

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	IN EQUITY NO. C-125-RCJ
	)	3:73-cv-00125-RCJ-WGC
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	Subproceedings: C-125-B and C-125-C
WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,	)	3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC
	)	3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC
Plaintiff-Intervenor,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	<b><u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u></b>
	)	
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,	)	August 27, 2012
a corporation, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
_____	)	
	)	
MINERAL COUNTY,	)	
	)	
Proposed-Plaintiff-Intervenor,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Proposed Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE LYNN OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING  
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING  
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

**MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:**

The court at the 8/22/12 status conference directed the parties to collaborate with respect to the preparation of the list of threshold issues.” The court requested the parties attempt to prepare a consolidated list of issues upon which the parties agree and to separately identify those threshold issues upon which the parties cannot reach consensus.

Subsequent to the 8/22/12 status conference, the court noted that the April 2000 Case Management Order (CMO) by the Hon. Edward C. Reed, Jr., allows the parties to undertake certain limited discovery “on the threshold issues” (interrogatories and requests for production). CMO, p. 13 of 14. Therefore, when the parties meet to discuss threshold issues, the court would also ask the parties to identify which threshold issues may require discovery and as to those issues further provide a brief description of the subject matter of the proposed discovery.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By:                   /s/                    
Deputy Clerk