Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1747 Filed 08/31/2012 Page 1 of 5

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Gordon H. DePaoli Nevada State Bar No. 195 Dale E. Ferguson Nevada State Bar No. 4986 Domenico R. DePaoli Nevada State Bar No. 11553 WOODBURN AND WEDGE 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500 Reno, Nevada 89511 Telephone: 775 / 688-3000 Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation District IN THE UNITED STATI FOR THE DISTRIC	
11	FOR THE DISTRI	CI OF NEVADA
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) IN EQUITY NO. C-125-RCJ
13	Plaintiff,) SUBFILE NO. C-125-B) 3:73-CV-00127-RCJ-WGC
14	WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,)
15	Plaintiff-Intervenor,)
16) WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION) DISTRICT'S NOTICE OF
17	V.) OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED
18	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.,	SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS OFTHE STATUS CONFERENCE
19	Defendants.) CONDUCTED ON AUGUST 22, 2012
20)
21	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,))
22)
23	Counterclaimants,)
24	V.)
25	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al.,)
26	Counterdefendants.	,)
27	Counterderendants.)
28		

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1747 Filed 08/31/2012 Page 2 of 5

The Walker River Irrigation District (the "District") objects to the Proposed Summary of Proceedings of the Status Conference conducted on August 22, 2012 (the "Proposed Summary"). Doc. 1745. The District objects to the paragraph on page 7 of the Proposed Summary, which is in bold face type. During the Status Conference, the Court requested that the United States include in the Proposed Summary information from previously entered orders which identify the categories of water right holders who were to be defendants in Subproceeding C-125-B and in Subproceeding C-125-C. The clear purpose of the request was to aid the Court in understanding the categories of defendants in the two subproceedings, and the differences between the two. The Court requested a reference to the prior orders which identified the categories of defendants in each case.

Although counsel for the District considered it unusual to include that information in what was to be a summary of the Status Conference itself, counsel did not suggest a different alternative because the Court's request could be satisfied easily by references to and quotes from the relevant orders. The United States, Mineral County and the Walker Lake Working Group apparently collaborated on what to include in the Proposed Summary. *See* Doc. 1745 at 6.

The District has two objections to the paragraph in bold face type on page 7. First, the information provided therein was not called for or requested by the Court. If the Court had requested information on how persons and entities within the categories of defendants were identified, and whether there was consensus among all parties as to the content of a list of persons and entities identified, then that information would have been required not just for subproceeding C-125-C, but also for subproceeding C-125-B. Moreover, given the multidecade history of these two subproceedings, the Court would not have expected such information to be provided in a Proposed Summary of a Status Conference which was intended

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1747 Filed 08/31/2012 Page 3 of 5

to be prepared by just one party. Second, because the information provided is incomplete, it is not accurate. If the Court desires a complete summary of what occurred before the Order entered October 24, 1997 (C-Doc. 156), and what occurred after the entry of the May 13, 1998 Order (C-Doc. 196) with respect to the list of persons and entities to be served in subproceeding C-125-C, the District will take the time and expend the resources necessary to prepare and provide that information to the Court. However, counsel for the District does not believe that that information is necessary at this time, and, in fact, it may never be necessary. Dated: August 31, 2012 WOODBURN AND WEDGE By: / s / Gordon H. DePaoli Gordon H. DePaoli, Dale E. Ferguson, Domenico R. DePaoli 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500 Reno, Nevada 89511 Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation District

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1747 Filed 08/31/2012 Page 4 of 5

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 I certify that I am an employee of Woodburn and Wedge and that on the 31st day of 3 August, 2012, I electronically served the foregoing Walker River Irrigation District's Notice of 4 Objections to Proposed Summary of Proceedings of the Status Conference Conducted on 5 August 22, 2012 with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send 6 notification of such filing to the following via their email addresses: 7 **Brian Chally** brian.chally@lvvwd.com 8 Bryan L. Stockton bstockton@ag.nv.gov 9 Charles S. Zumpft zumpft@brooke-shaw.com emmsmithlaw@cccomm.net Cherie K. Emm-Smith 10 dspringmeyer@wrslawyers.com Don Springmeyer cmixson@wrslawyers.com Chrristopher Mixson 11 gdavid@nvlawyers.com G. David Robertson gbenesch@sbcglobal.net George Benesch 12 greg.addington@usdoj.gov **Greg Addington** Harry W. Swainston 13 hwswainston@earthlink.net id@mindenlaw.com J.D. Sullivan 14 James Spoo spootoo@aol.com John Paul Schlegelmilch jpslaw@netscape.com 15 joylyn@smithandharmer.com Julian C. Smith, Jr. kpeterson@allisonmackenzie.com Karen Peterson 16 kirk@nvlawyers.com Kirk C. Johnson 17 counsel@water-law.com Laura Schroeder Louis S. Test twallace@htag.reno.nv.us 18 Marta Adams madams@ag..nv.gov marvinmurphy@sbcglobal.net Marvin W. Murphy 19 mhoy@nevadalaw.com Michael D. Hoy Michael F. Mackedon falonlaw@phonewave.net 20 Michael R. Montero mrm@eloreno.com 21 Michael A. Pagni mpagni@mcdonaldcarano.com rharris@gbis.com Richard W. Harris 22 ecf@parsonsbehle.com Ross E. de Lipkau sharrison@mcdonaldcarano.com Sylvia Harrison 23 T. Scott Brooke brooke@brooke-shaw.com Michael W. Neville michael.neville@doj.ca.gov 24 ssimon@mono.ca.gov **Stacey Simon** 25 lander_lawyer@yahoo.com William E. Schaeffer susan.schneider@usdoj.gov Susan Schneider 26 panderson@mclrenolaw.com Paul J. Anderson dleonard@mcdonaldcarano.com Debbie Leonard 27 wwilliams@standfordaluni.org Wes Williams

william.duffy@dgslaw.com

GKaufmann@mindenlaw.com

William J. Duffy

Gene M. Kaufmann

28

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1747 Filed 08/31/2012 Page 5 of 5

1	Erin K.L. Mahaney	emahaney@waterboards.ca.gov
2	David L. Negri Simeon Herskovits	david.negri@usdoj.gov simeon@communityandenvironment.net
3	John W. Howard	johnh@jwhowardattorneys.com mckeith@lbbslaw.com
4	Malissa Hathaway McKeith Andrew D. Galvin	drew.galvin@americantower.com
5	Lynn L. Steyaert Noelle R. Gentilli	lls@water-law.com ngentill@water.ca.gov
6	Donald B. Mooney	dbmooney@dcn.org
7	Erick Soderlund Stuart David Hotchkiss	esoderlu@water.ca.gov david.hotchkiss@ladwp.com
8		
9		/ s / Holly Dewar
10		Holly Dewar
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		