Archive/Records Offices on the same schedule.

5. The date for Plaintiffs' Response to the Principal Defendants' Opening
Historical Report, if any, continues to be suspended to a date which is 60 days after the
Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report is served.

5

6

7

1

6. The date for Plaintiffs' Rebuttal to the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report continues to be suspended to a date which is 60 days after the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report is served.

7. The date for Principal Defendants' Rebuttal to Plaintiffs' response to Principal
Defendants' Opening Historical Report is also suspended to a date which is 60 days after
Plaintiffs' Responsive Report is served.

8. The date for close of all forms of discovery allowed by the Federal Rules of
 Civil Procedure, including all depositions, whether of historical experts or not, as provided in
 paragraph 2.b of the Order is extended from January 31, 2022 to July 31, 2022.

9. Depositions of experts whose opening, responsive and/or rebuttal reports are
 delayed by this Stipulation shall be delayed until the last of such reports have been served. In
 the event insufficient time exists to complete such depositions within the discovery period as
 extended, Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants will seek appropriate relief from the Court.

18 10. If the author of one or more of the non-historical expert reports which were
 19 previously provided later becomes aware of information obtained from archival research which
 20 is the result of the facilities reopening and which, in their judgement, is relevant to their
 21 opinions, they may supplement their reports and opinions within 30 days.

Dated: September 14, 2021.

- 23 WOODBURN AND WEDGE
- ²⁴ By: <u>/s/ Gordon H. DePaoli</u> Gordon H. DePaoli Nevada Bar No. 195
 ²⁶ 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500 Reno, Nevada 89511
 ²⁷ Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation District

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

By: <u>/ s / Guss Guarino</u> (per authorization) Guss Guarino, Trial Attorney Tyler J. Eastman, Trial Attorney Marisa J. Hazell, Trial Attorney Environment and Natural Resources Div. 999 18th Street, Suite 370 Denver, Colorado 80202

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2675 Filed 09/14/2021 Page 6 of 8

1	LAW OFFICES OF WES WILLIAMS, JR.,	David L. Negri
2	P.C.	Trial Attorney, Natural Resources Section
	Dru (a / Wag Williams In	c/o U.S. Attorney's Office
3	By: / s / Wes Williams, Jr.	800 Park Boulevard, Suite 600
	(per authorization)	Boise, Idaho 83712
4	Wes Williams, Jr., NSB 6864	Attorneys for United States of America
-	3119 Lake Pasture Rd.	
5	P.O. Box 100	
6	Schurz, Nevada 89427	ADVOCATES FOR COMMUNITY & ENVIRONMENT
7	MEYER, WALKER, CONDON &	
,	WALKER, P.C.	By: <u>/ s / Simeon Herskovits</u>
8		(per authorization)
	Alice E. Walker	Simeon Herskovits, NSB 11155
9	1007 Pearl Street, Suite 220	Iris Thornton
10	Boulder, Colorado 80302	P.O. Box 1075
10	Attorneys for Walker River Paiute Tribe	El Prado, New Mexico 87529
11		Attorneys for Mineral County
11	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA	BEST BEST & KRIEGER
12		By: <u>/ s / Roderick E. Walston</u>
13	By: <u>/ s / Nhu Q. Nguyen</u>	(per authorization)
	(per authorization)	Roderick E. Walston
14	Nhu Q. Nguyen, NSB 7844	2001 N. Main Street, Suite 390
1.5	1300 I Street, Suite 125	Walnut Creek, California 94596
15	P.O. Box 944255	,
16	Sacramento, California 94244-2550	Jerry Snyder, NSB 6830
10	Attorneys for California State Agencies	429 W. Plumb Lane
17		Reno, Nevada 89509
		Attorneys for Lyon County
18		Interneys for Eyen County
19	STATE OF NEVADA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	THE COUNTY OF MONO (CA)
20		By: / s / Stacey Simon
20	By: <u>/ s / Anthony J. Walsh</u>	(per authorization)
21	(per authorization)	Stacey Simon, County Counsel
22	Anthony J. Walsh, NSB 14128 Deputy Attorney General	Emily Fox, Dep. County Counsel P.O. Box 2415A
	100 N. Carson Street	Mammoth Lakes, California 93546-2415
23	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717	Attorneys for Mono County
24	Attorneys for Nevada Department of Wildlife	Millionneys for Mono County
24	Anorneys for Nevaua Department of Whatije	
25		
23		
26		
27		
28		
	1	

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2675 Filed 09/14/2021 Page 7 of 8

	1		
1	SCHROEDER LAW OFFICES, P.C.	SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC	
2	By: / s / Therese A. Ure	By: / s / Brad Johnston	
3	(per authorization)	(per authorization)	
4	Therese A. Ure, NSB 10255 10615 Double R Boulevard, Suite 100	Brad M. Johnston, NSB 8515 22 State Route 208	
	Reno, Nevada 89521	Yerington, Nevada 89447	
5 6	Attorneys for The Schroeder Group	<i>Attorneys for Desert Pearl Farms, Peri Family Ranch, LLC, Peri & Peri LLC, and Frade Ranches</i>	
7			
8	ORDER		
9	Dated:, 2021	. IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10			
		William G. Cobb United States Magistrate Judge	
11 12			
12			
13			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		-7-	

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2675 Filed 09/14/2021 Page 8 of 8

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that I am an employee of Woodburn and Wedge and that on the 14th day of September, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the parties of record. / s / Candace Kelley Candace Kellev Further, pursuant to the Superseding Order Regarding Service and Filing in Subproceeding C-125-B on and by All Parties (ECF 2100) at 10 ¶ 20, the foregoing does not affect the rights of others and does not raise significant issues of law or fact. Therefore, the Walker River Irrigation District has taken no step to serve notice of this document via the postcard notice procedures described in paragraph 17.c of the Superseding Order. / s / Gordon H. DePaoli Gordon H. DePaoli