

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
ENTERED & SERVED

APR 10 2002

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DEPUTY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,
Plaintiff-Intervenor,
vs.
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
a Corporation, et al.,
Defendants.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,
Counterclaimants,
vs.
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
et al.,
Counterdefendants.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
RENO, NEVADA

FILED

02 APR -9 PM 4:49

LANCE S. WILSON
CLERK
BY _____
DEPUTY

IN EQUITY NO. C-125-ECR (RAM)
and
Sub-File No. C-125-B
MINUTES OF THE COURT
DATE: APRIL 9, 2002

PRESENT: _____ EDWARD C. REED, JR. _____ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE
Deputy Clerk: _____ WAYNE JULIAN _____ Reporter: _____ NONE APPEARING
Counsel for Plaintiff(s) _____ NONE APPEARING
Counsel for Defendant(s) _____ NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Joint Motion (#173), filed by the United States of America and the Walker River Paiute Tribe, for Amendment of the Court's Order Denying Motion for Certification of Defendant Classes, and,

175

in the Alternative, for Relief from this Same Order is GRANTED on the following basis:

Our Order entered on March 29, 2002 (#172), shall not be deemed to be a final order denying the motion for class certification (#142) for purposes of triggering the Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 ten-day limit for filing an appeal. It would be inappropriate for said order to be deemed a final order for those purposes until we have explained the reasons for it.

At the time that we file our further written order explaining the reasons for our decision to deny class certification, we will enter an order confirming and re-entering our previous order (#142) that denied the motion for class certification.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By 

Deputy Clerk