UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
RENO, NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al., Defendants.	In Equity No. C-125-ECR Subfile No. C-125-B MINUTES OF COURT DATE: MAY 30, 2001
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, Counterclaimants, vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al., Counterdefendants.	

FILED
2001 MAY 31 PM 2:24
LANCE S. WILSON
CLERK
BY DEPUTY

PRESENT: HONORABLE ROBERT A. McQUAID, JR., U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Deputy Clerk: Rosemary Damron Recorder: Tape 01-064

Counsel Appearing in person: Alice Walker, Scott McElroy, Susan Schneider, Gordon de Paoli, Dale Ferguson, George Benesch, Linda Bowman, Marta Adams, James Spoo

Counsel Appearing by phone: Michael Neville

PROCEEDINGS: FURTHER STATUS CONFERENCE REGARDING THE CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

1:40 p.m. Court convenes.

149

Any opposition to the Joint Motion of The United States and The Walker River Paiute Tribe for Certification of Defendant Classes shall be due June 11, 2001 and shall be served on the U.S. and Tribe by overnight mail; the reply shall be due June 29, 2001. The motion will be heard on **Thursday, July 12, 2001 at 9:00 a.m.** following the status conference.

IT IS ORDERED that in the C-125-C file, any opposition to Mineral County's Amended Motion to Add and Dismiss Certain Parties (Doc. #377 filed on April 30, 2001) shall be due 45 days from today's date; the reply by Mineral County is due 15 days thereafter.

All parties agree on the record regarding the service documents of the U.S. The Court and counsel discuss the proposed changes and modifications to paragraph 9 of the Case Management Order and whether the methods of identifying satisfy due process.

IT IS ORDERED that by June 20, 2001, the U.S. and Tribe shall submit a list of all their methods of identification to all parties; the parties shall have until July 6, 2001 to make objections or suggestions. At the next status conference scheduled for **Thursday, July 12, 2001 at 9:00 a.m.**, the Court will make a determination of the reasonableness of the categories. The Court will basically go along with service in phases. The U.S. shall identify the phases and let all the parties know what they are. The caption shall reflect the names of everyone in that phase. Ms. Schneider can go about her service and the Court will see how this works before the Court puts time limits on it. The defendants will have an opportunity to look at the service list and comment on it. The parties shall comment on the completeness of the list and completeness of service after each phase. Phases shall be done by category. If the U.S. and Tribe want to vary from that, they will need leave of Court. There will be a comment period after the first phase.

2:50 p.m. Court adjourns.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: Rosemary Dawson
Deputy Clerk