Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1546 Filed 08/24/2009 Page 1 of 4

1 2 3 4 5	LAURA A. SCHROEDER, NSB #3595 WYATT E. ROLFE, NSB #10735 Schroeder Law Offices, P.C. PO Box 40400 440 Marsh Avenue Reno, NV 89504-4400 Reno, NV 89509 PHONE (775) 786-8800; FAX (877) 600-4971 counsel@water-law.com Attorneys for the Defendants	
6		DISTRICT COLUDT
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
11	Plaintiff,	IN EQUITY NO. C-125-B-ECR
12	THE WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,	3:73-cv-00127-ECR-LRL
13	Plaintiff-Intervenor,	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF
14	V.	MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL
15	THE WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.,	(Thomas Bobrick Trust)
16	Defendants.	(Thomas Dobrick Trust)
17		
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,	
19	Counterclaimants	
20	v.	
21	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al.,	
22		
23	Counterdefendants.	
24	LAURA A. SCHROEDER and Schroeder Law Offices, P.C. ("Schroeder"), attorneys for	
25	Thomas Bobrick Trust (hereinafter the "Trust"), files this memorandum in support of their	
26	Motion to Withdraw as Counsel.	

Page 1 - MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL



POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

A. Schroeder has Complied with LR IA 10-6.

This motion is made under LR IA 10-6. In support thereof, Laura A. Schroeder relies upon the Affidavit of Laura A. Schroeder in Support of Motion to Withdraw as Counsel ("Schroeder Affidavit") filed herewith. Notice of Schroeder's intent to seek withdrawal was provided to both opposing counsel and the Trust as required by LR IA 10-6(b). Schroeder Affidavit ¶¶ 8, 11.

B. 28 U.S.C. § 1654 does not require a substitute attorney as a condition of withdrawal.

In the context of a business entity, it is clear that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1654, a company may only appear in federal court through a licensed attorney. This general rule was the basis of this Court's minute order dated September 16, 2008 denying a previous request to withdraw submitted on behalf of another party. In its order the Court cited, *United States v. High Country Broadcasting Co., Inc.*, and *Licht v. America West Airlines*, two cases wherein the Ninth Circuit affirmed court orders disallowing non-attorneys from representing business entities. In *High Country*, the President (and sole shareholder) of High Country Broadcasting Corporation, Inc. was attempting to represent the company in court. When High Country failed to adhere to an order to retain counsel, the district court entered a default judgment against it. As

Page 2 - MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL

¹ Rowland v. California Men's Colony. Unit II Men's Advisory Council, 506 U.S. 194, 201-203, 113 S.Ct. 716 (1993). ("[L]ower courts have uniformly held that 28 U.S.C. § 1654 providing that 'parties may plead and conduct their own case personally or by counsel,' does not allow corporations, partnerships, or associations to appear in federal court otherwise than through a licensed attorney.").

² 28 U.S.C. § 1654 states: "In all courts of the United States the parties may plead and conduct their own cases personally or by counsel as, by the rules of such courts, respectively, are permitted to manage and conduct causes therein."

³ See Minute Order dated September 16, 2008 (Docket #1426), citing *United States v*. *High Country Broadcasting Co., Inc.*, 3 F.3d 1244 (9th Cir. 1993); certiorari denied 115 S.Ct. 93, 513 U.S. 826, 130 L.Ed.2d 44; *Licht v. America West Airlines*, 40 F.3d 1058 (9th Cir. 1994).

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1546 Filed 08/24/2009 Page 3 of 4

for *Licht*, the Ninth Circuit upheld a bankruptcy court's order barring Sydney Licht, a non-attorney, from representing a business association in which Licht was the senior partner.

While it is clear that business entities may appear only through a licensed attorney, there is no support that 28 U.S.C. § 1654 likewise requires substitution of an attorney as a condition to an attorney withdrawing from representation of a corporate defendant. As seen in *High Country* and *Licht*, the statute places an onus upon the corporate *party* to appear only through a licensed attorney or otherwise be barred from participating and risk default judgment. Neither of these cases supports the proposition that 28 U.S.C. § 1654 burdens the unwilling attorney to nevertheless continue representation until such time as the corporate defendant decides to substitute counsel. Such an interpretation would result in a *de facto* appointment and subject vast numbers of attorneys to potential unwarranted abuse by unscrupulous business owner *parties*.

C. There is good cause to grant Schroeder's motion.

Schroeder, in good faith, has advised the Trust of its need for alternate counsel should it wish to appear in court and not sustain a default judgment. Schroeder Affidavit ¶ 10. The Trust has not responded to correspondence. Schroeder Affidavit ¶ 7. Given that the Trust is unresponsive, Schroeder does not desire to continue the relationship, and a compelled attorney-client relationship is not warranted under the circumstances of this case. Schroeder has advised the Trust to locate and retain alternate counsel. Schroeder Affidavit ¶ 9. Schroeder has provided the Trust with ample opportunity to substitute an attorney. Schroeder Affidavit ¶ 9.

In this case it is the party defendant, the Trust, who holds the burden of providing substitute counsel, or otherwise risks default judgment or being barred from participating further in the litigation. 28 U.S.C. § 1654 does not condition Schroeder's withdrawal as counsel upon the provision of a substitute attorney. It is the party's responsibility, not Schroeder's, to find substitute counsel. It is proper to grant Schroeder's motion to withdraw.

25 ///

26 | ///

Page 3 - MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1546 Filed 08/24/2009 Page 4 of 4

1	WHEREFORE, Schroeder's Motion to Withdraw as Counsel should be granted.	
2	DATED this 24 th day of August, 2009.	
3		
4	SCHROEDER LAW OFFICES, P.C.	
5		
6	/s/ Laura A. Schroeder Laura A. Schroeder, NSB 3595	
7	Wyatt E. Rolfe, NSB #10735 Schroeder Law Offices, P.C.	
8	PO Box 40400 440 Marsh Avenue Reno, NV 89504-4400 Reno, NV 89509	
9	PHONE (775) 786-8800; FAX (877) 600-4971 counsel@water-law.com	
10	Attorneys for the Defendants	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

