

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

JOHN W. HOWARD, Cal. State Bar No. 80200  
JW Howard/Attorneys, LTD.  
625 Broadway, Suite 1206  
San Diego, California 92101  
Telephone: (619) 234-2842  
Telefax: (619) 234-1716  
Pro Hac Vice Counsel for Joseph & Beverly Landolt

WILLIAM E. SCHAEFFER, Nev. State Bar No. 2789  
P.O. Box 936  
Battle Mountain, Nevada 89820  
Telephone: (775) 635-3227  
Telefax: (775) 635-3229  
Local Counsel for Joseph & Beverly Landolt

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
RENO, NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	3:73-cv-00127-ECR-RAM
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	In Equity No. C-125-ECR
	)	Subfile No. C-125-B
WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff, Intervenor	)	PRELIMINARY LEGAL THEORIES
v.	)	
	)	
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION	)	
DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE	)	
	)	
Counterclaimants,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION	)	
DISTRICT, et al.,	)	
	)	
Counterdefendants.	)	
	)	
	)	

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Pursuant to the court's order of August 20, 2007, Joseph and Beverly Landolt ("Landolts") hereby submit their preliminary legal theories in defense against the claims of the Walker River Paiute Tribe and the United States, as follows:

**Incorporation of Theories.** Landolts refer to those legal theories proposed herein by Nevada Department of Wildlife, Walker River Irrigation District and Thomas Reviglio and incorporate same herein by this reference.

**Waste.** The claims made by the Walker River Paiute Tribe ("Tribe") for additional water are barred by waste based upon the Tribe's sufferance and maintenance of beaver dams which consume significant waters otherwise available for the purposes for which they seek additional water rights.

**Unclean Hands.** The Tribe seeks this Court's exercise of equitable powers in its requests herein. Its claims are barred by unclean hands based upon its misappropriation of significantly more water to its own use than that to which it is entitled under the currently effective Decree.

**Res Judicata.** The rights adjudicated by this Court in 1936 were made in contemplation and with full notice of the addition, that same year, of that acreage upon which the United States and the Tribe base their current request for a decree of additional water rights. Their current claims were ripe then and compulsory.

**Laches.** The Tribe waited nearly 70 years to assert the current claims during which time the United States encouraged settlement and the population of the area owned by the Landolts, all others similarly situated and their predecessors in interest. The Tribe allowed the assertion and establishment of water rights by the Landolts, all others similarly situated

1 and their predecessors in interest on which those parties all relied without question or  
2 challenge. The Tribe rested too long on its asserted rights before bringing the instant action.  
3

4 **Detrimental Reliance.** The Landolts, their predecessors and all others similarly  
5 situated relied to their detriment on the Tribe's failure to assert the rights it alleges herein and  
6 invested heavily in time and treasure in the establishment of homes, farms and other  
7 businesses. The Tribe's late assertion herein of rights to water belonging to the Landolts and  
8 all others similarly situated, is barred by detrimental reliance.  
9

10 **Preservation of Rights.** The water rights owned by the Landolts, all others similarly  
11 situated and their predecessors in interest were specifically preserved by the legislation that  
12 transferred that property upon which the Tribe and the United States base their current claims.  
13 Any decision that would abrogate the rights on which these parties have relied would properly  
14 result in monetary claims against the United States.  
15

16 **Purpose of Transfer.** The legislation through which the land transfer upon which the  
17 Tribe and the United States base their current claims specifically provides that the land was to  
18 be transferred for grazing purposes only. Any water rights implied in that transfer are limited  
19 to that amount of water necessary to accomplish that purpose. No water is necessary to  
20 accomplish the purpose of supporting grazing on the land in question since grazing proceeded  
21 on said land for at least a century without the infusion of any water other than that provided  
22 through natural processes such as precipitation.  
23


24 **Prior Appropriation.** The Tribe and the United States based the bulk of their claims  
25 on *Winters v. United States* 207 U.S. 564. That case reserves for Indian tribes, by implication,  
26 appurtenant water only to the extent that it has not previously been appropriated. The  
27 Landolts, all others similarly situated and their predecessors in interest own water rights that  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

were appropriated prior to transfer of the land that gives rise to the Tribe's claims herein and, therefore, are preserved to those water rights holders.

