Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1537 Filed 08/12/2009 Page 1 of 4

1 2 3 4 5 6	LAURA A. SCHROEDER, NSB #3595 WYATT E. ROLFE, NSB #10735 Schroeder Law Offices, P.C. PO Box 40400 440 Marsh Avenue Reno, NV 89504-4400 Reno, NV 89509 PHONE (775) 786-8800; FAX (877) 600-4971 counsel@water-law.com Attorneys for the Defendants			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
9				
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
11	Plaintiff,	IN EQUITY NO. C-125-B-ECR		
12	THE WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,	3:73-cv-00127-ECR-LRL		
13	Plaintiff-Intervenor,	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF		
14	v.	MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL		
15	THE WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.,	COUNSEL		
16	Dis Freier, a corporation, et al., Defendants.			
17				
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,			
19	Counterclaimants			
20	V.			
21	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al.,			
22				
23	Counterdefendants.			
24	BACKGROUND			
25	LAURA A. SCHROEDER and Schroeder Law Offices, P.C. ("Schroeder"), attorneys for			
26	Borsini Ranch, Inc. ("Borsini Ranch"), in the above matter, have moved this Court for an order			

Page 1 - MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL



Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1537 Filed 08/12/2009 Page 2 of 4

to withdraw as counsel. This is Schroeder's second motion for withdrawal. Previously, this 1 Court denied Schroeder's request, stating that "before Ms. Schroeder is allowed to withdraw, 2 there must be a substitution of other counsel to represent the corporate Defendant."¹ 3 POINTS AND AUTHORITIES 4 A. Schroeder has Complied with LR IA 10-6. 5 This motion is made under LR IA 10-6. In support thereof, Laura A. Schroeder relies 6 upon the Affidavit of Laura A. Schroeder in Support of Motion to Withdraw as Counsel 7 ("Schroeder Affidavit") filed herewith. Notice of Schroeder's intent to seek withdrawal was 8 provided to both opposing counsel and Borsini Ranch as required by LR IA 10-6(b). Schroeder 9 Affidavit ¶ 15. B. 28 U.S.C. § 1654 does not require a substitute attorney as a condition of withdrawal. 12 13 In the context of a business entity, it is clear that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1654, a company may only appear in federal court through a licensed attorney.² This general rule was 14 the basis of this Court's minute order dated September 16, 2008 denying Schroeder's previous 15 request to withdraw.³ In its order the Court cited, United States v. High Country Broadcasting orders disallowing non-attorneys from representing business entities.⁴ In *High Country*, the ¹ See Minutes of the Court, September 16, 2008 (Docket #1426). 21 ² Rowland v. California Men's Colony, Unit II Men's Advisory Council, 506 U.S. 194, 201-203, 113 S.Ct. 716 (1993). ("[L]ower courts have uniformly held that 28 U.S.C. § 1654 22 allow corporations, partnerships, or associations to appear in federal court otherwise than 23 through a licensed attorney."). ³ 28 U.S.C. § 1654 states: "In all courts of the United States the parties may plead and conduct their own cases personally or by counsel as, by the rules of such courts, respectively, are permitted to manage and conduct causes therein."

⁴ See Minute Order dated September 16, 2008 (Docket #1426), citing United States v. 26 High Country Broadcasting Co., Inc., 3 F.3d 1244 (9th Cir. 1993); certiorari denied 115 S.Ct. 93, 513 U.S. 826, 130 L.Ed.2d 44; Licht v. America West Airlines, 40 F.3d 1058 (9th Cir. 1994).

Page 2 - MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL



24 25

10 11

16

Co., Inc., and Licht v. America West Airlines, two cases wherein the Ninth Circuit affirmed court 17

18

President (and sole shareholder) of High Country Broadcasting Corporation, Inc. was attempting 19

20

providing that 'parties may plead and conduct their own case personally or by counsel,' does not

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1537 Filed 08/12/2009 Page 3 of 4

to represent the company in court. When High Country failed to adhere to an order to retain
 counsel, the district court entered a default judgment against it. As for *Licht*, the Ninth Circuit
 upheld a bankruptcy court's order barring Sydney Licht, a non-attorney, from representing a
 business association in which Licht was the senior partner.

5 While it is clear that business entities may appear only through a licensed attorney, there is no support that 28 U.S.C. § 1654 likewise requires substitution of an attorney as a condition to 6 an attorney withdrawing from representation of a corporate defendant. As seen in *High Country* 7 8 and *Licht*, the statute places an onus upon the corporate *party* to appear only through a licensed attorney or otherwise be barred from participating and risk default judgment. Neither of these 9 cases supports the proposition that 28 U.S.C. § 1654 burdens the unwilling attorney to 10 nevertheless continue representation until such time as the corporate defendant decides to 11 substitute counsel. Such an interpretation would result in a *de facto* appointment and subject vast 12 13 numbers of attorneys to potential unwarranted abuse by unscrupulous business owner *parties*.

14

C. There is good cause to grant Schroeder's motion.

Borsini Ranch refuses to provide substitute counsel and contends that Schroeder does not
represent Borsini Ranch. Schroeder Affidavit ¶ 7. Schroeder, in good faith, has advised Borsini
Ranch of its need for alternate counsel should it wish to appear in court and participate in the
litigation. Schroeder Affidavit ¶ 14. Borsini Ranch continues to purport its position to not
substitute alternate counsel. Schroeder Affidavit ¶ 12. Given neither Schroeder nor Borsini
Ranch desire to continue the relationship, a compelled attorney-client relationship is not
warranted under the circumstances of this case.

Schroeder has advised Borsini Ranch to locate and retain alternate counsel on several
occasions. Schroeder Affidavit ¶¶ 8, 10, 14. Schroeder has provided Borsini Ranch with ample
opportunity to substitute an attorney. Schroeder Affidavit ¶ 11. In this case it is the corporate
party defendant, Borsini Ranch, who holds the burden of providing substitute counsel, or
otherwise risks being barred from participating further in the litigation. 28 U.S.C. § 1654 does

Page 3 - MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL



1915 NE 39th Ave. P.O. Box 12527 Portland, Oregon 97212-0527 PHONE (503) 281-4100 FAX (503) 281-4600

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1537 Filed 08/12/2009 Page 4 of 4

1	not condition Schroeder's withdrawal as counsel upon the provision of a substitute attorney. It is
2	the party's responsibility, not Schroeder's, to find substitute counsel. It is proper to grant
3	Schroeder's motion to withdraw.
4	WHEREFORE, Schroeder's Motion to Withdraw as Counsel should be granted.
5	DATED this 12 th day of August, 2009.
6	
7	SCHROEDER LAW OFFICES, P.C.
8	
9	/s/ Laura A. Schroeder Laura A. Schroeder, NSB 3595
10	Wyatt E. Rolfe, NSB #10735 Schroeder Law Offices, P.C.
11	PO Box 40400 440 Marsh Avenue Reno, NV 89504-4400 Reno, NV 89509
12	PHONE (775) 786-8800; FAX (877) 600-4971 counsel@water-law.com
13	Attorneys for the Defendants
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Page 4 - MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL

