Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1858 Filed 03/22/2013 Page 1 of 5

IGNACIA S. MORENO Assistant Attorney General

Andrew "Guss" Guarino, Trial Attorney Susan L. Schneider, Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Div. 999 – 18th Street, Suite 370 Denver, Colorado 80202 (303) 844-1343; (303) 844-1348 guss.guarino@usdoj.gov susan.schneider@usdoj.gov David L. Negri, Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Div. c/o U.S. Attorney's Office 800 Park Blvd., # 600 Boise, Idaho 83712 (208) 334-1936 david.negri@usdoj.gov

Greg Addington Assistant United States Attorney 100 W. Liberty Street, Suite 600 Reno, Nevada 89501 (775) 784-5438 greg.addington@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) IN EQUITY NO. C-125-RCJ) Subproceedings: C-125-B
Plaintiff,) 3:73-CV-00127-RCJ-WGC
WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,))
Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs.)) JOINT RESPONSE TO THE) [PROPOSED] SUPPLEMENTAL CASE) MANAGEMENT ORDER
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.,	,
Defendants.))

On March 15, 2013, the Court issued its [Proposed] Supplemental Case Management Order (No. 1) (Dkt. # 1855) (Proposed Order). Subsequently, the United States of America

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1858 Filed 03/22/2013 Page 2 of 5

(United States), the Walker River Tribe, the Walker River Irrigation District (WRID), and other parties have reviewed the Proposed Order. The United States and WRID offer the suggestions outlined in the paragraphs below for the Court's consideration.

Suggestion 1

For paragraph 3.a of the Proposed Order, the United States and WRID suggest that the following language be inserted (appearing here in underline):

a. Once the United States and the Tribe have completed service (including publication) and at a date to be identified by the court, defendants shall submit any motion authorized by Rule 12(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that they wish to file. Such motions made under Rule 12(b)(1)-(5) shall address all Tribal and Federal Claims asserted by the United States and Tribe in the First Amended Counterclaim of the United States of America (July 31, 1997; Doc. # B- 59) and the First Amended Counterclaim of the Walker River Paiute Tribe (Doc. B-58), too. For motions made under Rule 12(b)(6) or (7), such motions shall address all Tribal and Federal claims to the extent that such motions apply to any or all such claims.

Suggestion 2

For paragraph 3.b of the Proposed Order, the United States and WRID suggest that the following language replace the language in the current draft of the Proposed Order:

b. When the Court considers establishing the date for commencement of motion practice, the Court and the parties will consider how filings can be coordinated so as to minimize redundant or overlapping filings.

Suggestion 3

For paragraph 3.c of the Proposed Order, the United States and WRID suggest that the following language be inserted (appearing here in underline):

c. When motions are filed, they will be supported by a memorandum of points and authorities. Once any such motions have been filed, the court will promptly meet with the parties and determine the schedule(s) for responsive points and authorities and replies thereto, based, in part, on the number of motions filed and the number of issues raised. No responsive memoranda to any such motion or replies in support thereof shall be required until the court conducts a status conference and issues a scheduling order with respect to such motions.

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1858 Filed 03/22/2013 Page 3 of 5

Suggestion 4

For paragraph 7 of the Proposed Order, the United States and WRID suggest that the following language be inserted (appearing in the body of the paragraph below in underline):

7. Motions

Except as otherwise described in this Supplemental CMO and until further order of the court, <u>no other substantive/dispositive</u> motion shall be filed without leave of court and without a certificate that the movant has conferred with opposing counsel in a good-faith effort to resolve the matter without court action.

Suggestion 5

For footnote 1 of the Proposed Order, the United States and WRID suggest that the following language be inserted (appearing in the body of the paragraph below in strike-though and underline):

Footnote 1: Accordingly, ¶¶ 12, 15, 16, and 17 of the CMO is are deleted in its their entirety Suggestion 6

With respect to paragraph 3.d of the Proposed Order, the United States and WRID would like the opportunity to further discuss with the Court the language of the paragraph at the scheduled April 11th telephonic conference to discuss the Proposed Order. No suggestions are proposed concerning the paragraph at this time.

Conclusion

The paragraphs outlined above contain the suggestions that the United States and WRID have for the Proposed Order at this time. The United States and WRID request that they, and all parties, be given the opportunity to add further explanation and comment with respect to the suggestions made here at the schedule April 11th conference.

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1858 Filed 03/22/2013 Page 4 of 5

Dated: March 22, 2012 Respectfully submitted,

For the United States of America

IGNACIA S. MORENO Assistant Attorney General

Andrew "Guss" Guarino, Trial Attorney Susan L. Schneider, Trial Attorney David L. Negri, Trial Attorney Greg Addington, Assistant United States Attorney

By /s/ Andrew "Guss" Guarino
Andrew "Guss" Guarino
U.S. Department of Justice
Environmental and Natural Resources Div.
999 – 18th Street, Suite 370
Denver, Colorado 80202
(303) 844-1348
Guss.guarino@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

and

For the Walker River Irrigation District

By: /s/ Gordon H. DePaoli
Gordon H. DePaoli
Dale E. Ferguson
Woodburn and Wedge
6100 Neil Road Suite 500
Reno, NV 89511
775.688.3000
gdepaoli@woodburnandwedge.com
dferguson@woodburnandwedge.com

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1858 Filed 03/22/2013 Page 5 of 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 22th day of March, 2013, I electronically filed the foregoing **JOINT RESPONSE TO THE [PROPOSED] SUPPLEMENTAL CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER** with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the email addresses that are registered for this case;

and I further certify that I served a copy of the forgoing to the following non CM/ECF participants by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 22th day of March, 2013:

Athena Brown, Superintendent Western Nevada Agency Bureau of Indian Affairs 311 E. Washington Street Carson City, NV 89701-4065

Allen Biaggi/Leo Drozdoff
Dept. of Conservation & Natural Res.
State of Nevada
901 S. Stewart St.
Suite 1003
Carson City, NV 89701

State Engineer - Division of Water Resources State of Nevada 901 S. Stewart St., Suite 202 Carson City, NV 89701 William J. Shaw Brooke & Shaw, Ltd P.O. Box 2860 Minden, NV 89423

George M. Keele 1692 County Road, Ste. A Minden, NV 89423

Arthur B. Walsh Los Angeles City Attorney's Office PO Box 51-111 111 North Hope Street, Suite 340 Los Angeles, CA 90054

/s/ Eileen Rutherford
Senior Paralegal, USIS for
United States Department of Justice