CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
400 SOUTH VIRGINIA ST., ROOM 301
RENO, NEVADA 89501

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
FILED
JUL 26 2006
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY _____ DEPUTY

Stuart L. Somach
DeCuir & Somach
400 Capitol Mall
Suite 1900
Sacramento, CA 95814-

047J82004067
$00.390
07/14/2006
Mailed From 89501
US POSTAGE

NIXIE 957 1 21 07/21/06
RETURN TO SENDER
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD
BC: 89501219576 *0841-06211-14-37

95814+4436-75 [illegible]2195

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
RENO, NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, Plaintiff-Intervenor vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al., Defendants.	3:73-CV-0127-ECR-RAM In Equity No. C-125 Subfile No. C-125-B MINUTES OF THE COURT DATE: July 12, 2006

PRESENT: EDWARD C. REED, JR. U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Deputy Clerk: COLLEEN LARSEN Reporter: NONE APPEARING

Counsel for Plaintiff(s) NONE APPEARING

Counsel for Defendant(s) NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS

On March 10, 2006, the Magistrate Judge entered an Order (#855) denying the Motion (#795) of Joseph and Beverly Landolt to disqualify counsel Gordon DePaoli. On April 5, 2006, the Landolts filed a Notice of Appeal (#858) from the Order (#855) of the Magistrate Judge. On April 6, 2006, we ordered that we would consider the appeal.

The Magistrate Judge is acting in the case on an order of reference from the District Judge made pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 636(a). Said statute provides that we may reconsider any pretrial matter referred pursuant to § 636 (A) where it has been shown that the magistrate judge's order is clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

We have reviewed the appellate briefings submitted by the parties and have determined that the magistrate judge's order is not clearly erroneous

nor contrary to law. The Landolts have not established that the contested representation is directly adverse to any of DePaoli's clients nor any other basis for finding an ethical breach that would impact the Landolts' interest in a just and lawful determination of their claims.

IT IS, THEREFORE, HEREBY ORDERED that the magistrate judge's order (#855) is affirmed.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By /s/

Deputy Clerk