The foregoing does not necessarily encompass all of the Landolt's potential legal theories not only because the Landolts have been precluded from proceeding with discovery under the Case Management Order but, also, because the Tribe and the United States have suggested that they may change or modify the legal theories they have heretofore asserted. The Landolts, therefore, reserve the right to change or supplement these legal theories during the pendency of this case.

Date: December 28, 2007



John W. Howard  
Pro Hac Vice Counsel for  
Joseph & Beverly Landolt

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2007, I served the foregoing *Preliminary Legal Theories* in said action to the following participants by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 28<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2007:

Michael D. Hoy  
Hoy & Hoy  
1495 Ridgeview #90  
Reno, NV 89519

Timothy A. Lukas  
P.O. Box 3237  
Reno, NV 89505

Karen A. Peterson  
Allison, MacKenzie, Pavlakis  
Wright & Fagan  
P.O. Box 646  
Carson City, NV 89702

Wes Williams Jr.  
Law Offices of Wes Williams Jr.  
P.O. Box 100  
Schurz, Nevada 89427

Gordon DePaoli  
Woodburn & Wedge  
6100 Neil Rd #500  
Reno, NV 89511

Cheri Emm-Smith  
Mineral County District Attorney  
PO Box 1210  
Hawthorne, NV 89415

Gregory Addington  
Office of U.S. Attorney  
100 West Liberty Street, Ste. 600  
Reno, NV 89501

Stephen B. Rye  
Chief Deputy District Attorney  
31 S. Main St.  
Yerington, NV 89447

Marta Adams  
Sr Deputy Attorney General  
Nevada Attorney General  
100 N. Carson Street  
Carson City, NV 89701

William E. Schaeffer  
PO Box 936  
Battle Mountain, NV 89820

George Benesch, Esq.  
190 West Huffaker Lane, Ste 408  
Reno, NV 89511

Wesley G. Beverlin  
Malissa Hathaway McKeith  
Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard & Smith LCP  
221 N. Figueroa St., Ste. 1200  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Laura A. Schroeder  
1915 N.E. 39<sup>th</sup> Ave  
P.O. Box 12527  
Portland, OR 97212-0527

Simeon Herskovits  
Advocates for Community and  
Environment  
129-C Kit Carson Road  
Taos, NM 87571

James Shaw  
Water Master  
US Board of Water Commissioners  
P.O. Box 853  
Yerington, NV 89447

John Kramer  
Department of Water Resources  
1416 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Marshall S. Rudolph, Mono County Counsel  
Stacy Simon, Deputy County Counsel  
Mono County  
P.O. Box 2415  
Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546-2415

Erin K.L. Mahaney  
Office of Chief Counsel  
State Water Resources Control Board  
1001 I Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Kenneth Spooner  
General Manager  
Walker River Irrigation District  
P.O. Box 820  
Yerington, NV 89447

Kelly Chase  
P.O. Box 2800  
Minden, NV 89423

Michael W. Neville  
DOJ, Office of the Atty General  
455 Golden Gate Avenue  
Suite 11000  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Todd Plimpton  
Belanger & Plimpton  
1135 Central Avenue  
P.O. Box 59  
Lovelock, NV 89419

Bryan Stockton  
Deputy Atty General  
Office of the Attorney General  
100 N. Carson St.  
Carson City, NV 89701-4717

Susan Schneider  
Trial Attorney  
U.S. Dept. of Justice  
Environment and Natural Resources  
1961 Stout Street, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Denver, CO 80294

Courtney Brown  
Western Environment Law Center  
PO Box 1507  
Taos, NM 87571

*/s/ Elisa Marino*

---

Elisa Marino